# REVIEW



**Open Access** 

# The applied principles of EEG analysis methods in neuroscience and clinical neurology

Hao Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Qing-Qi Zhou<sup>2†</sup>, He Chen<sup>3†</sup>, Xiao-Qing Hu<sup>4,5</sup>, Wei-Guang Li<sup>6</sup>, Yang Bai<sup>7,8</sup>, Jun-Xia Han<sup>9</sup>, Yao Wang<sup>10</sup>, Zhen-Hu Liang<sup>11\*</sup>, Dan Chen<sup>12\*</sup>, Feng-Yu Cong<sup>13\*</sup>, Jia-Qing Yan<sup>2\*</sup> and Xiao-Li Li<sup>3,14\*</sup>

# Abstract

Electroencephalography (EEG) is a non-invasive measurement method for brain activity. Due to its safety, high resolution, and hypersensitivity to dynamic changes in brain neural signals, EEG has aroused much interest in scientific research and medical fields. This article reviews the types of EEG signals, multiple EEG signal analysis methods, and the application of relevant methods in the neuroscience field and for diagnosing neurological diseases. First, three types of EEG signals, including time-invariant EEG, accurate event-related EEG, and random event-related EEG, are introduced. Second, five main directions for the methods of EEG analysis, including power spectrum analysis, time–frequency analysis, connectivity analysis, source localization methods, and machine learning methods, are described in the main section, along with different sub-methods are emphasized, and the advantages and disadvantages of similar methods are distinguished. This article is expected to assist researchers in selecting suitable EEG analysis methods based on their research objectives, provide references for subsequent research, and summarize current issues and prospects for the future.

**Keywords** Electroencephalogram analysis methods, Applied principles, Neuroscience, Diagnosis, Neurological diseases

<sup>†</sup>Hao Zhang, Qing-Qi Zhou and He Chen contributed equally to this work. <sup>5</sup> HKU-Shenzhen Institute of Research and Innovation, Shenzhen 518057, Guangdong, China \*Correspondence: <sup>6</sup> Department of Health Technology and Informatics, The Hong Kong Zhen-Hu Liano Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China zhl@ysu.edu.cn <sup>7</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital Dan Chen of Nanchang University, Nanchang 330006, China dan.chen@whu.edu.cn <sup>8</sup> Rehabilitation Medicine Clinical Research Center of Jiangxi Province, Feng-Yu Cong Nanchang 330006, China cong@dlut.edu.cn <sup>9</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Learning and Cognition, School of Psychology, Jia-Qing Yan Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China redyjq@gmail.com <sup>10</sup> School of Communication Science, Beijing Language and Culture Xiao-Li Li University, Beijing 100083, China xiaolili@scut.edu.cn <sup>11</sup> Institute of Electrical Engineering, Yanshan University, <sup>1</sup> School of Systems Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, Qinhuangdao 066004, Hebei, China China <sup>2</sup> School of Computer Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China <sup>2</sup> College of Electrical and Control Engineering, North China University <sup>13</sup> School of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Electronic Information of Technology, Beijing 100041, China and Electrical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, <sup>3</sup> School of Automation Science and Engineering, South China University Dalian 116081, Liaoning, China of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China <sup>14</sup> Guangdong Artificial Intelligence and Digital Economy Laboratory <sup>4</sup> Department of Psychology, the State Key Laboratory of Brain (Guangzhou), Guangzhou 510335, China and Cognitive Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong



SAR 999077, China

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

# Introduction

Neuroscience, also called brain science, is a discipline that explores the structure and function of the brain [1]. It is a typical inter-discipline that involves multiple disciplines, such as biology, psychology, information science, medicine, engineering, and artificial intelligence. Neuroscience has been developed for about 100 years and extensively applied to diagnose neurological disorders. With the development of research methods, the focus of neuroscience has gradually transitioned from brain structure to brain function in the past decade [2-4]. The brain nerve response is known as the core of cognitive production. Accurate identification of the brain nerve response can contribute to identifying important human cognitive functions, developing intelligent algorithms, and advancing medical developments regarding neurological diseases [3].

With the development of research tools for neuroscience, multiple neuroimaging tools are available for exploring brain function, including electroencephalography (EEG)/intracranial electroencephalography (iEEG), functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), magnetoencephalography (MEG), positron emission tomography, and optogenetic techniques [4-7]. Among these methods, EEG/iEEG has been the most widely used tool for functional brain imaging due to its excellent temporal resolution and low equipment cost [8]. From the perspective of neurophysiology, EEG/iEEG reflects postsynaptic potential, which is generated when neurotransmitters bind to receptors on the postsynaptic membrane [9]. These postsynaptic potentials generate electric fields around neurons. Once sufficient neurons are activated, electroneurographic signals with specific patterns can be captured through a voltage amplifier. Owing to the shorter spatial distance between iEEG and neuronal groups, iEEG has higher accuracy and signal-to-noise ratio compared to EEG [9]. The electric signals captured by EEG have poor spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio since they are passed through the skull. However, EEG is a non-invasive technology, so it can be applied in a wider range of scenarios [8]. The information captured by both methods is the discharge of neuronal groups, so the capture equipment in both cases is a voltage amplifier, and the captured signals have basically the same manifestation. Hitherto, EEG/iEEG has been extensively applied to research diverse aspects of brain function, including attention [10], memory [11], language [12], emotions [13], and brain function disorders [14].

Although EEG/iEEG has good practicality, its application requires a certain foundation in signal processing technologies due to the complex representation of EEG signals; this leads to a problem in that some researchers lack clarity in selecting and applying analytical methods for EEG/iEEG. Therefore, we attempted to provide a brief introduction to commonly used EEG signal processing methods in this article. In this review, we first comprehensively classified EEG signals based on their characteristics. Next, we introduced commonly used analytical methods for EEG in terms of characteristics such as power spectrum and connectivity, and presented their adaptability to various types of EEG to assist researchers in method selection. We also summarized current issues and prospects for the future, which is expected to expedite the application of EEG/iEEG in brain science and neurological disease research.

# **Types of EEG signals**

Generally, in research articles, especially those on neurological disease, EEG is classified based on the research subjects. For instance, in sleep study, EEG is classified into EEG during wakefulness and sleep EEG [15]; in epilepsy-related study, EEG is sub-divided into interictal EEG, preictal EEG, ictal EEG, and postictal EEG [16]; and in research on event-related potentials, EEG is categorized into resting-state EEG and task-state EEG [17]. In addition, it can be classified according to the shape of the EEG itself. For example, it can be divided into delta, theta, and alpha based on frequency [18, 19] or slow wave, fast wave, sharp wave, and spike wave based on shape.

However, from the perspective of EEG analysis, we believe that EEG can be classified into the following three categories. (1) An EEG in which the functional state of the brain remains unchanged over time is called a timeinvariant EEG for short [20]. In this type of EEG, the state of the brain does not show significant changes during the capture process, for example, a resting-state EEG without psychological activity [16]. Alternatively, some changes in brain characteristics are not included among the main features to be studied. For example, in epilepsy research, researchers pay more attention to the pathological EEG; in this case, the interictal period without epileptic discharge can also be considered a time-invariant EEG [16]. Figure 1 is an example of sleep EEG. During sleep, the EEG is in a stable state for a long time. Figure 1a shows an EEG of a 5-s period of sleep, while Fig. 1b shows a 150-s sleep EEG. Although the EEG is unstable, relatively stable data segments can be found within the unstable EEG by analyzing these two segments. (2) Accurate event-related EEG can be regarded as an extension of event-related potentials; it refers to the EEG induced by a certain event where the induction time of the event is definite. EEG with time-varying characteristics caused by stimuli with a definite time, such as visuoauditory, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and electrical cortical stimulation [17]. Figure 2 is an example of event-related potential. Events



Fig. 1 Example of time-invariant electroencephalogram (EEG) based on sleep EEG. The data come from the C3, C4, O1, and O2 channels (10–20 system). a EEG data for a short sleep time of 5 s. b EEG data for a long sleep time of 150 s

will appear at a clear time, so accurate brain electrical responses can be obtained through time. Figure 2a is a section of an EEG containing event-related potentials, which marks the exact moment when the event occurred. Figure 2b shows the EEG response after superimposing multiple event-related potentials with the event as the 0 moment. Figure 2c shows the EEG response after the superposition of multiple event-related potentials, with the reaction time as the 0 moment. (3) Random eventrelated EEG refers to an EEG induced by a certain event in which the induction time of the event is random and cannot be determined. In research on diseases such as epilepsy or Parkinson's disease, pathological EEG is triggered by abnormal neural activity in the lesion area, but the timing of pathological induction is difficult to determine, resulting in a time-varying EEG [19]. Figure 3 displays the EEG signals of an epilepsy patient. Figure 3a shows the EEG signal during the interictal period, while Fig. 3b, c show the EEG signal in the early and late stages of the seizure, respectively. Figure 3d shows the EEG signal of the entire seizure process. Epilepsy is a random event, so the time of occurrence of the event needs to be retrospectively located after event onset, which poses challenges to the real-time analysis of epilepsy EEG data. However, Fig. 3a, c show that epileptic EEG is still relatively stable within a period. In Fig. 3d, the data observed during the interictal and postictal periods, which represent two stable stages, reveals a significant difference.

It should also be noted that the main classification criteria for these three types of EEG were based on the EEG features to be analyzed, and specific analysis is required according to the features of interest. In sleep disorder research, we can consider stage N1 EEG as a timeinvariant EEG. However, if the study target is a dream or memory, the main characteristics to be studied may also change during the N1 phase, and this EEG should be classified as a random event-related EEG. Therefore, researchers should accurately identify the target features to be studied before selecting an analytical method.

### **Common EEG analysis methods**

In this section, the extraction methods of common brain functional features based on the characteristics of EEG signals are introduced.

#### Power spectrum analyses

The power spectrum is a very common analytical method in EEG analysis that can analyze the energy changes of various frequency components in EEG signals. This method can be applied in studies on brain science and neurological diseases that can trigger EEG energy changes upon state changes, such as sleep stage changes, seizures, and emotional changes. Multiple power analysis methods are available for selection, such as the fast Fourier transform (FFT), Welch, and autoregressive (AR) model, with different characteristics and usage limitations. The articles in Table 1 covered a range of topics related to EEG analysis, including sleep onset, transitions between sleep stages, classification of neurological disorders, detection of post-stroke EEG signals, analysis of EEG background activity in autism and dyslexia, and the impact of various factors such as focused ultrasound stimulation, cognitive impairment in diabetes, and neurofeedback training in autism [20-41].

# FFT

FFT is a fast algorithm for computing discrete Fourier transform (DFT) [42]. DFT:

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-i2\pi nk/N}, k = 0, \dots, N-1$$
 (1)

Here, X(k) denotes the DFT, N represents the length of the available data, x(n) refers to the input signal in



Fig. 2 Example of time-invariant electroencephalogram (EEG) based on event-related potentials. **a** A 5-second period of continuous EEG data with an event marker. **b** Corresponding event-related potentials of all channels obtained by superimposing EEG signals with all "Event" markers taken as the zero time. **c** Corresponding event-related potentials of all channels obtained by superimposing EEG signals with all "Reaction time" markers taken as the zero time

the time domain, e signifies the exponential operation, i denotes the imaginary part, and k represents the sampling frequency. The calculation process of Equation (1) is known as the FFT algorithm. Using the symmetric and periodic nature of the exponential factor in the DFT calculation equation, FFT can reduce repetitive calculations

[42]. FFT calculations have a high-frequency resolution but are also easily affected by EEG signal noise, so an average period method has been proposed for improvement.

The average period method splits the original signal into N non-overlapping consecutive segments and then





Fig. 3 Example of time-invariant electroencephalogram (EEG) based on epilepsy EEG data. **a** A 5-second period of EEG data between epileptic seizures. **b** A 5-second period of EEG data in the early stage of an epileptic seizure. **c** A 5-second period of EEG data in the late stages of an epileptic seizure. **d** A 150-second period of EEG data from all stages of an epileptic seizure

		ccualiti allary scs				
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Ogilvie et al. [20]	EEG	18–25 years (male = 1, females = 8)	EFT	Sleep stages	Used the FFT method to reflect the energy changes dur- ing the onset and phase transition of sleep	During the transition from stage 2 to REM sleep, observable system- atic changes in EEG power density were reported across four standard frequency bands
Hadjiyannakis et al. [21]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 9$ )	FFT	Sleep stages	Conducted an in-depth study of sleep 20 years ago using the FFT method, which reflected energy changes during the onset and staging transitions of sleep	Sleep onset was identified in this study by the cessation of responses coupled with sharp increases in EEG synchronization
Sun et al. [22]	EEG	SZ (males = 36, females = 18)	FFT	SZ	Adopted the FFT method to explore the EEG features of schizophrenic patients	An average accuracy of 96.34% was attained using FFT in this study
Behnam et al. [23]	EEG	Autism disorders ( $n = 10$ )	FFT	Autism disorders	Utilized the FFT method to ana- lyze EEG differences in autistic patients with different electrodes and achieved significant perfor- mance at the FP1, F3 position	STFT-BW demonstrated an 82.4% discrimination rate between normal and autistic subjects using the Mahalanobis distance, whereas FFT and STFT did not yield significant results
Djamal et al. [24]	EEG	Stroke ( $n = 25$ ) Normal ( $n = 25$ )	FFT	Stroke	Improved the recognition accuracy of a one-dimensional (1D) CNN for the EEG signals of stroke patients	Utilizing FFT for identification was suggested to enhance accuracy by 45–80% compared to rely- ing solely on 1D CNN, according to the findings in this article
Farihah et al. [25]	EEG	Dyslexic (bays = 4)	FFT	Dyslexic	Applied the FFT method to explore the EEG characteristics of dyslexic patients	Distinct differences in hemispheric activation during the construction of sentences and nonsense sen- tences were observed between poor and capable dyslexic subjects, as reported in this study
Melinda et al. [26]	EEG	From King Abdul Aziz University (KUA)	FFT	ASD	Analyzed EEG differences in epi- leptic patients through the FFT approach	The article highlighted altera- tions in the PSD values, noting an increase in the alpha and beta sub-bands for normal EEG signals and a decrease for autistic EEG signals
Bian et al. [27]	EEG	MCI ( $n = 16$ ) Normal ( $n = 12$ )	Welch	Amnestic MCI in diabetes	Achieved early identification of mild cognitive dysfunction using Welch's method	Proposed indices derived from rest- ing-state EEG recordings were proposed to serve as tools for moni- toring cognitive function in diabetic patients and aiding in diagnosis, according to the claims in this article

 Table 1
 Applications of power spectrum analyses

Table 1 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Yuan et al. [28]	EEG	Normal rats (males = 5)	Welch	Transcranial ultrasound stimulation	Studied the changes in the brain function of animals responding to different intensities of tran- scranial ultrasound stimulation through Welch's method	The article suggested that power spectrum analysis holds significant reference value for brain stimulation, providing estimates of the extent of stimulation or inhibition of excite- ment
Wang et al. [29]	EEG	ASD (males = 14, females = 4)	Welch	ASD	Investigated the impacts of neuro- feedback training on the cognitive function of autistic children based on the changes in frequency band energy	Neuro-feedback was presented as an effective method for alter- ing EEG characteristics associated with ASD in this article
Göker [30]	EEG	Migraine (males = 5, females = 13) Normal (males = 9, females = 12)	Welch	Migraine	Adopted the Welch method to improve the accuracy of auto- matic migraine detection	The highest performance, with a 95.99% accuracy, was reported for the BiLSTM deep learning algorithm using 128 chan- nels in this article
Hu et al. [31]	EEG	Normal $(n=3)$	Welch	Hypoxia	Employed Welch's method in com- bination with BP and SVM classi- fiers for hypoxic EEG classification, achieving an accuracy of 94.2% (BP classifier) and 92.5% (SVM classifier)	Distinguishing hypoxic EEG from normal EEG in individuals was demonstrated in this study
Wijaya et al. [32]	EEG	Stroke ( $n = 10$ )	Welch	Stroke	Used the Welch method for the classification of patients with acute ischemic stroke, similar to CT scan results	All BSI calculations exceeded those of healthy subjects (0.042 ±0.005), indicating acute ischemic stroke in all subjects, as presented in this article
Cornelissen et al. [33]	EEG	Infants ( $n = 36$ )	Multitaper	General anesthesia in infants	Applied this method to study the effects of drugs under anesthe- sia on neonatal brain function	The article emphasized the neces- sity of age-adjusted analytical approaches for developing neurophysiology-based strate- gies in pediatric anesthetic state monitoring
Yang et al. [34]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 35$ )	Multitaper	SSVEP	Improved the accuracy of 40-class SSVEP using the multitaper method	The proposed method was asserted to effectively enhance the perfor- mance of a training-free SSVEP- based BCI system and balance recognition accuracy across different stimulation frequencies
Oliva et al. [35]	EEG	Bonn dataset	Multitaper	Epilepsy	Adopted the multitaper method for epilepsy detection with the assistance of different classifiers	This article reported achieving the highest accuracy for both binary (100%) and multiclass (98%) clas- sification problems

Table 1 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Oliveira et al. [36]	EEG	DREAMs dataset	Multitaper	Sleep	Used the multitaper method for the automatic detection of KC waveforms in a sleep EEG and obtained favorable results	The method for automatic KC detec- tion was asserted to improve detec- tion metrics, particularly F1 and F2 scores, according to the claims in this article
Mohammadi et al. [37]	EEG	Normal (males=6, females=4)	AR	Person Identification	Achieved personal identification using the AR model	Classification scores ranging from 80 to 100% were achieved, reveal- ing the potential of the approach for personal classification/identifica- tion
Perumalsamy et al. [38]	EEG	Normal $(n=5)$	AR	Sleep spindles detection	Extracted sleep feature waves through the AR model	The algorithm's effectiveness in detecting sleep spindles and revealing alpha and beta band activities in EEG was demonstrated in this article
Saidatul et al. [39]	EEG	Normal (males = 5)	AR	Relaxation and mental stress condition	Applied AR modeling techniques to analyze EEG differences between relaxed and stressed states	A maximum classification accuracy of 91.17% was reported in this study
Lawhern et al. [40]	EEG	Normal $(n=7)$	AR	Artifacts detection	Adopted the AR model to remove artifacts in EEG signals	AR modeling was suggested as a useful tool for discriminating artifact signals within and across individuals, according to the claims in this article
Mousavi et al. [41]	EEG	Bonn dataset	AR	Epilepsy	Used the AR model to automati- cally detect epileptic events in EEG signals	Correct classification scores in the range of 91% to 96% for epi- lepsy detection were reported in this study
AR autoregressive, ASD aut computed tomography, Df REM rapid eye movement, :	ism spectrum ( <i>REAM</i> dialogue: <i>SSVEP</i> steady si	lisorder, <i>BCI</i> brain-computer interface, <i>Bi</i> based reading comprehension examina <sup>:</sup> cate visually evoked potential, <i>SVM</i> suppo	<i>iL STM</i> bidirection, <i>EEG</i> electron ort vector mach	onal long short-term memory, <i>BP</i> backp roencephalography, <i>FT</i> fast Fourier tran hine, <i>SZ</i> schizophrenia, <i>STFT-BW</i> short t	ropagation, <i>BSI</i> brain symmetry index, <i>CNI</i> sform, <i>KC</i> k-complex, <i>MCI</i> mild cognitive ii time Fourier transform at bandwidth of tot time Fourier transform at bandwidth of tot	N convolutional neural network, CT mpairment, PSD power spectral density, cal spectrum

calculates the periodogram of each segment individually, all of which are finally averaged [43]. Through the window technique, averaging N periodograms can reduce the variance of the power spectral density estimation, but spectral leakage easily occurs because signal segmentation leads to increased boundaries of the data, while Fourier transform has a poor ability to process these data boundaries [44].

#### Welch

The Welch method has two improvements that enhance resolution and reduce errors in results compared to the average period method. First, this method allows overlap between data segments. Second, the Hamming window function is used for each segment instead of the rectangular window function, which ameliorates the potential distortion caused by too many rectangular windows [45].  $\{x_l(n)\}, l = 1, \ldots, S$  refer to data segments, and *M* represents the length of each segment. The overlapping coefficient is usually set as 50% (*M*/2). The Welch spectrum estimate is given by the following equation:

$$\widehat{P}_l(f) = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{P} \left| \sum_{n=1}^M \nu(n) x_l(n) e^{-i2\pi nf} \right|^2$$
(2)

$$\widehat{P}_{w}(f) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{l=1}^{S} \widehat{P}_{l}(f)$$
(3)

Here,  $\widehat{P}_l(f)$  represents the periodogram estimate of segment l and v(n) denotes the window function. P refers to the general average of  $|v_{(n)}^2| : P = 1/M \sum_{n=1}^{M} |v_{(n)}^2|^2$ , e signifies the exponential operation, i denotes the imaginary part, f represents the sampling frequency,  $\widehat{P}_w(f)$  refers to the Welch power spectral density estimate, and S signifies the number of segments.

Currently, Welch's method is one of the most widely used power spectrum analysis methods because it reduces the influence of boundary effects on the power spectrum, providing more stable power spectral results than FFT/short-time Fourier transform (STFT) methods.

# Multitaper

Multitaper can solve the bias and variance problems of nonparametric spectral estimation simultaneously in an optimal manner [46]. Windowing the signal using different tapers allows multiple independent estimates to be derived from the same signal since the different windows are uncorrelated with each other.

Assuming that  $X_k = \{x_{1,k}, x_{2,k}, \dots, x_{p,k}\}^T$  is the signal sequence, where *p* denotes the number of channels and *k* signifies the length of the sequence, the multitaper of the channel *l*, *m* is calculated as follows:

$$\widehat{S}_{l,m}^{mt}(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \widehat{S}_{l,m}^{(i)}(f)$$
(4)

$$\widehat{S}_{l,m}^{(i)}(f) = \Delta \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} h_k^{(i)} x_{l,k} e^{-i2\pi k f \Delta}\right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} h_k^{(i)} x_{m,k} e^{-i2\pi k f \Delta}\right]$$
(5)

Here, *K* refers to the cross-spectrum estimate, *N* represents the sequence length,  $\widehat{S}_{l,m}^{(i)}(f)$  signifies the *k*th direct cross-spectrum estimate of the channel *l*, *m*,  $\Delta$  indicates the sampling interval, *f* represents the sampling frequency, *e* signifies the exponential operation, *i* denotes the imaginary part, and  $h_k^{(i)}$  represents taper. Since the final result is obtained by processing multiple tapers, the problem of information loss caused by single-scale analysis can be reduced.

The multitaper method is a modified Welch's method that provides features similar to those of the STFT and Welch's methods, but its stability is improved and the number of parameters to be determined is reduced because it uses multiple tapers for superposition. For instance, in the well-known tool Fieldtrip, multitaper is employed as the major power spectrum analysis method [47].

#### AR model

The AR model can achieve the linear prediction modeling of the original signal as with the signal  $x(n), 0 \le n \le (N-1)$  deemed as white noise with a mean value of 0 and a variance equal to  $\sigma^2$ . The signal's amplitude during a specific period is determined by aggregating the various amplitudes from preceding signals and incorporating the estimation error. The model's order, or filter, is contingent upon the quantity of AR coefficients employed.

$$x(n) = -\sum_{k=1}^{p} a(k)x(n-k) + w(n)$$
(6)

Here, a(k) represents the coefficients of the AR model, w(n) signifies white noise with a variance equal to  $\sigma^2$ , and p refers to the model order. The AR(P) model can be characterized in terms of parameters  $\{a[1], a[2], \ldots, a[p], \sigma^2\}$ . Power spectral density:

$$P_{AR}(f) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\left|A(f)\right|^2} \tag{7}$$

 $A(f) = 1 + a_1 \exp(-j2\pi f) + \dots + a_p \exp(-j2\pi fp)$ . AR model parameters can be derived using Burg or least squares [48]. The AR model can process the short-term signal in contrast to the FFT. The AR model is less used because of its high coefficient requirement, but its scalability is so excellent that it has been used in many researches [37–41].

#### Time-frequency analyses

Since the EEG of human beings in a task state shows time-dependent changes, a time-frequency analysis is quite suitable for EEG analysis. For short-term signals, time-frequency analysis can replace power spectrum analysis to characterize the signals in two dimensions. The commonly used methods for time-frequency analysis include STFT, the wavelet transform (WT), empirical mode decomposition (EMD), and the Wigner-Ville distribution (WVD). The articles in Table 2 investigated various methods for EEG signal analysis, including the use of rational discrete STFT and deep learning for epileptic seizure classification, a hybrid approach for alcohol and control EEG signal classification, connectivity analysis in autism disorders using STFT and coherence values, drowsiness detection based on relative band power and STFT, automatic sleep stage classification using time-frequency images, and detection of deception using smoothed pseudo WVD, among other techniques [49-68].

# STFT

The STFT is a technique that divides long-term signals into shorter segments of uniform length. It then computes the Fourier transform separately for each of these shorter segments. The Fourier transform is defined as follows:

$$F(\omega,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\psi^*(t-\tau)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$
(8)

f(t) refers to the original signal,  $\tau$  signifies the translation parameter,  $\psi^*(t - \tau)$  denotes the window function (usually Hamming window), and when the window function uses a Gaussian function, the STFT is called a Gabor transform. Moreover, *e* signifies the exponential operation, *i* denotes the imaginary part, *t* refers to time, and  $\omega$  represents frequency. The STFT has a limitation in that its fixed time window results in a fixed time–frequency resolution [69].

# WVD

The WVD is a classical method for time–frequency analysis that excels in handling non-stationary signals. Unlike STFT, the WVD remains unaffected by leakage effects. This distribution represents a secondary energy density, derived by correlating the signal with time and frequency translations along with their complex conjugates. The instantaneous autocorrelation function of the signal f(t) is as follows:

$$R_f(t,\tau) = f\left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) f^*\left(t + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)$$
(9)

*t* refers to time,  $\tau$  signifies the time-lag correlation coefficient, \* denotes the complex conjugate, and the WVD of f(t) refers to the Fourier transform of  $R_f(t, \tau)$  about  $\tau$ .

$$W(t,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R_f(t,\tau) e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau$$
(10)

*e* signifies the exponential operation, *j* denotes the imaginary part, and  $\omega$  represents frequency.

The WVD has a series of good properties such as conjugate symmetry, time-marginal properties, frequencymarginal properties, and energy distribution properties. However, when the signals have multiple frequency components, the WVD is affected by cross terms, that is, it is easily affected by noise [70].

# WT

The WT overcomes the time–frequency resolution limitation observed in the STFT algorithm. This is achieved by introducing varying time–frequency resolutions in the outcomes through the translation and scaling of wavelets. Wavelets:

$$\psi_{a,b}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\right)\psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \tag{11}$$

 $\psi(t)$  refers to the mother wavelet,  $\psi_{a,b}(t)$  signifies the sub-wavelet, *a*, *b* refer to the modulation and translation parameters, respectively, and the WT of the signal f(t) is as follows:

$$W_{\psi}f(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\psi^*\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) dt \qquad (12)$$

Now, there are many optional mother wavelet functions (such as morse, morlet, db, and Harr), which include discrete and continuous wavelets. For EEG signal analysis, discrete wavelets are commonly used for signal decomposition, and continuous wavelets are commonly used for signal presentation. Thus far, although continuous wavelets cause massive data redundancy, they have been the preferred time–frequency analysis method, with more accurate and smooth time–frequency representation [69].

#### EMD

EMD is a self-adaptive multiresolution technique that decomposes the original signals into components of

-		~ ~ ~				
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Samiee et al. [49]	EEG	Bonn dataset	STFT	Epilepsy	Utilized the STFT method to extract EEG data during seizure periods and non- seizure periods	The proposed method in this study was asserted to surpass competing tech- niques in classification accuracy while offer- ing a compact representation of EEG time series
Beeraka et al. [50]	EEG	Bonn dataset	STFT	Epilepsy	Detected epileptic seizures in patients using the STFT method	Using CNN and BiLSTM models, this article reported an average classification accuracy of 93.3% and 97.2%, respectively
Bajaj et al. [51]	EEG	Normal ( $n=120$ )	STFT	Alcohol	Employed the STFT algorithm to classify EEG features in patients with alcoholic encephalopathy	Experimental outcomes and comparisons with state-of-the-art algorithms led the arti- cle to claim the superior performance of the proposed method over competing algorithms
Sheikhani et al. [52]	EEG	Autism disorder (males=9, female=1)	STFT	Autism disorder	Adopted the STFT algorithm to analyze the brain activity of autistic patients	The beta band (14–34 Hz) was highlighted in this article for demonstrating an 82.4% discrimination rate between the two groups
Krishnan et al. [53]	EEG	DROZY database	STFT	Drowsiness	Utilized the STFT algorithm to detect and categorize two sleep states, "drowsy" and "alert"	Employing the KNN classifier, the article achieved classification accuracies of 96.1% (dataset 1) and 95.5% (dataset 2)
Bajaj et al. [54]	EEG	Normal ( $n=8$ )	DVW	Sleep stages	Applied the WVD to extract the character- istics of sleep stages	Effectiveness in classifying sleep stages from EEG signals was demonstrated in this article through the proposed method
Yan et al. [55]	ieeg	Epilepsy ( $n = 21$ )	DVW	Epilepsy	Detected seizures in patients using the WVD	This article showcased a satisfactory sensitivity of 94.26%, a specificity of 96.34%, and a very short delay time of 0.56 s
Ebrahimzadeh et al. [56	] EEG	Normal ( $n=32$ )	DVW	Deception	Developed a P300-based deception detec- tion method using the WVD	The method presented in this article claimed to detect deception with an accu- racy of 89.73%, surpassing the performance of previously reported methods
Khare et al. [57]	EEG	SZ (n=49) Normal (n=32)	DVW	SZ	Used the WVD approach to automati- cally detect EEG signals in schizophrenic patients	An accuracy of 93.36% was achieved in this article using the smoothed pseudo-WVD-based time-frequency representation and CNN model
Faust et al. [58]	EEG	Bonn dataset	TW	Epilepsy	Adopted the WT algorithm to develop an assisted seizure detection system	The article asserted that the method presented is the most effective for the automated EG-based diagnosis of epilepsy
Gandhi et al. [59]	EEG	Epilepsy Normal	TW	Epilepsy	Developed an expert model for epileptic activity detection using the WT method	Detection accuracy of 99.33%, along with a sensitivity of 99.6% and specificity of 99%, was claimed in this article

Table 2 Applications of time-frequency analyses

Table 2 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Anuragi et al. [60]	EEG	Alcoholic and non-alcoholic ( $n$ =122)	TW	Alcohol	Applied the WT algorithm for the auto- matic detection of alcoholism	The LS-SVM using a polynomial kernel was reported as the best performer in this article, achieving an accuracy of 99.17%, sensitivity of 99.17%, and specificity of 99.44% with a tenfold cross-validation technique
Murugappan et al. [61]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 20$ )	LΜ	Emotion	Reported the application of the WT algo- rithm for emotional recognition	Maximum average classification rates of 83.26% using KNN and 75.21% using LDA were achieved in this article, outperforming conventional features
Adeli et al. [62]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 2$ )	LΜ	Epilepsy	Analyzed the EEG signals of epileptic patients with the WT algorithm	Wavelet analyses of EEGs from a patient population were suggested in this article to potentially indicate physiological pro- cesses during epilepsy onset
Hamad et al. [63]	EEG	Bonn dataset	ΤM	Epilepsy	Utilized the WT algorithm to extract multiple epileptic features	Extracting 10 features from EEG signals based on discrete WT, this article aimed to improve accuracy in classifying EEG signals for epilepsy detection
Li et al. [64]	EEG	Bonn dataset Epilepsy ( <i>n</i> = 6)	EMD	Epilepsy	Analyzed automated seizure detection using the EMD algorithm	This article reported sensitivities of 97,00% and 98,00%, and specificities of 96,25% and 99,40% for interictal and ictal EEGs and normal and ictal EEGs, respectively, on Bonn datasets
Siuly et al. [65]	EEG	SZ ( <i>n</i> = 49) Normal ( <i>n</i> = 32)	EMD	SZ	Applied the EMD algorithm for the automated detection of SZ	The ensemble bagged tree was identified as the best classifier in this article, achieving a 93.21% correct classification rate for SZ
Chen et al. [66]	EEG	Awareness (samples = 558) Anesthesia (samples = 558)	EMD	Anesthesia	Adopted the EMD algorithm to classify anesthetized and awake states	The article claimed that the IMF performed the best, with an AUC of 0.993 for FFT (or 0.989 for Hilbert transform)
Babiker et al. [67]	EEG	Normal (males=38, females=5)	EMD	Situational interest	Detected the situational interest of students in the learning process with the application of the EMD algorithm	While SVM achieved high accuracies of 93.3% and 87.5% for two datasets using features from four EEG channels, the KNN classifier achieved comparable accuracies of 87.5% and 86.7% for the same datasets using a single EEG channel, as reported in this article
Priya et al. [68]	EEG	Alcoholic and non-alcoholic ( <i>n</i> =122)	EMD	Alcohol	Employed an EMD algorithm to differenti- ate between alcoholic EEG and normal EEG	Accuracy results for an LS-SVM classifier with polynomial and RBF kernels were stated as 96.67% and 97.92%, respectively, in this article
AUC area under the curve, electroencephalography, I, SVM support vector machi	. BiLSTM bidirec MF intrinsic mu	ctional long short-term memory, CNN convolt ode function, KNN k-Nearest Neighbors, LDA I bronia, MT, wavalar transform, MXD,Wishors, X	lutional neur linear discrir <sup>rill</sup> a distribut	al network, <i>EEG</i> electro ninant analysis, <i>LS-SVM</i>	encephalography, <i>EMD</i> empirical mode decompileast-squares support vector machine, <i>RBF</i> radia	osition, <i>FFT</i> fast Fourier transform, <i>iEEG</i> intracranial lasis function, <i>STFT</i> short-time Fourier transform,

$$I(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} IMF_M(n) + Res_M(n)$$
(13)

I(n) refers to a multi-component signal,  $IMF_M(n)$  signifies the Mth IMF, and  $Res_M(n)$  denotes the corresponding residual intrinsic modes. The IMF components usually extract time–frequency features using the Hilbert spectral analysis. EMD is characterized by self-adaptability and high efficiency. However, it may exhibit aliasing effects due to the presence of IMFs that contain significantly different characteristic time scales or when similar characteristic time scales are dispersed across different IMFs. EMD does not rely on the primary function of the fixed frequency, so the time–frequency results obtained by EMD are affected by Gibs; however, its positioning performance to the frequency is poor [72].

# **Connectivity analyses**

Connectivity analysis of EEG is an analytical method that has gained much attention in recent years and is fundamental to research on brain networks and connectivity. Connectivity analysis includes multiple types, such as signal morphology-based, signal phase-based, statistics-based, and information-based analyses. Different correlation analvsis methods are oriented to different principles and the obtained results express different characteristics. Hence, it is important to accurately choose suitable connectivity analysis methods during brain network research. We introduce several common connectivity analysis methods here. Table 3 summarized some articles that explored various aspects of EEG signal analysis, including analysis for different severities of obstructive sleep apnea, synchrony measures for early Alzheimer's disease diagnosis, correlation between EEG abnormalities and symptoms of autism spectrum disorder, EEG channel correlation for emotion recognition, quantitative EEG in ischemic stroke correlation with functional status, variability of EEG functional connectivity in young attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subjects, and the identification of causal relationships between EEG activity and intracranial pressure changes in neurocritical care patients, among other topics [73–106].

#### Correlation (CORR)

CORR measures the similarity between two signals by calculating the variance of signals [107]. The CORR for each given frequency is as follows:

$$Corr(x) = \frac{C_{AB}(x)}{(C_{AA}(x)C_{BB}(x))}$$
(14)

 $C_{AB}(x)$  represents the cross-covariance between signal A and signal B, and  $C_{AA}(x)$  and  $C_{BB}(x)$  refer to the autocovariance of signal A and signal B, respectively. CORR is sensitive to both phase and polarity.

#### Coherence (COH)

COH measures the similarity between two signals by calculating the power spectral density [108]. The COH for each given frequency is:

$$COH(x) = \frac{|S_{AB}(x)|^2}{(S_{AA}(x)S_{BB}(x))}$$
(15)

 $S_{AB}(x)$  represents the cross-spectrum between signal A and signal B, and  $S_{AA}(x)$  and  $S_{BB}(x)$  refer to the auto-covariance of signal A and signal B, respectively. Because COH is calculated through cross-spectrum and auto-spectrum, it is very sensitive to the phase changes of the signal but is little affected by energy changes.

# Wavelet coherence (WTC)

WTC can represent the time-varying relationships between different signals in the time-frequency domain by producing different time-frequency resolutions through wavelet translation and dilation [109].

The WT of signal *x* is a function of time and frequency, defined as the convolution of an input with a wavelet family  $\theta(u)$ :

$$W_x(t,f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(u)\theta_{t,f}^*(u)du$$
(16)

With given input signals x and y, the wavelet crossspectrum around time t and frequency f can be derived through the WT of x and y:

$$CW_{xy}(t,f) = \int_{t-\delta/2}^{t+\delta/2} W_x(\tau,f) W_y^*(\tau,f) d\tau \qquad (17)$$

Here, \* represents the complex conjugate, and  $\delta$  denotes the scalar dependent on the frequency. The WTC of time *t* and frequency *f* is represented by  $CW_{xx}(t,f)$ , and  $CW_{yy}(t,f)$  refers to the Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function of signal *x* and signal *y*. WTC can view the phase correlation between signals on the time spectrum and reduce the interference of energy.

Deferences	0.40	Chiozte	Mathad	Disconce (state	Amination	Effort and motion
Kang et al. [73]	EEG EEG	SHHS dataset	CORR	Sleep stages	Application Analyzed the severity of symp- toms in patients with OSA using the CORR method	Variations in microstructures were identified between the PSG-derived sleep EEG of non-OSA participants
Dauwels et al. [74]	EEG	MCI ( <i>n</i> = 25) Normal ( <i>n</i> = 56)	CORR	AD	Employed CORR to assess early symptoms of AD	and those with variying sevenues of OSA in this study Stochastic event synchrony was proposed as a feature to dif- ferentiate MCI patients from age- matched controls, achieving
Yasuhara [75]	EEG	Autistic children ( $n = 1014$ )	CORR	ASD	Used CORR to analyze the rela- tionship between EEG abnormali-	a leave-one-out classification rate of 83%, as reported in this article The article suggested a correlation between ASD and dysfunction
Islam et al. [76]	BEG	Normal (males = 16, females = 16)	CORR	Emotion	Integrated the CORR method with a CNN to identify emotions	Maximum accuracies of 78.22% Maximum accuracies of 78.22% on valence and 74.92% on arousal any authorized DEAP dataset in this study.
Sheorajpanday et al. [77]	EEG	Stroke ( <i>n</i> = 110)	CORR	Stroke	Investigated the correlation between the EEG symmetry index and the Rankin scale and deter- mined the prognostic value of EEG signals in the diagnosis of stroke	Prognostic value for dis- ability, dependency, and death after 6 months in the subacute set- ting of ischemic stroke was attrib- uted to EEG, according to this article
Alba et al. [78]	EEG	ADHD ( <i>n</i> = 10) Normal ( <i>n</i> = 12)	СОН	ADHD	Adopted COH to analyze the functional connectivity of EEG in patients with ADHD under dif- ferent resting states	Global connectivity of each region and its temporal variability were posited as better reflections of the underlying neural dysfunc- tions producing ADHD in this article
Carrasco-Gomez et al. [79]	EEG	Postanoxic coma ( <i>n</i> = 594)	СОН	Postanoxic coma	Assessed EEG functional connec- tivity in the context of post-anoxic coma through COH	The best non-coupling-based model, using data at 12 h and 48 h, achieved a sensitivity of 32% at 100% specificity, as claimed in this article
Barry et al. [80]	EEG	Normal (boys=40, girls=40)	COH	Developmental trends in normal children	Employed COH to analyze brain development in normal children of different ages and genders	This article asserted that EEG coherences in normal children aged 8 to 12 systematically develop with age

Table 3 Applications of correlation analyses

Table 3 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Locatelli et al. [81]	EEG	AD ( <i>n</i> = 10) Normal ( <i>n</i> = 10)	СОН	AD	Analyzed the EEG signal character- istics of AD	Alpha coherence decrease was linked to alterations in cortico- cortical connections, while delta coherence increase was associated with the lack of influence of subcor- tical cholinergic structures on corti- cal electrical activity, as claimed in this article
Coben et al. [82]	EEG	ASD ( $n = 20$ ) Normal ( $n = 20$ )	СОН	ASD	Found neural underconnec- tivity in patients with ASD through COH, which is consistent with the results of other methods	Dysfunctional integration of frontal and posterior brain regions, along with a pattern of neural underconnectivity, was suggested in autistic subjects, as reported in this article
Catarino et al. [83]	EEG	ASC $(n = 15)$ Normal $(n = 15)$	WTC	ASC	Probed task-related functional connections in the setting of the autism spectrum using the WTC algorithm	Impairment in task differentiation in individuals with ASC relative to typically developing individuals was reflected in this article
Omidvarnia et al. [84]	EEG	Epilepsy $(n = 7)$	WTC	Epilepsy	Discussed whether there was a direct correlation between EEG and regional hemodynamic brain connectivity changes in focal epilepsy	A strong time-varying relationship between local fMR connectivity and interictal EEG power in focal epilepsy was claimed in this article
Khan et al. [85]	EEG	MDD ( $n = 30$ ) Normal ( $n = 30$ )	WTC	DDM	Studied the diagnosis of depres- sion using the WTC approach	An accuracy of 98.1%, sensitivity of 98.0%, and specificity of 98.2% were achieved in this article, with another method yielding 100% accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity
Sankari et al. [86]	EEG	AD ( <i>n</i> =20)	WTC	AD	Utilized the WTC method to explore the diagnosis of AD	WTC was proposed as a pow- erful tool to differentiate between healthy older individuals and probable AD patients in this article
Briels et al. [87]	EEG	SCD ( <i>n</i> = 399) AD ( <i>n</i> = 410)	PLV/PLI	AD	Analyzed the reproducibility of EEG functional connections in AD using PLV/PLI	In alpha/beta bands and PLI and wPLI in the theta band were highlighted for providing valid insights into disease-associated changes, correlating with disease severity, as indicated in this study

Table 3 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Olejarczyk et al. [88]	EEG	SZ (males = 7, females = 7) Normal (males = 7, females = 7)	PLV/PLI	SZ	Assessed brain connectivity in patients with SZ using PLV/PLI	Comparing different connectiv- ity measures using graph-based indices for each frequency band separately was suggested as a use- ful tool in the study of connectivity disorders, such as SZ
Wang et al. [89]	EEG	DEAP dataset Normal (males = 7, females = 8)	PLV/PLI	Emotion	Explored the dynamics of rich- club structures in the brain dur- ing emotional changes, utilizing dynamic PLV brain networks and ReliefF algorithm to derive emotionally relevant features for accurate emotion recognition	Rich-club composition with subtle temporal variations was revealed, emphasizing the importance of small-scale structure connec- tions in distinguishing emotions, achieving high accuracy (86.11% and 87.92%) in valence dimen- sion validation on DEAP and SEED datasets
Huang et al. [90]	EEG	CAP dataset	PLV/PLI	Sleep stages	Highlighted the importance of exploring global information exchange between brain regions for improved sleep evaluation and disease diagnosis	High classification accuracy (96.91% intra-subject, 96.14% inter- subject) in sleep stage classifica- tion surpassed the performance of decision-level and hybrid fusion methods in this study
Zuchowicz et al. [91]	EEG	MDD $(n=8)$ BP $(n=10)$	PLV/PLI	DDM	Explored the impact of repeated transcranial magnetic stimula-tion on patients with depression through the PLV/PLI approach	PLV analysis was indicated as a potential indicator of the response to depression treat- ment, enhancing therapy effective- ness in this research
Chen et al. [92]	BEG	ADHD (girls = 9) Normal ( $n$ = 51)	M	ADHD	Adopted MI to extract the brain network of children with ADHD	A convincing performance with an accuracy of 94.67% regard- ing the test data was achieved in this article
Aydin et al. [93]	EEG	Normal	M	Sleep stages	Analyzed the EEG of insomnia patients using MI	The level of cortical hemispheric connectivity was claimed to be strongly associated with sleep disorders in this article
Piho et al. [94]	EEG	DEAP dataset MAHNOB dataset	M	Emotion	Determined emotion recognition features through MI	Significant improvement in emo- tion recognition accuracy was dem- onstrated in experimental results on publicly available datasets, as claimed in this article
Hassan et al. [95]	EEG	Bonn dataset CHB-MIT dataset	MI	Epilepsy	Applied MI to identify individual features for epileptic seizure detection	Significant performance improve- ment compared to recent state- of-the-art methods was reported in this article

Table 3 (continued)						
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Yin et al. [96]	EEG	SZ (positive = 14, negative = 14) Normal ( $n = 14$ )	×	SZ	Analyzed brain functional con- nectivity in patients with SZ using the MI approach	Information interactions in SZ patients were claimed to be fewer than in normal controls, with posi- tive SZ exhibiting more interactions than negative SZ, along with slower and less efficient information transfer between brain regions, according to this article
Sanz-García et al. [97]	EEG	SAH ( <i>n</i> =21)	U U	Subarachnoid hemorrhage	Used the GC algorithm to deter- mine the causal relationship between EEG activity and changes in ICP in neurocritical care patients	A significant GC statistic from EEG activity to ICP was found dur- ing 37.88% of the analyzed time, with typical lags of 25–50 s between them, as reported in this article
de Tommaso et al. [98]	EEG	Migraine (males = 3, females = 28)	C	Migraine	Adopted the GC algorithm to explore the functional connec- tivity of EEG signals in migraine patients responding to laser stimulation	Brain network analysis was sug- gested to aid in understanding subtle changes in pain processing under laser stimuli in migraine patients in this article
Nicolaou et al. [99]	EEG	Normal (males=21)	S	Anesthetized	Utilized the GC algorithm to distinguish between "awake" and "anesthetized" states	Features derived from GC estimates resulted in the classification of awake and "anesthetized" states in 21 patients with maximum average accuracies of 0.98 and 0.95, respectively, according to this article
Nicolaou et al. [100]	EEG	Normal (males = 21)	GC	Anesthetized	Utilized the GC algorithm to distinguish between "awake" and "anesthetized" states	The methodology of GC analysis of EEG data was claimed to carry implications for integrated informa- tion and causal density theories of consciousness in this article
Barrett et al. [101]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 7$ )	90	Anesthetized	Investigated propofol-induced anesthesia using the GC algorithm	Significant increases in bidirectional GC during loss of consciousness, especially in the beta and gamma frequency ranges, were claimed in this article
Coben et al. [102]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n=2$ )	90	Seizure location	Analyzed brain functional connec- tivity in epilepsy through the GC algorithm	Hypercoupling near the seizure foci and low causality across nearby and associated neuronal pathways were suggested in this article

References	Data type	e Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Chen et al. [103]	EEG	MCI ( <i>n</i> =46) AD ( <i>n</i> =43)	MCI and AD	CFC	Analyzed resting state EEG in patients with MCl and AD using CFC	Alterations in theta-gamma coupling in the temporal lobe were claimed to become progressively obvious during disease progres- sion, serving as a valuable indicator of MCI and AD pathology, as sug- gested in this article
Lynn et al. [104]	EEG	Not reported	SZ	CFC	Analyzed the working memory of schizophrenic patients using CFC	Formal testing of theta-gamma interaction was proposed as imper- ative in this article
Papadaniil et al. [105]	EEG	Normal (males=14)	Auditory Perception	CFC	Used CFC to study auditory per- ception tasks	Stronger coupling in the delta band, closely linked to sensory processing, was observed and claimed in this article
Park et al. [106]	EEG	Normal	visual memories	CFD	Used CFD to study the formation of visual memory	Encoding of visual information reflecting a state determined by the interaction between alpha and gamma activity was asserted in this article
AD Alzheimer's disease, ADH. Hospital Boston and the Mas	D attention dessachusetts Ins	eficit hyperactivity disorder, ASC autism s stitute of Technology, <i>COH</i> coherence, <i>CC</i>	spectrum condition, ASD DRR correlation, DEAP dat	autism spectrum disorder, <i>CFC</i> c tabase for emotion analysis usin	ross-frequency coupling, <i>CFD</i> cross-freq g physiological signals, <i>EEG</i> electroencel	uency directionality, CHB-MIT Children's ohalography, fMRI functional magnetic

resonance imaging, GC granger causality, *ICP* intracranial pressure, *MAHNOB* Multimodal Database for Affect Recognition and Implicit Tagging, *MC* mild conjuve impairment, *MDP* major depressive disorder, *MI* mutual information, OSA obstructive sleep apnea, *PLVPLI* phase lag value/index, *PSG* polysomnography, *SEED* Shanghai Jiao Tong University emotion EEG dataset, *SHHS* sleep heart health study, *SZ* schizophrenia, *wPLI* weighted phase lag index, *WTC* wavelet coherence

Table 3 (continued)

#### Phase lag value/index (PLV/PLI)

PLV and PLI are commonly applied to acquire the strength of phase synchronization [110]. The instantaneous phase of signal x(t) is generated using the following formula:

$$\varnothing_x(t) = \arctan\frac{\widetilde{x}(t)}{x(t)} \tag{18}$$

Here,  $\tilde{x}(t)$  signifies the Hilbert transform of x(t), defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{x}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau$$
(19)

PV refers to the Cauchy principal value. The PLV of two signals is defined as follows:

$$PLV = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{(j(\emptyset_x(j\Delta t)) - \emptyset_y(j\Delta t))} \right|$$
(20)

Here,  $\Delta t$  denotes the sampling period, *N* represents the number of samples per signal, *j* refers to the imaginary part, and *e* signifies the exponent. PLV signifies phase synchronization, with values ranging from 0 to 1. A value of 0 indicates a lack of synchronization, while 1 represents strict phase synchronization. On the other hand, PLI characterizes the asymmetry in the phase difference distribution between two signals. It is computed based on the relative phase difference between the two signals:

$$PLI = |E[sign(\Delta \emptyset(t))]|$$
(21)

*E* represents expectation, the result value is located within the interval [0, 1], and a higher value indicates a higher phase synchronization.

#### Mutual information (MI)

MI is designed based on information theory, which presents how one signal provides information for another signal [109].  $P(x_j)$  and  $P(y_j)$  are the probability distributions of signal  $X = \{x_j\}$  and signal  $Y = \{y_j\}$ , respectively. The entropy of X and Y is defined as follows:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} P(x_j) log(P(x_j))$$
(22)

$$H(Y) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} P(y_j) log(P(y_j))$$
(23)

*N* signifies the window length. H(Y|X) and H(X, Y) refer to the conditional entropy and joint entropy between *X* and *Y*, respectively, which are defined as:

$$H(X,Y) = -E_X[E_Y[logP(X,Y)]]$$
(24)

$$H(Y|X) = -E_X[E_Y[logP(Y|X)]]$$
(25)

Here, *E* denotes the expected value function. The MI of signal *X* and signal *Y* is calculated as follows:

$$MI(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$
(26)

MI can simultaneously detect the linear and nonlinear correlations between two signals, but it requires mass data.

#### Granger causality (GC)

GC is a linear vector AR model based on random timeseries data, which can estimate effective interactions from time-series data [111]. For this method, if the past value of the signal  $X_1(t)$  contains information that contributes to the prediction of  $X_2(t)$ , which exceeds the information contained only in the past value of *Y*, the signal  $X_1(t)$  "Granger causes" the signal  $X_2(t)$ . Therefore, the bivariate AR model is as follows:

$$X_{1}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{11,j} X_{1}(t-j) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{12,j} X_{2}(t-j) + E_{1}(t)$$
(27)

$$X_{2}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{21,j} X_{1}(t-j) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{22,j} X_{2}(t-j) + E_{2}(t)$$
(28)

*p* refers to the maximum number of delayed observations, *j* denotes the lag coefficient, the matrix *A* represents the contribution of each delayed observation to the predicted signal value, and  $E_1(t)$ ,  $E_2(t)$  signify the residual of each time series. GC can only provide information on the linear characteristics of the signal and cannot analyze nonlinear situations.

#### Cross-frequency analysis (CFA)

CFA is a kind of rapidly developing connectivity analysis method, which mainly includes cross-frequency coupling (CFC) and cross-frequency directionality (CFD).

CFC describes the interaction of brain oscillations across different frequency bands and manifests in four modes: phase-to-amplitude, power-to-power, phase-tophase, and phase-to-frequency interactions. The Kullback–Leibler distance serves as an effective metric for quantifying CFC [112]. Notably, CFC holds significance in working memory processes [113]. According to the theta/gamma neural code hypothesis, conserved memory items are encoded through theta-nested gamma cycles in sensory regions, facilitating communication between different brain cortices during memory and sensory processes [114]. A study leveraging iEEG data in epilepsy patients, coupled with behavioral outcomes, underscore the association between theta/gamma CFC across diverse brain regions and working memory performance [115]. Key findings reveal the widespread distribution of theta/ gamma phase amplitude coupling across the cortex, with increased coupling strength observed in more cognitively demanding working memory tasks [116].

CFD, measuring information flow direction between brain regions, involves the modulation of high-frequency signal amplitude by the phase of a low-frequency signal [117]. It relies on the phase slope index, quantifying the phase slope in the cross-spectrum of two signals [117]. CFD has proven valuable for inferring causal relationships and estimating signal delays [118]. Additionally, it has been employed in exploring information flow directions between distinct brain regions during various cognitive tasks [117].

#### Source localization analysis

With the continuous development of EEG and MEG devices, the number of channels in scalp EEG or MEG has increased to over 100. Multi-channel and multi-location EEG/MEG signals have accelerated the development of EEG source localization.

First, structural MRI is often used as a prior in source localization analysis because it provides a high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) image of the brain's anatomy. This image can be used to create a head model that accurately represents the geometry and conductivity of the brain and skull [119]. The head model is then used to calculate the forward solution, which describes how electrical activity generated by the brain is measured at the scalp [120]. By incorporating structural MRI information into the forward solution, the accuracy of the source localization can be improved.

Moreover, structural MRI has the potential to generate an accurate boundary element model of the head, facilitating the computation of the lead field matrix [121]. This matrix characterizes the propagation of electrical activity generated by the brain to the scalp electrodes [122]. The utilization of a realistic boundary element model enhances the precision of lead field matrix calculations, thereby improving the accuracy of source localization [123].

The source localization method can infer the intracranial discharge status of the brain through multi-channel signals from the scalp, human brain physical models, and finite element calculations. Source localization methods are commonly employed to localize functional areas and lesion areas, among others, under non-invasive conditions. Common source localization methods are introduced below. The articles in Table 4 explored EEG source localization techniques, including dipole analysis, beamforming approaches, and methods like low-resolution electromagnetic tomography (LORETA) and standardized LORETA (sLORETA), to study various conditions such as epilepsy, visual working memory tasks, auditory attention, depression, obsessive–compulsive disorder, pain perception, age-related hearing loss, and different neurological disorders, providing insights into the localization of brain activity in these contexts [124–151].

#### Minimum norm estimation

The minimum norm estimation method uses MEG for analysis and solves the current distribution by estimating the linear combination of the magnetometer lead field.  $L_i$  signifies the vector field at the position *i*, so the output of the magnetometer is defined as follows:

$$B_i(J) = \int L_i(r)J(r)dV$$
(29)

J(r) denotes the conversion of various energy types into electrical energy, and the linear relationship among the magnetometer reading, current distribution, and lead field is expressed as:

$$B = LJ \tag{30}$$

Consequently, the shortest current vector needed to explain the magnetometer output is defined by multiplying the output vector *B* by the pseudo-inverse of *L*:

$$\widehat{J} = L^+ B \tag{31}$$

Here,  $L^+ = L^T (LL^T)^+$  represents the Moore–Penrose generalized inverse, predicting minimum norm solutions for pure signals, noise-contaminated signals, and smoothed noise signals. Due to the harmonic nature of the minimum norm solution, the method faces challenges in resolving deep source localization within the outermost cortex, leading to localization errors [152].

#### Focal underdetermined system solution (FOCUSS)

FOCUSS is a high-resolution non-parametric technique that allocates current to each element within a predetermined reconstruction region using a forward model [153]. The weighted minimum norm method is used to perform mathematical calculations in the recursive steps in focusing. The calculation formula for the unknown current element I is as follows:

$$I = W(GW)^{+}B = WW^{T}G^{T} \left(GWW^{T}G^{T}\right)^{-1}B$$
(32)

References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Toole et al. [124]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 9$ )	MNR	Seizure location	Investigated the specificity of epi- leptic patients using the minimum norm	HFA observed in tEEG was found to be localized to the surface of subject-specific cortical models, occurring predominantly at seizure onset, as per the assertions in this article
Galaris et al. [125]	EEG	Epilepsy (boys = 10, girls = 11)	MN	Seizure location	Conducted an EEG source localization analysis during a visual working memory task in children with epilepsy using the minimum norm	The spatio-temporal patterns of dif- ferences between groups of epilep- tic and control children were claimed to be consistent across all three methods, according to this article
Lee et al. [126]	EEG/MEG	Normal ( $n=1$ )	MNE	ERP location	Employed the minimum norm to study the source localization of auditory stimuli	Utilizing individual anatomical MRI data, this article asserted the pos- sibility of establishing a relation- ship between sensor information and dipole activation on the cortex
Sperli et al. [127]	EEG	Epilepsy (males = 11, females = 19)	MN	Seizure location	Examined the application of source localization algorithms in pediatric epilepsy using the minimum norm	The ESI was claimed to compare favorably to other imaging tech- niques, achieving a success rate of 90%, positioning it as a valuable tool for epilepsy surgery planning in children, as stated in this article
Plummer et al. [128]	EEG	Epilepsy (children=8)	FOCUSS	Seizure location	Performed source localization analysis of EEG during seizures in patients with focal epilepsy using the FOCUSS algorithm	The clinical utility of routine work-up for unilateral BFEC and unilateral MTLE secondary to hippocampal sclerosis was demonstrated using distributed source modeling in this article
Wei et al. [129]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 1$ )	FOCUSS	Seizure location	Combined the FOCUSS algorithm with the LORETA algorithm for epi- leptic focus localization	The article suggested the potential use of estimated source energy trends for predicting epileptic seizures, showcasing the algorithm's application in both localization and prediction aspects
Ye et al. [130]	EEG	Normal ( $n=2$ )	FOCUSS	ERP location	Reconstructed MRI images with the FOCUSS algorithm	The new algorithm's successful appli- cation for synthetic data and in vivo brain imaging obtained by an under- sampled radial spin echo sequence was claimed in this article
Saletu et al. [131]	EEG	Depressed menopausal syndrome (females = 60) Menopausal syndrome (females = 30) Normal (females = 30)	LORETA	Pharmacotherapy of depression	Used the LORETA algorithm to study the effects of drugs on patients with depression before and after treatment	EEG activity in the theta band was claimed to be increased in anatomically meaningful patterns in patients, differing from the distri- bution in healthy individuals, accord- ing to this article

 Table 4
 Applications of source localization analyses

References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Clemens et al. [132]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 40$ ) Normal ( $n = 14$ )	LORETA	Seizure location	Applied spectral analysis and LORETA to investigate and localize the sources of spon- taneous theta activity in patients with partial epilepsy, distinguishing between untreated and treated groups, as well as healthy individu- als	Untreated partial epilepsy patients were reported to display bilateral theta maxima in specific brain areas, while treated patients showed increased theta activ- ity across the scalp with shifting abnormality centers in certain areas, as revealed in this article
Kopñvová et al. [133]	E	OCD (n = 50) Normal $(n = 50)$	LORETA	OCD	Utilized sLORETA and normative ICA to assess intracortical EEG sources in 50 patients with OCD, revealing increased low-frequency activity in the medial frontal cortex compared to matched controls	Low-frequency power excess in the medial frontal cortex of OCD patients was indicated through sLO- RETA and group ICA methods, providing consistent evidence for medial frontal hyperactivation in OCD, as reported in this article
Shao et al. [134]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 26$ )	LORETA	Acute tonic pain	Conducted a brain source localiza- tion analysis of tonic cold pain with the LORETA algorithm	Changes in cortical source power across different frequency bands in multiple brain regions were dem- onstrated as potential electrocortical indices of acute tonic pain, correlat- ing with subjective pain ratings, in this article
Loughrey et al. [135]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 14$ ) Hearing loss ( $n = 44$ )	sloreta	Hearing loss	Used the sLORETA method to study the relationship between age- related hearing loss and visual working memory	Greater activity in networks modu- lated by frontoparietal and temporal regions was indicated through sLO- RETA analyses in this article
Dubová et al. [136]	EEG	Normal (males = 5, females = 5)	sLORETA	Mirrored touch	Used the sLORETA method for the brain projection of mirrored touch	The summation of stimuli secured by interpersonal haptic con- tact modified by mirror illusion was claimed to activate brain areas integrating motor, sensory, and cognitive functions, as well as areas related to communica- tion and understanding processes, including the mirror neuron system, according to this article
Liu et al. [137]	Đ	Vestibular migraine (females = 33) Normal (females = 20)	sloreta	Vestibular migraine	Studied visual evoked potentials in patients with vestibular migraine using the sLORETA method	This article suggested that abnormal- ities in vestibular cortical fields might be a pathophysiological mechanism of vestibular migraine

References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Yoshinaga et al. [138]	EEG	Epilepsy (boys=4, girls=4)	Dipole	Panayiotopoulos syndrome	Analyzed EEG signals in patients with panaviotopoulos syndrome, a form of benign childhood partial epilepsy, using the dipole method	A potential pathogenetic link between panaylotopoulos syndrome and rolandic epilepsy was suggested in this article
Ebersole [139]	iEEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 10$ )	Dipole	Seizure location	Used dipole models for the non- invasive localization of epilepto- genic foci	Patients with lateral temporal cortical seizures were claimed to have spikes and ictal activity modeled principally by radial dipoles, as reported in this article
Nakajima et al. [140]	EEG	Stroke (n=1)	Dipole	Cerebral infarction	Employed the dipole method to track and analyze brain poten- tials in patients with stroke	The dipole equivalent of the slow wave was reported to be approxi- mately located in the frontal part of the left cingulate gyrus in this article
Verhellen et al. [141]	EEG/IEEG	Epilepsy	Dipole	Seizure location	Explored the localization of refrac- tory temporal lobe epilepsy through the dipole method	Dipole localizations and intracerebral fields recorded with depth elec- trodes were compared in this article
Ntolkeras et al. [142]	EEG/MEG/ iEEG	Epilepsy (boys=7, girls=4)	Dipole	Seizure location	Conducted a comparison and vali- dation analysis of epileptic patients before and after surgical resection using the dipole method	Magnetic and ESI dipole cluster- ing was claimed to help localize the seizure onset zone and irritative zone, facilitating the prognostic assessment of MRI-negative patients with drug-resistant epilepsy
Knyazev et al. [143]	EEG	Normal (males = 19, females = 36)	Beamforming	Depression	Conducted a beamforming analysis on the EEG signals of depressed patients when they completed different tasks	Emotional circuits were asserted to be more strongly connected with DMN than TPN in this article
Neugebauer et al. [144]	EEG/MEG	Epilepsy (male=1, female=1)	Beamforming	Seizure location	Utilized the beamforming method to explore epileptogenic zones in focal cortical dysplasia	The beamformer was claimed to localize better than the standard dipole scan for appropriate regu- larization parameter choices in this article
Ward et al. [145]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n=4$ )	Beamforming	Seizure location	Analyzed deep epileptic form activ- ity using beamforming techniques	The beamformer was demonstrated to enhance signals from deep foci, improving SNR and showing prom- ise in the detection of epileptiform events in this article

Table 4 (continued)

References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Kouchaki et al. [146]	EEG	Normal ( <i>n</i> = 17)	Beamforming	Brain fatigue	Employed the beamforming approach to explore brain changes from non-fatigued to fatigued states	The proposed MVB-based feature, applied to SVM classification, achieved a remarkable 97.06% accu- racy in differentiating between non- fatigue and fatigue mental states, significantly outperforming conven- tional EEG features, as highlighted in this study
Vergallo et al. [147]	EEG	Simulated signals	Beamforming	Seizure location	Adopted the beamforming method to diagnose epilepsy	Simple geometry, simulations, and results demonstrating the per- formance of several algorithms were considered in this article
Ponomarev et al. [148]	EEG	ADHD (females = 46, males = 50) Normal (males = 167, females = 209)	CSD	ADHD	Analyzed EEG signals in patients with ADHD using CSD	The spectral power of local EEG activity isolated by gICA or CSD in fronto-central areas was sug- gested as a suitable marker for discriminating ADHD patients and healthy adults in this article
Stewart et al. [149]	EEG	Normal (males = 95, females = 211)	CSD	Major depressive disorder	Utilized CSD for analyzing resting- state and task-evoked prefrontal EEG asymmetry in patients with depression	CSD-transformed data was claimed to be a more robust indicator of trait frontal EEG asymmetry, according to this article
Grin-Yatsenko et al. [150]	EEG	SZ (males = 36, females = 12) Normal (males = 217, females = 286)	CSD	ZS	Employed the CSD method to ana- lyze brain activity in schizophrenic patients	Differences in the delta and theta range were claimed to be described mainly by local components, and those in the beta range mostly by spatially widely distributed ones, in this article
Kamarajan et al. [151]	EEG	Alcoholics (males = 38) Normal (males = 38)	CSD	Alcohol	Probed alcohol dependence using the CSD approach	Decreased power and a weaker, more diffuse CSD in alcoholics were claimed to be due to dysfunctional neural reward circuitry, as suggested in this article
ADHD attention deficit hypelertric source imaging FO	beractivity disc	order, <i>BFEC</i> benign focal epilepsy of childho	ood, <i>CSD</i> current fragmency activit	source density, DMN default-mode n	etwork, <i>EEG</i> electroencephalography, <i>ERP</i> seis <i>iEEG</i> intracranial electroencenhalocra	event-related potential, <i>ESI</i> aby 1.08FTA low-resolution

electromagnetic mography, *MEG* magnetoencephalography, *MNE* minimum-norm estimates, *MRI* magnetic resonance imaging, *MTLE* mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, *MVB* minimum variance beamformer, *OCD* electromagnetic variance beamformer, *OSD* electromagnetic resonance imaging, *MTLE* mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, *MVB* minimum variance beamformer, *OCD* electromagnetic resonance imaging, *MTLE* mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, *MVB* minimum variance beamformer, *OCD* electromagnetic resonance imaging, *MTLE* mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, *MVB* minimum variance beamformer, *OCD* electromagnetic romography, *SNR* signal-to-noise ratio, *SZ* schizophrenia, *SVM* support vector machine, *TPN* task-positive network, *tEEG* tripolar electroencephalography, *glCA* group independent component analysis

Table 4 (continued)

Here, *W* is an  $n \times n$  matrix that refers to a constraint on the results to strengthen some elements in *I*, *B* denotes the measured value of the radial magnetic field, and *G* signifies the spatial weight of the element:

$$W_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1_{k-1}} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & I_{i_{k-1}} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & I_{n_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(33)

 $I_{ik-1}$  represents the *i*th element of vector *I* in the (k-1) iteration, and *k* signifies the index of the iteration step. By continuously constructing *W* and calculating the weighted minimum norm, the model results are converged, but the computation time of FOCUSS is longer than that of other algorithms.

# LORETA

LORETA is an innovative method in the high temporal resolution neuroimaging field that allows for the 3D reconstruction of the EEG activity distribution [154]. A head model is used for LORETA, and the intensity and direction of electrical activity at each point determine the electromagnetic field measured on the scalp. It is defined as:

$$\min_{I} F_{W} \tag{34}$$

with

$$F_W = \|\Phi - KKJ\|^2 + \alpha J^T WJ \tag{35}$$

In the above equation,  $\Phi$  represents a vector of potential difference, *K* denotes the lead field matrix of the volume, *J* signifies the current density, *W* denotes the discrete Laplace operator in the square space, and  $\alpha$  refers to the Tikhonov regularization parameter.

$$\widehat{J}_W = T_W \varnothing \tag{36}$$

The  $T_W$  value can be calculated using the following formula:

$$T_W = W^{-1} K^T \left( K W^{-1} K^T + \alpha H \right)^+ \tag{37}$$

*H* denotes the mean reference operator, which is realized using the discrete spatial Laplacian operator, so the spatial resolution of LORETA is relatively low.

sLORETA is also a common and popular source localization method. sLORETA incorporates additional assumptions regarding the smoothing and weighting of the values [155]. An advantage of sLORETA is that it has "guaranteed accuracy" in the presence of a single dipole, while LORETA does not [155]. sLORETA has been used in various studies to estimate the sources of EEG signals in the brain [156]. For example, sLORETA has been used to study the neural correlates of cognitive processes such as attention, memory, and language [156]. sLORETA has also been used to study the neural correlates of various disorders such as depression, schizophrenia, and Alzheimer's disease [156].

#### Dipole

The dipole method can predict the electric field generated by a theoretical dipole in the brain using dipole property-related principles [157]. Location and orientation are two parameters of the dipole model, with the location indicating the position of active region within the brain in this model and the orientation indicating the arrangement of brain cells in the active region.

The six parameters of a dipole source consist of three coordinates in  $r_d \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 1}$  and three dipole components in  $d = (d_x, d_y, d_x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 1}$  (equivalently two orientation angles and an intensity parameter). For each dipole position  $r_d$  within the head, the relation between d and the potential measured at the *m*th electrode  $V_{mod} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times 1}$  can be written as:

$$V_{mod} = L(r_d)d\tag{38}$$

The matrix  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 3}$  is a lead field matrix, determined by dipole position, electrode position, and head geometry.

A more realistically shaped head model is often required for patient EEG data analysis, but in this case, boundary element methods or numerical methods such as the finite-difference method are needed to compute the lead field matrix.

#### Beamforming

Beamforming is a spatial filtering technique for signals measured by discrete sensors [158]. Beamforming refocuses the signals captured on the scalp to their original locations by finding the weights of each location in the source space, thus minimizing the variance of the current dipole at each location. It is often desirable to extract signals from a small region of the brain that is modeled by dipoles at the location  $r_d$  with a specific orientation. With a given dipole and its components, the potential distribution is defined as follows:

$$c = L(r_d)d\tag{39}$$

$$w^T c = 1 \tag{40}$$

 $r_d$  denotes the dipole coordinate, d represents the dipole component, L signifies the lead field matrix, and w refers to the weight vector.

The output variance or output power of a beamformer is calculated as follows:

$$\varepsilon \left\{ \left| y(k) \right|^2 \right\}, k = -\infty, \dots, \infty$$
 (41)

y(k) represents the output and  $\varepsilon \{|\cdot|^2\}$  denotes the expected value of its parameter. The results are constrained with different restrictions.

#### Current source density (CSD)

CSD calculates an estimate of the current projected radially from the underlying neuronal tissue at a given surface location to the skull and scalp and calculates a spatially weighted sum of the potential gradients pointing to that location from some or all of the recorded locations.

CSD estimates:

$$C(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i h(\cos(E, E_i))$$
(42)

Here, C(E) denotes the current density value at any point *E* on the sphere surface,  $c_i$  refers to a constant to express an *i* surface potential set, and  $\cos(E, E_i)$  refers to the cosine of the angle between the surface point *E* and the electrode projection  $E_i$ . The function h(x) is defined as the sum of the grades:

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n^{m-1}(n+1)^{m-1}} P_n(x)$$
(43)

Here, *m* is a constant greater than 1 and  $P_n$  is the *n*th Legendre polynomial, defined as follows:

$$\Delta P_n = -n(n+1)P_n \tag{44}$$

CSD does not necessitate reference information but is susceptible to noise. CSD is a source localization method designed for scalp EEG, treating the entire head as a conductor with equal conductivity. It concentrates signals from multiple EEG channels to their respective channels by adjusting the parameters. This method cannot focus EEG signals to the intracranial region. However, its arithmetic is simple and fast, and it is still used in some EEG analyses for scalp localization without the assistance of brain models [159]. Page 26 of 40

# Machine learning

Machine learning is a very popular class of signal processing methods currently applied in the medical field [160], and with the rapid development of deep learning, machine learning methods in EEG analyses have gained attention. Machine learning methods are commonly used for classification and regression problems in EEG analyses and have yielded substantial results in disease research [161]. The studies in Table 5 utilized various machine learning and signal processing techniques, including common spatial pattern (CSP), deep learning, wavelet analysis, support vector machine (SVM), convolutional neural network (CNN), recurrent neural network (RNN), and long short-term memory (LSTM) network, to address diverse applications such as seizure detection, diagnosis of neurological disorders (autism, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease), mental fatigue measurement, and emotion recognition using EEG signals [162–185].

# CSP

The CSP algorithm uses a linear transformation to maximize the variance ratio of two signals after mapping, which is a common spatial-filtering algorithm used for multi-channel EEG analysis [186].

 $X_1, X_2$  refer to the signal data of  $(n, T_1), (n, T_2)$  size, where *n* is the number of channels and  $T_1, T_2$  are the length of the respective signal:

$$w = argmax_{w} \frac{\|wX_{1}\|^{2}}{\|wX_{2}\|^{2}}$$
(45)

*w* denotes the projection matrix, which can be solved using matrix diagonalization.

In contrast to other spatial feature extraction methods, the CSP method is simple and efficient, but it is only suitable for processing two categories of signal data.

#### Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

LDA, a classical linear method, is mainly used to find features that characterize or separate two classes and is also applicable for the dimensionality reduction of data [186]. Regarding projection, the projected data have high cohesion and low coupling characteristics.

$$(J(w) = \frac{(M_1 - M_2)^2}{S_1 + S_2})$$
(46)

$$\widehat{w} = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} J(w) \tag{47}$$

References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Qaraqe et al. [162]	EEG	CHB-MIT dataset	CSP	Epilepsy	Utilized the CSP approach for seizure detection	A sensitivity of 100%, a detection latency of 7.28 s, and a false alarm rate of 1.2 per hour were successfully attained in this article
Dissanayake et al. [163]	Dee	CHB-MIT dataset	CSP	Epilepsy	Adopted the CSP algorithm for patient-independent seizure prediction	Accuracy achievements of 88.81% and 91.54% were reported in this article
Liu et al. [164]	EEG	BCI competition III-4a BCI competition IV-2a strokes $(n = 5)$	CSP	Stroke	Investigated the rehabilitation of stroke patients using the CSP algorithm	High accuracies were achieved in comparison with seven state-of-the- art approaches, as highlighted in this article
Alturki et al. [165]	EEG	Normal (males = 10) ASD (males = 6, females = 3) CHB-MIT dataset	CSP	Epilepsy and ASD	Applied the CSP algorithm for the diagnosis of epilepsy and autism	Accuracy rates of approximately 98.46% for diagnosing ASD and 98.62% for epilepsy were achieved in this article
Jamal et al. [166]	EEG	ASD $(n = 12)$ Normal $(n = 12)$	LDA	ASD	Carried out LDA to classify ASD	Leave-one-out cross-validation of the classification algorithm resulted in a best performance of 94.7% accuracy, with corresponding sensitiv- ity and specificity values of 85.7% and 100%, as reported in this article
Jeong et al. [167]	DEE	PDD ( $n = 26$ ) AD ( $n = 26$ ) Normal ( $n = 26$ )	LDA	PDD and AD	Applied LDA to distinguish between PD-related dementia and AD	A maximum performance of 80.19% accuracy was achieved using LDA with WC in this article
Boostani et al. [168]	EEG	SZ (males= 13) Normal (males=18)	LDA	SZ	Adopted LDA in the diagnosis of SZ	Accuracies of 87.51%, 85.36%, and 85.41% were achieved for BDLDA, LDA, and Adaboost, respectively, in this article
Rajaguru et al. [169]	EEG	Epilepsy ( $n = 20$ )	LDA	Epilepsy	Used the LDA approach for the classification of epilepsy	When the dB2 and dB4 wavelets were classified with LDA, average classifica- tion accuracies of 95.83% and 95.03% were obtained, as claimed in this article
Kang et al. [170]	EEG	ASD (boys=39, girls=10) TD (boys=36, girls=12)	SVM	ASD	Employed the SVM method to iden- tify children with ASD	Combining two types of data resulted in a maximum accuracy of 85.44%, with AUC = 0.93 when 32 features were selected in this article
Fu et al. [171]	DEE	Bonn dataset	SVM	Epilepsy	Adopted the SVM approach for the classification of epilepsy	A 99.125% accuracy of the algorithm with the theta rhythm of EEG signals was achieved in this article
Shen et al. [172]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 10$ )	SVM	Mental fatigue measurement	Utilized the SVM method for mental fatigue measurement	An accuracy of 87.2% for the probabilistic multi-class SVM compared to 85.4% using the standard multi-class SVM was reported. With confidence estimates aggregation, the accuracy increased to 91.2%

Table 5 (continuec	<del>(</del> ]					
References	Data type	Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Liu et al. [173]	IEEG	Epilepsy $(n=21)$	SVM	Epilepsy	Performed seizure detection in long- term EEG using the SVM method	A sensitivity of 94.46%, specificity of 95.26%, and a false detection rate of 0.58/h for seizure detection in long- term iEEG were achieved in this article
Zhou et al. [174]	EEG	CHB-MIT dataset	CNN	Epilepsy	Detected seizures through CNN models	The article achieved a convincing per- formance with an accuracy of 94.67% on the test data
Hassan et al. [175]	EEG	Bonn dataset	N N U	Epilepsy	Detected epilepsy through the 1D-CNN approach	Using frequency domain signals, average accuracies of 96.7%, 95.4%, and 92.3% for the three experiments were achieved in the Freiburg database, while average accuracies for detection in the CHB-MIT database were 95.6%, 97.5%, and 93% for the three experi- ments in this article
Hassan et al. [176]	EEG	SZ $(n = 14)$ Normal $(n = 14)$	CNN	SZ	Detected SZ through the 1D-CNN approach	The article effectively predicted two, three, four, and five classes with accu- racies of 100%, 99%, 94.6%, and 94%, respectively, for the Bonn dataset and 98% for the CHB-MIT dataset
Dong et al. [177]	EEG	ASD (children = 86) Normal (children = 89)	CNN	ASD	Applied the CNN method for the assessment of ASD in children	Accuracies of 90% and 98% were achieved for subject-based and non- subject-based testing, respectively, in this article
Aliyu et al. [178]	EEG	Bonn dataset	CNN	Epilepsy	Detected epileptic EEG signals using CNN	The method was claimed to outperform its counterparts, achieving individ- ual/sample accuracy of 92.63%/83.23%, as reported in this article
Lee et al. [179]	EEG	PD ( $n$ = 20) Normal ( $n$ = 20)	RNN	D	Combined CNN with RNN for the identification of PD	An accuracy of 99.2%, precision of 98.9%, and recall of 99.4% in differen- tiating PD from healthy controls were achieved in this article
Sarkar et al. [180]	EEG	Normal (male=1, female=1)	RNN	Mental depression	Detected mental depression through RNN	The article achieved the highest accuracies of 97.50% in the training set and 96.50% in the test set
Mishra et al. [181]	EEG	Sleep-EDF dataset	RNN	Sleep stages	Employed CNN and RNN for sleep stage classification	Efficient classification performance in sleep stage N1, as well as improve- ment in subsequent stages of sleep, was reported in this article
Michielli et al. [182]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 1.0$ )	LSTM	Sleep stages	Used LSTM for the classification of dif- ferent sleep stages	The overall percentage of correct classifications for five sleep stages was found to be 86.7% in this article

Table 5 (continue	(pa					
References	Data type	e Subjects	Method	Disease/state	Application	Effect evaluation
Hu et al. [183]	EEG	CHB-MIT dataset	LSTM	Epilepsy	Established LSTM models to achieve the automatic detection of epilepsy	A mean sensitivity of 93.61% and a mean specificity of 91.85% were achieved on a long-term scalp EEG database in this article
Koya et al. [184]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 1.0$ )	LSTM	Emotion	Adopted LSTM to recognize and classify different emotions	In this article, the LSTM + CNN model outperformed traditional or deep learning models, achieving an accuracy of 64%
Lee et al. [185]	EEG	Normal ( $n = 1.0$ )	LSTM	Sleep stages	Detected drowsiness indicators using the LSTM method	The LSTM-CNN model in this article demonstrated an average accuracy of 85.6% and a kappa index of 0.77 for the three-class classification problem
AD Alzheimer's disease neural network, CSP co Parkinson's disease-reli Format	e, <i>ASD</i> autism sp ommon spatial μ ated dementia, i	ectrum disorders, <i>BDLDA</i> block diagonal LDA I atterns, <i>EEG</i> electroencephalography, <i>iEEG</i> int RNN recurrent neural network, <i>SVM</i> support ve	linear discrir tracranial ele ector machi	ninant analysis, <i>CHB-MIT</i> Children ectroencephalography, <i>LDA</i> linear ne, <i>SZ</i> schizophrenia, <i>BCI</i> brain-co	s Hospital Boston (CHB) and the Massachuset discriminant analysis, <i>LSTM</i> long short-term r mputer interface, <i>WC</i> wavelet coherence, <i>TD</i> i	ts Institute of Technology, CNN convolutional nemory, PD Parkinson's disease, PDD spically developing, EDF European Data

 $S_1, S_2$  are intra-class scatters,  $(M_1 - M_2)^2$  refers to the inter-class scatter, and  $\hat{w}$  represents the mapping matrix. Because LDA assumes that the data obey the Gaussian distribution, it does not perform satisfactorily in processing data with non-Gaussian distributions.

# SVM

SVM is a class of generalized linear classifiers for the classification of binary data in a supervised learning manner [176]. SVM constructs a hyperplane in high-dimensional space to distinguish between two classes of data. Assuming that the dataset is  $[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)]$ , wherein  $y_i \in [-1, 1]$ , the hyperplane is defined as:

$$w^T x - b = 0 \tag{48}$$

The plane separating the two classes of data is as follows:

$$w^{T}x_{i} + w_{0} = 1, w^{T}x_{i} + w_{0} = -1$$
(49)

Here,  $w^T$  represents the normal vector and *b* denotes the offset, so the data interval is 2/||w||. This method maximizes 2/||w|| while ensuring that all data satisfy the conditions. Methods such as Lagrangian duals can be used to solve such constrained optimization problems, computing the hyperplane of the separated data. SVM performs poorly in resolving multi-classification problems.

# CNN

The unique convolutional layer of CNN can effectively extract EEG signals and structural information in the spatio-temporal frequency domains [187–189].

For feature extraction, the dot product is completed using the input data with the filterbank region-by-region, and each kernel is scanned using step length, sharing equal weight. The resulting output is a set of K-dimensional feature maps.

$$Z_j^l = \sigma\left(\sum Z_j^{l-1} * W_{j,i}^l + B_j^l\right)$$
(50)

Here,  $B_j^l$  signifies the *j*th deviation in the layer l,  $W_{j,i}^l$  refers to the weight matrix connecting with the feature map in the neighboring layer  $(Z_j^l, Z_j^{l-1})$ , \* represents the convolution operator, and  $\sigma(\cdot)$  denotes the nonlinear activation function.

The extracted feature maps are recognized by a classifier, which often uses the cross-entropy loss function:

$$L(y_i, \widehat{y}_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-y_i log \widehat{y}_i - (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \widehat{y}_i))$$
(51)

Here,  $y_i$  represents the sample's true value and  $\hat{y}_i$  signifies the model-predicted value. CNN requires less preprocessing than other algorithms but also has the risk of overfitting.

# RNN

The RNN model performs well for temporal signals, wherein connections between nodes generate directed or undirected graphs along the time series, effectively extracting feature information in the time dimension [190]. However, gradient explosion and gradient vanishing problems are present due to RNN's structure of backpropagation through time. Later, LSTM was developed, which has broader applications than RNN.

#### LSTM

LSTM has improved on the problems of the RNN network [191] and selectively transmits data utilizing forgetting gates, input gates, and output gates.

The forgetting gate determines which information to remove from the state of the unit:

$$f_t = \sigma \left( W_f[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right) \tag{52}$$

The input gate determines which values will be updated:

$$i_t = \sigma(W_i[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \tag{53}$$

$$\widetilde{C}_t = tanh(W_c[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_c)$$
(54)

Then, the unit value state is updated based on the equations above:

$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \widetilde{C}_t \tag{55}$$

Finally, the output gate determines which parts of the unit state will be the final output:

$$o_t = \sigma(W_o[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$
 (56)

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh(C_t) \tag{57}$$

wherein  $\sigma$  signifies the sigmoid activation function that compresses numbers to the range 0, 1, *tanh* denotes the hyperbolic tangent activation function that compresses numbers to the range – 1, 1,  $W_f$ ,  $W_i$ ,  $W_c$ , and  $W_o$  are the weight matrixes,  $x_t$  represents the input vector,  $h_{t-1}$  represents past hidden states, and  $b_f$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $b_c$ , and  $b_o$  are deviation vectors. LSTM has a slow training speed due to its performing and processing difficulties [192].

# Joint application of EEG analysis and machine learning methods

The joint application of EEG analysis and machine learning methods has been an active area of research in neuroscience and disease diagnosis. EEG is a non-invasive method of measuring the electrical activity of the brain, and machine learning algorithms can be used to extract information from EEG signals to help diagnose various disorders and identify different brain states [193]. Machine learning algorithms have been developed to extract features from EEG signals, such as frequency bands, time-frequency representations, and connectivity measures [193]. These features can then be used to train machine learning models to classify different brain states or diagnose various disorders [193]. Machine learning algorithms have been developed to detect seizures in EEG signals with high accuracy [194] and classify EEG signals from patients with Alzheimer's disease and healthy controls [195].

The joint application of EEG analysis and machine learning methods has several advantages in neuroscience and disease diagnosis. It allows for the identification of patterns in EEG signals that are difficult to detect using traditional methods. Machine learning algorithms can be used to extract features from EEG signals that are not easily visible to the human eye, such as subtle changes in frequency or amplitude [193]. These features can then be used to train machine learning models to classify different brain states or diagnose various disorders. Another advantage of this combination is that it can help reduce the subjectivity of EEG analysis. Traditional EEG analysis methods rely on visual inspection of the EEG signal by a trained expert, which can be time-consuming and subjective [193]. Machine learning algorithms can be used to automate the process of EEG analysis, reducing the time and subjectivity involved in the analysis [194].

Moreover, different models may be better suited to different aspects of the data. One model may be better at detecting certain types of patterns in the data, while another model may be better at classifying the data into different categories. By combining the strengths of different models, it is possible to create a more accurate and robust analysis [193] to reduce the risk of overfitting, and create a more generalizable analysis [196].

In summary, the joint application of EEG analysis and machine learning methods has great potential for the diagnosis of various disorders and the identification of different brain states. It has several advantages, including the ability to identify patterns in EEG signals that are difficult to detect using traditional methods, and the ability to reduce the subjectivity of EEG analysis.

# Discussion

This article reviewed several commonly used EEG/ iEEG analysis methods in neuroscience and introduced the applied principles based on the data generation characteristics. Due to different EEG data generation approaches, there are fundamental differences in data time, events, and variability. Therefore, the methods for data analysis should be selected based on these characteristics to ensure theoretical accuracy. Figure 4 presents a summary diagram of method selection. The required method can be selected based on the characteristics of the EEG signal and application requirements. The various methods are discussed below.

The power spectrum analysis method is used to reflect the energy changes in various brain regions. FFT has a high-frequency resolution and accuracy but is easily affected by noise and requires a large amount of data [197]. Therefore, FFT is more suitable for analyzing timeinvariant EEG signals of good quality [44]. Welch and multitaper can suppress noise in EEG signals using the window averaging method, but the frequency resolution is decreased and the requirement for data length is increased [198]. Hence, for time-invariant EEG, if the signals contain slight burst noise, Welch or multitaper is a good choice [45]. Additionally, for accurate event-related EEG, EEG can be segmented into epochs based on the onset time of events. Windowed superposition analysis of EEG in the same state, such as baseline EEG before stimulation, can also yield good power spectrum results. If the signal can be directly spliced, signal jumps easily occur at the splicing site, which can cause severe Gibs. Therefore, it is not recommended to use signal splicing before FFT calculation or Welch power spectrum analysis. The AR model can calculate the power spectrum after signal prediction and modeling, which is very suitable for analyzing short-term signal power spectra [58], such as the power spectrum of a small segment of rapidly changing EEG signals in event-related EEG. However, it should be noted that the AR model method is based on the model, so the selected model may not fit the signal well. Selection of the wrong model will result in large deviations [197]. The application of AR models requires a more accurate evaluation of the signals [199]. Additionally, for signals with rapid changes in signal amplitude, commonly used models cannot fit effectively, so AR models cannot effectively analyze the power spectrum. In summary, if the data obtained are of good quality, such as high-quality sleep data, FFT is recommended to obtain more accurate results. If the data contain random noise, such as in the long-term monitoring of epilepsy, Welch or multitaper is recommended. If the data are short, such as



Fig. 4 Summary of method selection for different data characteristics and application requirements. AR autoregressive, CFA ross-frequency analysis, CNN convolutional neural network, COH coherence, CORR correlation, CSD current source density, CSP common spatial patterns, EEG electroencephalography, EMD empirical mode decomposition, FFT fast Fourier transform, FOCUSS focal underdetermined system solution, GC granger causality, LDA linear discriminant analysis, LORETA low-resolution electromagnetic tomography, LSTM long short-term memory, MI mutual information, PLV/PLI phase lag value/index, RNN recurrent neural network, STFT short-time Fourier transform, SVM support vector machine, WT wavelet transform, WTC wavelet coherence, WVD Wigner-Ville distribution

EEG after physical instant stimulation, the AR model is recommended for fitting. Power spectrum analysis is the basis of EEG signal analysis and one of the most important analysis methods. With upgrades in EEG acquisition equipment, the signal-to-noise ratio of the obtained EEG signal is also increasing. At this time, the transient power spectrum will play an important role, and related research will enhance the development of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) and machine learning fields.

Time–frequency analysis is a powerful tool for analyzing event-related EEG signals because it can describe the changes in time-varying EEG from two dimensions based on the changes in time and frequency. Among the various time–frequency analysis methods, the continuous WT is the most commonly used method due to its good performance in balancing time and frequency. However, its high computational and spatial complexity makes it unsuitable for long-term data analysis [200]. Correspondingly, DWT shows a good performance in signal decomposition but a poor visualization effect and unsatisfactory frequency resolution [201]. For time-invariant EEG, although the changes in EEG are insignificant, the energy of diverse frequency bands will certainly change in response to long-term changes. STFT can present this response well, having good frequency resolution [3] and low time resolution [69]. If WT is used, although it is more informative, the resultant massive data redundancy is not conducive to observing the main features. WVD has a very high time-frequency resolution for shortterm signals but is highly susceptible to noise. Therefore, WVD is more suitable for analyzing short-term signals with less noise [70]. EMD is very suitable for analyzing signals with many abrupt amplitudes [72] and will not be affected by Gibs, but WVD has poor frequency localization of low-noise signals [201]. With advances in computer performance, time-frequency analysis methods have gradually replaced power spectrum methods as the first choice for observing spectrum changes. The integration of time-frequency graphs and image-based deep learning has also produced many high-quality applications. However, it should be noted that time-frequency analysis is still not detailed enough for the calculation of instantaneous changes. For example, it is difficult to use time-frequency diagram analysis for the EEG signal during epileptic discharge. In this case, it should be combined with the time domain method to improve the description accuracy.

Connectivity analysis is an important part of neural signal analysis. The selection of relevant analysis methods is crucial to the main features that need to be analyzed. This article introduced several classical correlation analysis methods from the perspectives of time, frequency, and nonlinearity. However, many other methods have not been introduced, such as event statistics, CFC coupling, and amplitude frequency coupling. These methods are similar to the ones in this article and can be selected based on the data characteristics. Several correlation analysis methods applicable to long-term signals can be used to observe the correlations between different brain regions in time-invariant EEG due to insignificant changes in the characteristics of time-invariant EEG. CORR focuses more on the time-scale similarity of signals, while COH focuses more on their frequency-scale similarity [107]. The PLV/PLI method refers to phase-based statistics, with PLV being stricter. Such a method can be easily converted into correlation statistics of cross-frequency or other events [202]. These three methods can be used to measure the correlation between channels in terms of signal similarity and signal phase synchronization [112]. MI and GC can analyze the driving force between signals from the perspective of information transmission [109]. These two methods can be used to study the relationship between signals. MI observes the relationship from the perspective of information transmission, while GC infers the relationship from the perspective of regression. Both methods are nonlinear but differ in their approach. MI uses information entropy, while GC uses regression. As a result, the characteristics of the observations differ between the two methods [111]. However, WTC requires clear events to produce more accurate results, making it suitable for accurate event-related EEG but not for timeinvariant EEG. All the methods mentioned above are also suitable for accurate event-related EEG. However, it is important to note that the relevant changes presented by event-related EEG during the event are accurate and real. Therefore, correlation analysis of short-term signals after the event is recommended to obtain related results with a higher signal-to-noise ratio. For random event-related EEG, due to the inaccuracy of its events, WTC methods are also unable to provide accurate results. However, due to the time-varying characteristics, other correlation analysis methods are required to segment and classify the signals according to the main analysis features to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the results [203]. Connectivity analysis methods have emerged as a powerful tool for studying brain networks, which are important components of brain cognition. Moreover, these methods can be combined with neural networks to develop new bionic operations. Different connection patterns can represent different ways of connecting neurons. The existing neural networks usually use direct signal connections, but other connection methods can be used to produce more intelligent network interfaces. Spiking neural networks are a representative example of this type of research.

Source localization analysis can convert multi-channel EEG from the scalp to deeper brain regions, which can more clearly localize the position of signal generation. A personalized brain model is generated through structured MRI, following which intracranial nerve activity is inferred from extracranial nerve activity signals to estimate the discharge location of the personalized brain. This process can locate key intracranial locations without surgery and has been widely used in the fields of epilepsy focus location and functional area location. Existing source localization methods have different implementation principles. The minimum norm estimation can partly localize the source into the intracranial region but has low accuracy in deep source localization and a high requirement for signal quality due to the use of MEG [139]. The dipole and beamforming methods can effectively localize the source into the intracranial region and have good localization accuracy, but they require more accurate parameters and an accurate head model [142, 163]. Beamforming balances speed and accuracy and is a rapidly developing method [204]. The FOCUSS method has good resolution but low computational efficiency [140]. LORETA is currently the most frequently used source localization method [205]. The spatial resolution of this method is not high, but its temporal resolution is good; thus, it has received considerable attention in EEG analysis [139]. CSD does not require a brain model and its calculation process is simple and fast, but it cannot

localize the source in the intracranial cavity [144]. Signal quality plays a crucial role in the accuracy of multichannel brain source localization methods. Therefore, the source localization method best suits short-term EEG localization analysis in event-related EEG. By leveraging the high signal-to-noise ratio features at the onset time of events, more accurate localization results can be obtained. For the source localization analysis of timeinvariant EEG, the discharge characteristics of the EEG should be converted before localization, or the superposition method should be used to increase the signal-tonoise ratio. For random event-related EEG, it is advisable to choose EEG signals with a high signal-to-noise ratio for localization as far as possible. Source location methods have significant restrictions, including signal quality, number of channels, and brain model accuracy, which can affect positioning accuracy. However, targeted selection can improve the accuracy of these methods. With the continuous development of computing power and artificial intelligence technology, the quality requirements of source localization methods will gradually decrease, allowing them to be widely used in clinical practice.

Machine learning methods are rapidly developing methods that learn features, which can be the original EEG signals or converted features of EEG [148], such as power and connectivity [149]. Hence, although machine learning has a wide range of adaptability due to its selflearning nature, the generation and selection of features remain points of discussion. For time-invariant EEG, the features of EEG can be the average of long-term features, such as the power spectrum and connectivity of each-channel EEG. For accurate event-related EEG, event-related features can be learned. For random eventrelated EEG, machine learning methods with clustering properties are more suitable for semi-supervised or unsupervised feature learning [150]. Deep learning has been successfully applied in multiple EEG signal tasks, such as motor imagery, epilepsy detection, severe depression detection, sleep stage scoring, and event-related potential tasks [206]. There are differences between the data for different tasks, such as signal window length and channel count. Given these differences, selecting the suitable type of deep learning network can achieve better classification performance. Using CNN to classify spectrograms can also produce good results [207], but CNN models are suitable for data classification without time information, while LSTM models are suitable for regression analysis with time information [190]. However, compared with traditional single models such as CNN and LSTM, a mixed model is recommended [208]. If a model fails to achieve the expected results, researchers can opt for a fusion of multiple models to improve accuracy. For skilled machine learning researchers, this is a simple and fast way to build applications. The mixed model is expected to perform well in classification accuracy and transfer learning. Nevertheless, deep learning models also have shortcomings. For example, due to the small size of disease datasets, the model is prone to overfitting. Therefore, methods such as introducing regularization terms into the model should be considered to minimize the impact of overfitting [209]. Moreover, the introduction of model interpretability can aid in understanding the feature selection for model classification. There may be causal relationships within the EEG features, and the introduction of causal algorithms can be considered to further optimize the models [210]. At present, most deep learning models are designed based on images and cannot adapt well to EEG signal data. The transformer, as a new type of neural network model, is being used in the diagnosis and treatment of brain diseases, but its application in EEG needs to be studied further [211]. Therefore, it is necessary to consider encoding and decoding the data based on EEG signal data features and developing new model structures. Machine learning methods are currently the fastest-growing neural signal processing methods, and many researchers have proposed new processing ideas in EEG analysis. With the rapid development of brain-like intelligence, a large-scale model may emerge to perform bionic simulations of human brain functions. This kind of research will help develop the field of artificial intelligence to a higher level.

This article discussed some commonly used EEG analysis methods. However, in practice, a combination of methods is frequently used. For instance, BCI, a frontier field of neuroscience and neurological diseases, requires the complex processing of brain electrical signals using multiple methods. A neural device known as a BCI translates the neural activity of an individual into external responses or directives. These interfaces find applications in restoring functionality for conditions such as epilepsy, stroke, spinal cord injuries, ALS, cerebral palsy, narcolepsy, Parkinson's disease, and neuromuscular disorders [212]. In the realm of mental health, BCIs are under investigation as potential treatments for conditions like depression, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and other neuropsychiatric disorders [213]. BCIs are versatile in acquiring a diverse array of signals, each associated with different objects. Despite this variability, the decoding of brain signals generally follows a five-stage process: signal acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, classification, and control interface. These stages involve the integration of various methodologies. Ongoing research in BCI analysis methods aims to enhance accuracy and reliability. Notably, the application of deep learning algorithms for EEG data analysis is a promising avenue. Another focus is on leveraging explainable artificial

intelligence techniques to gain insights into BCI analysis outcomes. Like BCI, numerous studies necessitate the integration of traditional and innovative technologies to continually enhance the efficacy of EEG analysis methods and establish a foundation for further research.

# Conclusions

EEG/iEEG is commonly applied in functional neuroimaging and is one of the leading tools in neuroscience. Clinical medicine, BCIs, and psychological research all require EEG/iEEG analysis. In recent decades, a variety of analysis methods have emerged for researchers to choose from, and interest in such techniques is high. However, the abundance of analysis methods has led researchers to question their applicability.

This review categorizes representative research methods based on the characteristics of EEG/iEEG signals. The methods are classified into power spectrum analysis, time-frequency analysis, connectivity analysis, source localization analysis, and machine learning. Other methods with wide application scenarios, such as nonlinear analysis, predictive analysis, and graph theory analysis, are not introduced in this review. These methods are considered to have certain similarities to or be the extension of the classical methods in this review from the perspective of analysis purpose. The methods introduced in this review are only a subset of common methods, and users need to make choices based on the characteristics of the data and methods.

#### Abbreviations

AR	Autoregressive
BCI	Brain-computer interface
CFA	Cross-frequency analysis
CFC	Cross-frequency coupling
CFD	Cross-frequency directionality
CNN	Convolutional neural network
COH	Coherence
CORR	Correlation
CSD	Current source density
CSP	Common spatial pattern
EEG	Electroencephalography
EMD	Empirical mode decomposition
FFT	Fast Fourier transform
FOCUSS	Focal underdetermined system solution
GC	Granger causality
iEEG	Intracranial electroencephalography
IMF	Intrinsic mode function
LDA	Linear discriminant analysis
LORETA	Low-resolution electromagnetic tomography
LSTM	Long short-term memory
MEG	Magnetoencephalography
MI	Mutual information
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
PLV/PLI	Phase lag value/index
RNN	Recurrent neural network
sloreta	Standardized low-resolution electromagnetic tomograph
STFT	Short-time Fourier transform
SVM	Support vector machine

WT Wavelet transform

- WTC Wavelet coherence
- WVD Wigner-Ville distribution

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable

#### Author contributions

HZ, QQZ and HC wrote the first draft with assistance from WGL, YB, JXH and YW. ZHL, DC, FYC, JQY, XLL edited the final draft. XQH participated in critically revising the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was supported by STI2030 Major Projects (2021ZD0204300), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61803003, 62003228).

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 25 October 2023 Accepted: 23 November 2023 Published online: 19 December 2023

#### References

- Boone W, Piccinini G. The cognitive neuroscience revolution. Synthese. 2016;193(5):1509–34.
- 2. Mukamel EA, Ngai J. Perspectives on defining cell types in the brain. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2019;56:61–8.
- Bullmore ET, Sporns O. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10(3):186–98.
- Fingelkurts AA, Fingelkurts AA. Timing in cognition and EEG brain dynamics: discreteness versus continuity. Cogn Process. 2006;7(3):135–62.
- Michel CM, Murray MM. Towards the utilization of EEG as a brain imaging tool. Neuroimage. 2012;61(2):371–85.
- Caria A, Sitaram R, Birbaumer N. Real-time fMRI: a tool for local brain regulation. Neuroscientist. 2012;18(5):487–501.
- Brookes MJ, Leggett J, Rea M, Hill RM, Holmes N, Boto E, et al. Magnetoencephalography with optically pumped magnetometers (OPM-MEG): the next generation of functional neuroimaging. Trends Neurosci. 2022;45(8):621–34.
- 8. Bowman FD, Guo Y, Derado G. Statistical approaches to functional neuroimaging data. Neuroimag Clin N Am. 2007;17(4):441.
- Olejniczak P. Neurophysiologic basis of EEG. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2006;23(3):186–9.
- Sauseng P, Klimesch W, Stadler W, Schabus M, Doppelmayr M, Hanslmayr S, et al. A shift of visual spatial attention is selectively associated with human EEG alpha activity. Eur J Neurosci. 2005;22(11):2917–26.
- van Driel J, Gunseli E, Meeter M, Olivers CNL. Local and interregional alpha EEG dynamics dissociate between memory for search and memory for recognition. Neuroimage. 2017;149:114–28.
- 12. Alday PM. M/EEG analysis of naturalistic stories: a review from speech to language processing. Lang Cogn Neurosci. 2019;34(4):457–73.

- Hu W, Huang G, Li L, Li Z, Zhang Z, Liang Z. Video-triggered EEGemotion public databases and current methods: a survey. Brain Sci Adv. 2020;6(3):255–87.
- Ponciano V, Pires IM, Ribeiro FR, Villasana MV, Teixeira MC, Zdravevski E. Experimental study for determining the parameters required for detecting ECG and EEG related diseases during the timed-up and go test. Computers. 2020;9(3):67.
- Miskovic V, MacDonald KJ, Rhodes LJ, Cote KA. Changes in EEG multiscale entropy and power-law frequency scaling during the human sleep cycle. Hum Brain Mapp. 2019;40(2):538–51.
- Tsipouras M. Spectral information of EEG signals with respect to epilepsy classification. EURASIP J Adv Signal Process. 2019;2019:10.
- Kappenman ES, Farrens JL, Zhang W, Stewart AX, Luck SJ. ERP CORE: an open resource for human event-related potential research. Neuroimage. 2021;225:117465.
- Mahato S, Paul S. Classification of depression patients and normal subjects based on electroencephalogram (EEG) signal using alpha power and theta asymmetry. J Med Syst. 2020;44(1):28.
- Suárez AAP, Batista SB, Ibáñez IP, Fernández EC, Campos MF, Chacón LM. EEG-derived functional connectivity patterns associated with mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. Behav Sci. 2021;11(3):40.
- Ogilvie RD, Simons IA, Kuderian RH, Macdonald T, Rustenburg J. Behavioral, event-related potential, and EEG/FFT changes at sleep onset. Psychophysiology. 1991;28(1):54–64.
- Hadjiyannakis K, Ogilvie RD, Alloway CED, Shapiro C. FFT analysis of EEG during stage 2-to-REM transitions in narcoleptic patients and normal sleepers. Electroen Clin Neuro. 1997;103(5):543–53.
- 22. Sun J, Cao R, Zhou MN, Hussain W, Wang B, Xue JY, et al. A hybrid deep neural network for classification of schizophrenia using EEG data. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4706.
- Behnam H, Sheikhani A, Mohammadi MR, Noroozian M, Golabi P. Analyses of EEG background activity in autism disorders with fast Fourier transform and short time Fourier measure. In: ICIAS 2007: International Conference on Intelligent & Advanced Systems. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; 2007. p. 1240-4.
- Djamal EC, Furi WI, Nugraha F. Detection of EEG signal post-stroke using FFT and convolutional neural network. In: 6th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI). Bandung, Indonesia; 2019. p. 18-23.
- Farihah SN, Lee KY, Mansor W, Mohamad NB, Mahmoodin Z, Saidi SA. EEG average FFT index for dyslexic children with writing disorder. In: IEEE Student Symposium in Biomedical Engineering & Sciences (ISSBES). Shah Alam, Malaysia; 2015. p. 118–21
- Melinda M, Enriko IK, Furqan M, Irhamsyah M, Yunidar Y, Basir N. The effect of power spectral density on the electroencephalography of autistic children based on the welch periodogram method. J Infotel. 2023;15:111–20.
- Bian ZJ, Li QL, Wang L, Lu CB, Yin SM, Li XL. Relative power and coherence of EEG series are related to amnestic mild cognitive impairment in diabetes. Front Aging Neurosci. 2014;6:11.
- Yuan Y, Lu CB, Li XL. Effect of focused ultrasound stimulation at different ultrasonic power levels on the local field potential power spectrum. Chinese Phys B. 2015;24(8):088704.
- Wang Y, Sokhadze EM, El-Baz AS, Li XL, Sears L, Casanova MF, et al. Relative power of specific eeg bands and their ratios during neurofeedback training in children with autism spectrum disorder. Front Hum Neurosci. 2016;9:723.
- Göker H. Automatic detection of migraine disease from EEG signals using bidirectional long-short term memory deep learning model. Signal Image Video P. 2023;17(4):1255–63.
- Hu M, Li JJ, Li G, Tang XW, Ding QP. Classification of normal and hypoxia EEG based on approximate entropy and Welch power-spectral-density. The 2006 IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Network Proceedings. Vancouver, BC; 2006. p. 3218-22.
- Wijaya SK, Badri C, Misbach J, Soemardi TP, Sutarmo V. Electroencephalography (EEG) for detecting acute ischemic stroke. In: 4th International Conference on Instrumentation, Communications, Information Technology, and Biomedical Engineering (ICICI-BME). Bandung, Indonesia; 2015. p. 42–8.

- Cornelissen L, Kim SE, Purdon PL, Brown EN, Berde CB. Age-dependent electroencephalogram (EEG) patterns during sevoflurane general anesthesia in infants. Elife. 2015;4:e06513.
- Yang C, Han X, Wang YJ, Gao XR. A frequency recognition method based on Multitaper Spectral Analysis and SNR estimation for SSVEPbased brain-computer interface. IEEE Eng Med Biol. 2017;1930–3.
- Oliva JT, Rosa JLG. Binary and multiclass classifiers based on multicaper spectral features for epilepsy detection. Biomed Signal Proces. 2021;66:102469.
- Oliveira GHBS, Coutinho LR, da Silva JC, Pinto JJP, Ferreira JMS, Silva FJS, et al. Multitaper-based method for automatic k-complex detection in human sleep EEG. Expert Syst Appl. 2020;151:113331.
- Moharnmadi G, Shoushtari P, Ardekani BM, Shamsollahi MB. Person identification by using AR model for EEG signals. Proc Wrld Acad Sci E. 2006;11:281–5.
- Perumalsamy V, Sankaranarayanan S, Rajamony S. Sleep spindles detection from human sleep EEG signals using autoregressive (AR) model: a surrogate data approach. J Biomed Sci Eng. 2009;02:294–303.
- Saidatul A, Paulraj MP, Yaacob SB, Yusnita MA. Analysis of EEG signals during relaxation and mental stress condition using AR modeling techniques. In: IEEE International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering. Penang, Malaysia; 2011. p. 477–81.
- 40. Lawhern V, Hairston WD, McDowell K, Westerfield M, Robbins K. Detection and classification of subject-generated artifacts in EEG signals using autoregressive models. J Neurosci Meth. 2012;208(2):181–9.
- Mousavi SR, Niknazar M, Vahdat BV. Epileptic seizure detection using AR model on EEG signals. In: Cairo International Biomedical Engineering Conference. Cairo, Egypt; 2008. p. 1-4,
- 42. Akin M. Comparison of wavelet transform and FFT methods in the analysis of EEG signals. J Med Syst. 2002;26(3):241–7.
- 43. Evans DH. Doppler signal analysis. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2000;26:S13-5.
- 44. Spyers-Ashby JM, Bain PG, Roberts SJ. A comparison of fast Fourier transform (FFT) and autoregressive (AR) spectral estimation techniques for the analysis of tremor data. J Neurosci Meth. 1998;83(1):35–43.
- Günes S, Polat K, Yosunkaya S. Efficient sleep stage recognition system based on EEG signal using k-means clustering based feature weighting. Expert Syst Appl. 2010;37(12):7922–8.
- Prerau MJ, Brown RE, Bianchi MT, Ellenbogen JM, Purdon PL. Sleep neurophysiological dynamics through the lens of multitaper spectral analysis. Physiology. 2017;32(1):60–92.
- Oostenveld R, Fries P, Maris E, Schoffelen JM. FieldTrip: open source software for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. Comput Intel Neurosc. 2011;2011:156869.
- Zhang Y, Liu B, Ji XM, Huang D. Classification of EEG signals based on autoregressive model and wavelet packet decomposition. Neural Process Lett. 2017;45(2):365–78.
- 49. Samiee K, Kovács P, Gabbouj M. Epileptic seizure classification of EEG time-series using rational discrete short-time Fourier transform. IEEE Trans Bio-Med Eng. 2015;62(2):541–52.
- Beeraka SM, Kumar A, Sameer M, Ghosh S, Gupta B. Accuracy enhancement of epileptic seizure detection: a deep learning approach with hardware realization of SIFT. Circuits Syst Signal Process. 2022;41(1):461–84.
- Bajaj V, Guo YH, Sengur A, Siuly S, Alcin OF. A hybrid method based on time-frequency images for classification of alcohol and control EEG signals. Neural Comput Appl. 2017;28(12):3717–23.
- Sheikhani A, Behnam H, Mohammadi MR, Noroozian M, Golabi P. Connectivity analysis of quantitative electroencephalogram background activity in autism disorders with short time Fourier transform and coherence values. In: Congress on Image and Signal Processing. Sanya, China; 2008. p. 207–12.
- Krishnan P, Yaacob S, Krishnan AP, Rizon M, Ang CK. EEG based drowsiness detection using relative band power and short-time Fourier transform. J Robot Netw Artif L. 2020;7(3):147–51.
- Bajaj V, Pachori RB. Automatic classification of sleep stages based on the time-frequency image of EEG signals. Comput Meth Prog Bio. 2013;112(3):320–8.
- Yan AY, Zhou WD, Yuan Q, Yuan SS, Wu Q, Zhao XH, et al. Automatic seizure detection using Stockwell transform and boosting algorithm for long-term EEG. Epilepsy Behav. 2015;45:8–14.

- Ebrahimzadeh E, Alavi SM, Bijar A, Pakkhesal A. A novel approach for detection of deception using smoothed Pseudo Wigner–Ville distribution (SPWVD). J Biomed Sci Eng. 2013;2013:8–18.
- Khare SK, Bajaj V, Acharya UR. SPWVD-CNN for automated detection of schizophrenia patients using EEG signals. IEEE T Instrum Meas. 2021;70:1–9.
- Faust O, Acharya UR, Adeli H, Adeli A. Wavelet-based EEG processing for computer-aided seizure detection and epilepsy diagnosis. Seizure-Eur J Epilep. 2015;26:56–64.
- Gandhi T, Panigrahi BK, Bhatia M, Anand S. Expert model for detection of epileptic activity in EEG signature. Expert Syst Appl. 2010;37(4):3513–20.
- Anuragi A, Sisodia DS. Alcohol use disorder detection using EEG signal features and flexible analytical wavelet transform. Biomed Signal Proces. 2019;52:384–93.
- Murugappan M, Ramachandran N, Sazali Y. Classification of human emotion from EEG using discrete wavelet transform. J Biomed Sci Eng. 2010;3:390–6.
- 62. Adeli H, Zhou Z, Dadmehr N. Analysis of EEG records in an epileptic patient using wavelet transform. J Neurosci Meth. 2003;123(1):69–87.
- Hamad A, Houssein EH, Hassanien AE, Fahmy AA. Feature extraction of epilepsy EEG using discrete wavelet transform. In: 12th International Computer Engineering Conference (ICENCO). Cairo, Egypt; 2016. p. 190-5.
- Li SF, Zhou WD, Yuan Q, Geng SJ, Cai DM. Feature extraction and recognition of ictal EEG using EMD and SVM. Comput Biol Med. 2013;43(7):807–16.
- Siuly S, Khare SK, Bajaj V, Wang H, Zhang YC. A computerized method for automatic detection of schizophrenia using EEG signals. IEEE T Neur Sys Reh. 2020;28(11):2390–400.
- Chen SJ, Peng CJ, Chen YC, Hwang YR, Lai YS, Fan SZ, et al. Comparison of FFT and marginal spectra of EEG using empirical mode decomposition to monitor anesthesia. Comput Meth Prog Biol. 2016;137:77–85.
- Babiker A, Faye I, Mumtaz W, Malik AS, Sato H. EEG in classroom: EMD features to detect situational interest of students during learning. Multimed Tools Appl. 2019;78(12):16261–81.
- Priya A, Yadav P, Jain S, Bajaj V. Efficient method for classification of alcoholic and normal EEG signals using EMD. J Eng. 2018;3:166–72.
- Kiymik MK, Güler I, Dizibüyük A, Akin M. Comparison of STFT and wavelet transform methods in determining epileptic seizure activity in EEG signals for real-time application. Comput Biol Med. 2005;35(7):603–16.
- Mert A, Akan A. Emotion recognition based on time-frequency distribution of EEG signals using multivariate synchrosqueezing transform. Digit Signal Process. 2018;81:106–15.
- Riaz F, Hassan A, Rehman S, Niazi IK, Dremstrup K. EMD-based temporal and spectral features for the classification of EEG signals using supervised learning. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabilit. 2016;24(1):28–35.
- Zhuang N, Zeng Y, Tong L, Zhang C, Zhang HM, Yan B. Emotion recognition from EEG signals using multidimensional information in EMD domain. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:8317357.
- Kang JM, Cho SE, Na KS, Kang SG. Spectral power analysis of sleep electroencephalography in subjects with different severities of obstructive sleep apnea and healthy controls. Nat Sci Sleep. 2021;13:477–86.
- Dauwels J, Vialatte F, Musha T, Cichocki A. A comparative study of synchrony measures for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease based on EEG. Neuroimage. 2010;49(1):668–93.
- Yasuhara A. Correlation between EEG abnormalities and symptoms of autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Brain Dev-Jpn. 2010;32(10):791–8.
- Islam MR, Islam MM, Rahman MM, Mondal C, Singha SK, Ahmad M, et al. EEG channel correlation based model for emotion recognition. Comput Biol Med. 2021;136:104757.
- Sheorajpanday RVA, Nagels G, Weeren AJTM, van Putten MJAM, De Deyn PP. Quantitative EEG in ischemic stroke: correlation with functional status after 6 months. Clin Neurophysiol. 2011;122(5):874–83.
- Alba G, Pereda E, Mañas S, Méndez LD, Duque MAR, González A, et al. The variability of EEG functional connectivity of young ADHD subjects in different resting states. Clin Neurophysiol. 2016;127(2):1321–30.
- Carrasco-Gómez M, Keijzer HM, Ruijter BJ, Bruña R, Tjepkema-Cloostermans MC, Hofmeijer J, et al. EEG functional connectivity contributes to outcome prediction of postanoxic coma. Clin Neurophysiol. 2021;132(6):1312–20.

- Barry RJ, Clarke AR, McCarthy R, Selikowitz M, Johnstone SJ, Rushby JA. Age and gender effects in EEG coherence: I. Development trends in normal children. Clin Neurophysiol. 2004;115(10):2252–8.
- Locatelli T, Cursi M, Liberati D, Franceschi M, Comi G. EEG coherence in Alzheimer's disease. Electroen Clin Neuro. 1998;106(3):229–37.
- 82. Coben R, Clarke AR, Hudspeth W, Barry RJ. EEG power and coherence in autistic spectrum disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2008;119(5):1002–9.
- Catarino A, Andrade A, Churches O, Wagner AP, Baron-Cohen S, Ring H. Task-related functional connectivity in autism spectrum conditions: an EEG study using wavelet transform coherence. Mol Autism. 2013;4(1):1.
- Omidvarnia A, Pedersen M, Vaughan DN, Walz JM, Abbott DF, Zalesky A, et al. Dynamic coupling between fMRI local connectivity and interictal EEG in focal epilepsy: a wavelet analysis approach. Hum Brain Mapp. 2017;38(11):5356–74.
- Khan DM, Masroor K, Jailani MFM, Yahya N, Yusoff MZ, Khan SM. Development of wavelet coherence EEG as a biomarker for diagnosis of major depressive disorder. IEEE Sens J. 2022;22(5):4315–25.
- 86. Sankari Z, Adeli H, Adeli A. Wavelet coherence model for diagnosis of Alzheimer disease. Clin Eeg Neurosci. 2012;43(4):268–78.
- Briels CT, Schoonhoven DN, Stam CJ, de Waal H, Scheltens P, Gouw AA. Reproducibility of EEG functional connectivity in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2020;12(1):68.
- Olejarczyk E, Jernajczyk W. Graph-based analysis of brain connectivity in schizophrenia. PLoS One. 2017;12(11):e0188629.
- Wang ZM, Chen ZY, Zhang J. EEG emotion recognition based on PLV-rich-club dynamic brain function network. Appl Intell. 2023;53(14):17327–45.
- Huang H, Zhang JH, Zhu L, Tang JJ, Lin G, Kong WZ, et al. EEGbased sleep staging analysis with functional connectivity. Sensors. 2021;21(6):1988.
- Zuchowicz U, Wozniak-Kwasniewska A, Szekely D, Olejarczyk E, David O. EEG phase synchronization in persons with depression subjected to transcranial magnetic stimulation. Front Neurosci. 2019;12:1037.
- Chen H, Song Y, Li XL. A deep learning framework for identifying children with ADHD using an EEG-based brain network. Neurocomputing. 2019;356:83–96.
- Aydin S, Tunga MA, Yetkin S. Mutual information analysis of sleep EEG in detecting psycho-physiological insomnia. J Med Syst. 2015;39(5):43.
- Piho L, Tjahjadi T. A mutual information based adaptive windowing of informative EEG for emotion recognition. IEEE T Affect Comput. 2020;11(4):722–35.
- Hassan KM, Islam MR, Nguyen TT, Molla MKI. Epileptic seizure detection in EEG using mutual information-based best individual feature selection. Expert Syst Appl. 2022;193:116414.
- 96. Yin ZL, Li J, Zhang Y, Ren AF, Von Meneen KM, Huang LY. Functional brain network analysis of schizophrenic patients with positive and negative syndrome based on mutual information of EEG time series. Biomed Signal Proces. 2017;31:331–8.
- Sanz-García A, Pérez-Romero M, Pastor J, Sola RG, Vega-Zelaya L, Monasterio F, et al. Identifying causal relationships between EEG activity and intracranial pressure changes in neurocritical care patients. J Neural Eng. 2018;15(6):066029.
- de Tommaso M, Trotta G, Vecchio E, Ricci K, Van de Steen F, Montemurno A, et al. Functional connectivity of EEG signals under laser stimulation in migraine. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015;9:640.
- Nicolaou N, Hourris S, Alexandrou P, Georgiou J. EEG-based automatic classification of "awake" versus "anesthetized" state in general anesthesia using granger causality. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(3):e33869.
- Nicolaou N, Georgiou J. Neural network-based classification of anesthesia/awareness using granger causality features. Clin Eeg Neurosci. 2014;45(2):77–88.
- Barrett AB, Murphy M, Bruno MA, Noirhomme Q, Boly M, Laureys S, et al. Granger causality analysis of steady-state electroencephalographic signals during propofol-induced anaesthesia. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(1):e29072.
- 102. Coben R, Mohammad-Rezazadeh I. Neural connectivity in epilepsy as measured by granger causality. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015;9:194.
- Chen X, Li YJ, Li RR, Yuan X, Liu M, Zhang W, et al. Multiple crossfrequency coupling analysis of resting-state EEG in patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Front Aging Neurosci. 2023;15:1142085.

- 104. Lynn PA, Sponheim SR. Disturbed theta and gamma coupling as a potential mechanism for visuospatial working memory dysfunction in people with schizophrenia. Neuropsychiatr Electrophysiol. 2016;2:1–30.
- 105. Papadaniil CD, Kosmidou VE, Tsolaki A, Tsolaki M, Kompatsiaris I, Hadjileontiadis LJ, et al., editors. Phase-amplitude cross-frequency coupling in EEG-derived cortical time series upon an auditory perception task. In: 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE-Engineering-in-Medicine-and-Biology-Society (EMBC). Milan, Italy; 2015. p. 4150-3.
- Park H, Lee DS, Kang E, Kang H, Hahm J, Kim JS, et al. Formation of visual memories controlled by gamma power phase-locked to alpha oscillations. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28092.
- Li XW, La R, Wang Y, Hu B, Zhang XM. A deep learning approach for mild depression recognition based on functional connectivity using electroencephalography. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:192.
- Thatcher RW, North D, Biver C. EEG and intelligence: relations between EEG coherence, EEG phase delay and power. Clin Neurophysiol. 2005;116(9):2129–41.
- Tafreshi TF, Daliri MR, Ghodousi M. Functional and effective connectivity based features of EEG signals for object recognition. Cogn Neurodyn. 2019;13(6):555–66.
- van Mierlo P, Papadopoulou M, Carrette E, Boon P, Vandenberghe S, Vonck K, et al. Functional brain connectivity from EEG in epilepsy: seizure prediction and epileptogenic focus localization. Prog Neurobiol. 2014;121:19–35.
- 111. Seth AK, Barrett AB, Barnett L. Granger causality analysis in neuroscience and neuroimaging. J Neurosci. 2015;35(8):3293–7.
- Tort ABL, Komorowski R, Eichenbaum H, Kopell N. Measuring phaseamplitude coupling between neuronal oscillations of different frequencies. J Neurophysiol. 2010;104(2):1195–210.
- Heusser AC, Poeppel D, Ezzyat Y, Davachi L. Episodic sequence memory is supported by a theta-gamma phase code. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19(10):1374–80.
- 114. Lisman JE, Jensen O. The theta-gamma neural code. Neuron. 2013;77(6):1002–16.
- Roux F, Uhlhaas PJ. Working memory and neural oscillations: Alphagamma versus theta-gamma codes for distinct WM information? Trends Cogn Sci. 2014;18(1):16–25.
- 116. Abubaker M, Al Qasem W, Kvasnák E. Working memory and cross-frequency coupling of neuronal oscillations. Front Psychol. 2021;12:756661.
- Lozano-Soldevilla D, ter Huurne N, Oostenveld R. Neuronal oscillations with non-sinusoidal morphology produce spurious phase-to-amplitude coupling and directionality. Front Comput Neurosci. 2016;10:87.
- Ia Tour TD, Tallot L, Grabot L, Doyère V, van Wassenhove V, Grenier Y, et al. Non-linear auto-regressive models for cross-frequency coupling in neural time series. PLoS Comput Biol. 2017;13(12):e1005893.
- He B, Sohrabpour A, Brown E, Liu ZM. Electrophysiological source imaging: a noninvasive window to brain dynamics. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2018;20:171–96.
- Lei X, Wu TY, Valdes-Sosa PA. Incorporating priors for EEG source imaging and connectivity analysis. Front Neurosci. 2015;9:284.
- Hinkley LBN, Dale CL, Cai C, Zumer J, Dalal S, Findlay A, et al. NUTMEG: open source software for M/EEG source reconstruction. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:710.
- 122. Rosenow F, Lüders H. Presurgical evaluation of epilepsy. Brain. 2001;124:1683–700.
- Symms M, Jäger HR, Schmierer K, Yousry TA. A review of structural magnetic resonance neuroimaging. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2004;75(9):1235–44.
- Toole C, Martinez-Juárez IE, Gaitanis JN, Sunderam S, Ding L, DiCecco J, et al. Source localization of high-frequency activity in tripolar electroencephalography of patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2019;101(Pt A):106519.
- Galaris E, Gallos I, Myatchin I, Lagae L, Siettos C. Electroencephalography source localization analysis in epileptic children during a visual working-memory task. Int J Numer Method Biomed Eng. 2020;36(12):e3404.
- 126. Lee AKC, Larson E, Maddox RK. Mapping cortical dynamics using simultaneous MEG/EEG and anatomically-constrained minimum-norm estimates: an auditory attention example. J Vis Exp. 2012;68:e4262.

- 127. Sperli F, Spinelli L, Seeck M, Kurian M, Michel CM, Lantz G. EEG source imaging in pediatric epilepsy surgery: a new perspective in presurgical workup. Epilepsia. 2006;47(6):981–90.
- Plummer C, Wagner M, Fuchs M, Vogrin S, Litewka L, Farish S, et al. Clinical utility of distributed source modelling of interictal scalp EEG in focal epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol. 2010;121(10):1726–39.
- 129. Wu W, Jia WY, Liu HS, Gao XR, Zhang GJ, Wang YP, editors. Localization of epileptic foci from preictal EEG data using standardized shrinking LORETA-FOCUSS algorithm. In: 1st international conference on neural interface and control (CNIC). Wuhan, China; 2005. p. 209-12.
- 130. Ye JC, Tak S, Han Y, Park HW. Projection reconstruction MR imaging using FOCUSS. Magn Reson Med. 2007;57(4):764–75.
- 131. Saletu B, Anderer P, Saletu-Zyhlarz GM. EEG topography and tomography (LORETA) in diagnosis and pharmacotherapy of depression. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2010;41(4):203–10.
- 132. Clemens B, Bessenyei M, Fekete I, Puskás S, Kondákor I, Tóth M, et al. Theta EEG source localization using LORETA in partial epilepsy patients with and without medication. Clin Neurophysiol. 2010;121(6):848–58.
- Kopřivová J, Congedo M, Horácek J, Prasko J, Raszka M, Brunovsky M, et al. EEG source analysis in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Clin Neurophysiol. 2011;122(9):1735–43.
- Shao SY, Shen KQ, Yu K, Wilder-Smith EPV, Li XP. Frequency-domain EEG source analysis for acute tonic cold pain perception. Clin Neurophysiol. 2012;123(10):2042–9.
- Loughrey DG, Jordan C, Ibanez A, Parra MA, Lawlor BA, Reilly RB. Age-related hearing loss associated with differences in the neural correlates of feature binding in visual working memory. Neurobiol Aging. 2023;132:233–45.
- 136. Dubová D, Dvořácková D, Pavlů D, Pánek D. Cerebral projection of mirrored touch via sLORETA imaging. Life. 2023;13(5):1201.
- Liu JH, Zhang Q, Liang MJ, Wang YJ, Chen YB, Wang JB, et al. Altered processing of visual stimuli in vestibular migraine patients between attacks: a combined VEP and sLORETA study. Front Hum Neurosci. 2021;15:762970.
- Yoshinaga H, Koutroumanidis M, Shirasawa A, Kikumoto K, Ohtsuka Y, Oka E. Dipole analysis in panayiotopoulos syndrome. Brain Dev. 2005;27(1):46–52.
- 139. Ebersole JS. Non-invasive localization of the epileptogenic focus by EEG dipole modeling. Acta Neurol Scand. 1994;89:20–8.
- Nakajima Y, Homma S, Musha T, Okamoto Y, Ackerman RH, Correia JA, et al. Dipole-tracing of abnormal slow brain potentials after cerebral stroke—EEG, PET, MRI correlations. Neurosci Lett. 1990;112(1):59–64.
- Verhellen E, Boon P. EEG source localization of the epileptogenic focus in patients with refractory temporal lobe epilepsy, dipole modelling revisited. Acta Neurol Belg. 2007;107(3):71–7.
- 142. Ntolkeras G, Tamilia E, AlHilani M, Bolton J, Grant PE, Prabhu SP, et al. Presurgical accuracy of dipole clustering in MRI-negative pediatric patients with epilepsy: validation against intracranial EEG and resection. Clin Neurophysiol. 2022;141:126–38.
- 143. Knyazev GG, Savostyanov AN, Bocharov AV, Tamozhnikov SS, Saprigyn AE. Task-positive and task-negative networks and their relation to depression: EEG beamformer analysis. Behav Brain Res. 2016;306:160–9.
- 144. Neugebauer F, Antonakakis M, Unnwongse K, Parpaley Y, Wellmer J, Rampp S, et al. Validating EEG, MEG and combined MEG and EEG Beamforming for an estimation of the epileptogenic zone in focal cortical dysplasia. Brain Sci. 2022;12(1):114.
- Ward DM, Jones RD, Bones PJ, Carroll GJ. Enhancement of deep epileptiform activity in the EEG via 3-D adaptive spatial filtering. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1999;46(6):707–16.
- 146. Kouchaki S, Boostani R, Razavipour F. Fatigue detection using the strength of dominant EEG source: a beamforming approach. Biomed Eng Appl Basis Commun. 2018;30(3):1850023.
- 147. Vergallo P, Lay-Ekuakille A, Giannoccaro NI, Caratelli D, Massaro A, Trabacca A, et al, editors. Processing EEG signals through Beamforming techniques for seizure diagnosis. In: 6th International Conference on Sensing Technology (ICST). Kolkata, India; 2012. p. 497-501.
- Ponomarev VA, Mueller A, Candrian G, Grin-Yatsenko VA, Kropotov JD. Group independent component analysis (gICA) and current source density (CSD) in the study of EEG in ADHD adults. Clin Neurophysiol. 2014;125(1):83–97.

- 149. Stewart JL, Coan JA, Towers DN, Allen JJB. Resting and task-elicited prefrontal EEG alpha asymmetry in depression: support for the capability model. Psychophysiology. 2014;51(5):446–55.
- Grin-Yatsenko VA, Ponomarev VA, Pronina MV, Poliakov YI, Plotnikova IV, Kropotov JD. Local and widely distributed EEG Activity in schizophrenia with prevalence of negative symptoms. Clin EEG Neurosci. 2017;48(5):307–15.
- 151. Kamarajan C, Rangaswamy M, Manz N, Chorlian DB, Pandey AK, Roopesh BN, et al. Topography, power, and current source density of theta oscillations during reward processing as markers for alcohol dependence. Hum Brain Mapp. 2012;33(5):1019–39.
- Jatoi MA, Kamel N, Malik AS, Faye I, Begum T. A survey of methods used for source localization using EEG signals. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2014;11:42–52.
- Asadzadeh S, Rezaii TY, Beheshti S, Delpak A, Meshgini S. A systematic review of EEG source localization techniques and their applications on diagnosis of brain abnormalities. J Neurosci Methods. 2020;339:108740.
- Dattola S, Morabito FC, Mammone N, La Foresta F. Findings about LORETA applied to high-density EEG—a review. Electronics. 2020;9(4):660.
- 155. Sadat-Nejad Y, Beheshti S, IEEE, editors. Higher resolution sLORETA (HRsLORETA) in EEG source Imaging. In: 41st Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC). Berlin, Germany; 2019. p. 1690-3.
- Jatoi MA, Kamel N, Malik AS, Faye I. EEG based brain source localization comparison of sLORETA and eLORETA. Australas Phys Eng Sci Med. 2014;37(4):713–21.
- Chikara RK, Ko LW. Modulation of the visual to auditory human inhibitory brain network: an EEG dipole source localization study. Brain Sci. 2019;9(9):216.
- Dippel G, Chmielewski W, Mückschel M, Beste C. Response modedependent differences in neurofunctional networks during response inhibition: an EEG-beamforming study. Brain Struct Funct. 2016;221(8):4091–101.
- Kayser J, Tenke CE. On the benefits of using surface Laplacian (current source density) methodology in electrophysiology. Int J Psychophysiol. 2015;97(3):171–3.
- Peng H, Siddiqui MM, Rhind SG, Zhang J, da Luz LT, Beckett A. Artificial intelligence and machine learning for hemorrhagic trauma care. Mil Med Res. 2023;10(1):6.
- Craik A, He YT, Contreras-Vidal JL. Deep learning for electroencephalogram (EEG) classification tasks: a review. J Neural Eng. 2019;16(3):031001.
- 162. Qaraqe M, Ismail M, Serpedin E. Band-sensitive seizure onset detection via CSP-enhanced EEG features. Epilepsy Behav. 2015;50:77–87.
- Dissanayake T, Fernando T, Denman S, Sridharan S, Fookes C. Deep learning for patient-independent epileptic seizure prediction using scalp EEG signals. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2022;26(2):527–38.
- 164. Liu Y, Zhang H, Chen M, Zhang L. A boosting-based spatial-spectral model for stroke patients' EEG analysis in rehabilitation training. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2016;24(1):169–79.
- 165. Alturki FA, Aljalal M, Abdurraqeeb AM, AlSharabi K, Al-Shamma'a AA. Common spatial pattern technique with EEG signals for diagnosis of autism and epilepsy disorders. IEEE Access. 2021;9:24334–49.
- Jamal W, Das S, Oprescu IA, Maharatna K, Apicella F, Sicca F. Classification of autism spectrum disorder using supervised learning of brain connectivity measures extracted from synchrostates. J Neural Eng. 2014;11(4):046019.
- Jeong D-H, Kim Y-D, Song I-U, Chung Y-A, Jeong J. Wavelet energy and wavelet coherence as EEG biomarkers for the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease-related dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Entropy. 2016;18(1):8.
- Boostani R, Sadatnezhad K, Sabeti M. An efficient classifier to diagnose of schizophrenia based on the EEG signals. Expert Syst Appl. 2009;36(3):6492–9.
- 169. Rajaguru H, Kumar Prabhakar S. Time frequency analysis (dB2 and dB4) for epilepsy classification with LDA classifier. In: 2017 2nd international conference on communication and electronics systems (ICCES). Coimbatore, India; 2017. p. 708–11.

- 170. Kang J, Han X, Song J, Niu Z, Li X. The identification of children with autism spectrum disorder by SVM approach on EEG and eye-tracking data. Comput Biol Med. 2020;120:103722.
- 171. Fu K, Qu J, Chai Y, Dong Y. Classification of seizure based on the timefrequency image of EEG signals using HHT and SVM. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2014;13:15–22.
- 172. Shen KQ, Li XP, Ong CJ, Shao SY, Wilder-Smith EPV. EEG-based mental fatigue measurement using multi-class support vector machines with confidence estimate. Clin Neurophysiol. 2008;119(7):1524–33.
- Liu YX, Zhou WD, Yuan Q, Chen SS. Automatic seizure detection using wavelet transform and SVM in long-term intracranial EEG. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2012;20(6):749–55.
- Zhou MN, Tian C, Cao R, Wang B, Niu Y, Hu T, et al. Epileptic seizure detection based on EEG signals and CNN. Front Neuroinform. 2018;12:95.
- 175. Hassan F, Hussain SF, Qaisar SM. Epileptic seizure detection using a hybrid 1D CNN-machine learning approach from EEG data. J Healthc Eng. 2022;2022:9579422.
- Hassan F, Hussain SF, Qaisar SM. Fusion of multivariate EEG signals for schizophrenia detection using CNN and machine learning techniques. Inf Fus. 2023;92:466–78.
- Dong HY, Chen D, Zhang L, Ke HJ, Li XL. Subject sensitive EEG discrimination with fast reconstructable CNN driven by reinforcement learning: a case study of ASD evaluation. Neurocomputing. 2021;449:136–45.
- Aliyu I, Lim YB, Lim CG, Acm, editors. Epilepsy detection in EEG signal using recurrent neural network. In: 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Metaheuristics and Swarm Intelligence (ISMSI). Male, Maldives; 2019. p. 50-3.
- 179. Lee S, Hussein R, Ward R, Wang ZJ, McKeown MJ. A convolutionalrecurrent neural network approach to resting-state EEG classification in Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci Methods. 2021;361:109282.
- Sarkar AR, Singh A, Chakraborty R. A deep learning-based comparative study to track mental depression from EEG data. Neurosci Inform. 2022;2:100039.
- Mishra S, Birok R, editors. Sleep classification using CNN and RNN on Raw EEG single-channel. In: International Conference on Computational Performance Evaluation (ComPE). Shillong, India; 2020. p. 232-7.
- Michielli N, Acharya UR, Molinari F. Cascaded LSTM recurrent neural network for automated sleep stage classification using single-channel EEG signals. Comput Biol Med. 2019;106:71–81.
- Hu XM, Yuan SS, Xu FZ, Leng Y, Yuan KJ, Yuan Q. Scalp EEG classification using deep Bi-LSTM network for seizure detection. Comput Biol Med. 2020;124:103919.
- 184. Koya JR, Rao S, Pothunoori SK, Malyala S, IEEE, editors. EEG-based emotion recognition using LSTM-RNN machine learning algorithm. In: International conference on innovation in information communication and technology (ICIICT). Chennai, India; 2019. p. 1-4.
- Lee C, An J. LSTM-CNN model of drowsiness detection from multiple consciousness states acquired by EEG. Expert Syst Appl. 2023;213:119032.
- Alotaiby TN, Alshebeili SA, Alotaibi FM, Alrshoud SR. Epileptic seizure prediction using CSP and LDA for scalp EEG signals. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2017;2017:1240323.
- Kaur T, Gandhi TK. Deep convolutional neural networks with transfer learning for automated brain image classification. Mach Vis Appl. 2020;31(3):20.
- Chen PL, Chen D, Zhang L, Tang YB, Li XL. Automated sleep spindle detection with mixed EEG features. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2021;70:103026.
- 189. Wen D, Li P, Zhou YH, Sun YB, Xu J, Liu YJ, et al. Feature classification method of resting-state EEG signals from amnestic mild cognitive impairment with type 2 diabetes mellitus based on multi-view convolutional neural network. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2020;28(8):1702–9.
- 190. Roy Y, Banville H, Albuquerque I, Gramfort A, Falk TH, Faubert J. Deep learning-based electroencephalography analysis: a systematic review. J Neural Eng. 2019;16(5):051001.

- Zeng H, Yang C, Dai GJ, Qin FW, Zhang JH, Kong WZ. EEG classification of driver mental states by deep learning. Cogn Neurodyn. 2018;12(6):597–606.
- 192. Zhang YQ, Chen JL, Tan JH, Chen YX, Chen YY, Li DH, et al. An investigation of deep learning models for EEG-based emotion recognition. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:622759.
- Shoorangiz R, Weddell SJ, Jones RD. EEG-based machine learning: theory and applications. In: Thakor NV, editors. Handbook of Neuroengineering. Springer, Singapore; 2021.
- 194. Si Y. Machine learning applications for electroencephalograph signals in epilepsy: a quick review. Acta Epileptol. 2020;2:1–7.
- Gao ZK, Dang WD, Wang XM, Hong XL, Hou LH, Ma K, et al. Complex networks and deep learning for EEG signal analysis. Cogn Neurodyn. 2021;15(3):369–88.
- Huang XY, Zhou N, Choi KS. A generalizable and discriminative learning method for deep EEG-based motor imagery classification. Front Neurosci. 2021;15:760979.
- Güler I, Kiymik MK, Akin M, Alkan A. AR spectral analysis of EEG signals by using maximum likelihood estimation. Comput Biol Med. 2001;31(6):441–50.
- Fdez J, Guttenberg N, Witkowski O, Pasquali A. Cross-subject EEG-based emotion recognition through neural networks with stratified normalization. Front Neurosci. 2021;15:626277.
- 199. Ding ZH, Ouyang GX, Chen H, Li XL. Closed-loop transcranial magnetic stimulation of real-time EEG based on the AR mode method. Biomed Phys Eng Express. 2020;6(3):035010.
- Ieracitano C, Mammone N, Hussain A, Morabito FC. A novel multimodal machine learning based approach for automatic classification of EEG recordings in dementia. Neural Netw. 2020;123:176–90.
- Ji N, Ma L, Dong H, Zhang XJ. EEG signals feature extraction based on DWT and EMD combined with approximate entropy. Brain Sci. 2019;9(8):201.
- Ruiz-Gómez SJ, Hornero R, Poza J, Maturana-Candelas A, Pinto N, Gómez C. Computational modeling of the effects of EEG volume conduction on functional connectivity metrics. Application to Alzheimer's disease continuum. J Neural Eng. 2019;16(6):066019.
- Luo CH, Li FL, Li PY, Yi CL, Li CB, Tao Q, et al. A survey of brain network analysis by electroencephalographic signals. Cogn Neurodyn. 2022;16(1):17–41.
- 204. Westner BU, Dalal SS, Gramfort A, Litvak V, Mosher JC, Oostenveld R, et al. A unified view on beamformers for M/EEG source reconstruction. Neuroimage. 2022;246:118789.
- Wang J, Yi M, Zhang C, Bian ZJ, Wan Y, Chen RX, et al. Cortical activities of heat-sensitization responses in suspended moxibustion: an EEG source analysis with sLORETA. Cogn Neurodyn. 2015;9(6):581–8.
- 206. Fogel SM, Smith CT. The function of the sleep spindle: a physiological index of intelligence and a mechanism for sleep-dependent memory consolidation. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2011;35(5):1154–65.
- 207. Huang DM, Huang J, Qiao K, Zhong NS, Lu HZ, Wang WJ. Deep learning-based lung sound analysis for intelligent stethoscope. Mil Med Res. 2023;10(1):44.
- 208. Thoduparambil PP, Dominic A, Varghese SM. EEG-based deep learning model for the automatic detection of clinical depression. Phys Eng Sci Med. 2020;43(4):1349–60.
- Lashgari E, Liang DH, Maoz U. Data augmentation for deep-learningbased electroencephalography. J Neurosci Methods. 2020;346:108885.
- 210. Gao YY, Wang XK, Potter T, Zhang JH, Zhang YC. Single-trial EEG emotion recognition using Granger Causality/Transfer Entropy analysis. J Neurosci Methods. 2020;346:108904.
- Chen C, Wang H, Chen Y, Yin Z, Yang X, Ning H, et al. Understanding the brain with attention: a survey of transformers in brain sciences. Brain-X. 2023;1(3):e29.
- Qi Y, Ma FQ, Ge TT, Wang YM, Zhu JM, Zhang JM, et al. Abidirectional brain–computer interface for effective epilepsy control. J Zhejiang Univ Sci C Comput Electron. 2014;15(10):839–47.
- 213. Di Giamberardino P, lacoviello D, Placidi G, Polsinelli M, Spezialetti M, editors. A brain computer interface by EEG signals from self-induced emotions. In: 6th ECCOMAS thematic conference on computational vision and medical image processing (VipIMAGE). Porto, Portugal; 2018. p. 713-21.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

