## REVIEW

**Open Access** 

# Insights gained from single-cell analysis of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell immunotherapy in cancer



Lu Tang  $^{1,2,3\dagger}$  , Zhong-Pei Huang  $^{1,2,3\dagger}$  , Heng Mei  $^{1,2,3,4\ast}$  and Yu Hu  $^{1,2,3,4\ast}$ 

## Abstract

Advances in chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy have significantly improved clinical outcomes of patients with relapsed or refractory hematologic malignancies. However, progress is still hindered as clinical benefit is only available for a fraction of patients. A lack of understanding of CAR-T cell behaviors in vivo at the single-cell level impedes their more extensive application in clinical practice. Mounting evidence suggests that single-cell sequencing techniques can help perfect the receptor design, guide gene-based T cell modification, and optimize the CAR-T manufacturing conditions, and all of them are essential for long-term immunosurveillance and more favorable clinical outcomes. The information generated by employing these methods also potentially informs our understanding of the numerous complex factors that dictate therapeutic efficacy and toxicities. In this review, we discuss the reasons why CAR-T immunotherapy fails in clinical practice and what this field has learned since the milestone of single-cell sequencing technologies. We further outline recent advances in the application of single-cell analyses in CAR-T immunotherapy. Specifically, we provide an overview of single-cell studies focusing on target antigens, CAR-transgene integration, and preclinical research and clinical applications, and then discuss how it will affect the future of CAR-T cell therapy.

**Keywords** Single-cell sequencing, Cancer immunotherapy, CAR-T therapy, Cell heterogeneity, Trajectory inference, Tumor microenvironment

<sup>†</sup>Lu Tang and Zhong-Pei Huang contributed equally to this article.

\*Correspondence: Heng Mei hmei@hust.edu.cn

Yu Hu dr\_huvu@126.com

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Hematology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College,

Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China <sup>2</sup> Hubei Clinical Medical Center of Cell Therapy for Neoplastic Disease, Wuhan 430022, China

<sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Biological Targeted Therapy, The Ministry

of Education, Wuhan 430022, China

<sup>4</sup> Hubei Key Laboratory of Biological Targeted Therapy, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China

## Background

Currently, the primary standard treatments for most malignancies are chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and, in the case of solid tumors, surgery. With the development of immune-based treatments, some therapies, such as cell therapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors, are promising for patients with refractory or relapsed cancer patients [1–5]. The tremendous clinical successes of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy in hematologic malignancies have led to an exponential growth in research within the field and revolutionized anticancer immunotherapy [6–9]. The CAR is an engineered molecule that includes a single chain fragment variable (scFv) against a specific antigen. CAR-T cells work by recognizing and binding to



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain and Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/b

the scFv target on the malignant cells. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved six kinds of CAR-T cell products since 2017 [10-18] and catapulted this field into an era of fast-paced and innovative research. As more patients are being treated and more extended follow-up data are becoming available, it has been realized that only a fraction of patients received clinical benefit from these CAR-T cell therapies [19, 20]. Moreover, many clinical trials have revealed frequent and various adverse events, exposing the limitations of CAR-T cell therapy. Although traditional techniques can enable high-throughput and quantitative profiling of genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic signatures, for bulk populations, cells must be placed in groups on the basis of the expression levels of established surface markers, which can potentially introduce bias into the results [21-24]. Thus far, progress in addressing therapeutic failures has been limited due to the inability to fully characterize CAR-T cell behaviors in vivo at the single-cell level, posing a major hurdle to the extensive application of CAR-T immunotherapy.

The emergence of single-cell sequencing has already led to substantial advances in the identification of novel biomarkers, cellular phenotypes and therapeutic targets, many of which would have been undetectable by bulk-sequencing approaches [25-27]. Single-cell sequencing offers high resolution and is best suited to studying the properties of immune cells, including diverse developmental lineages, antigen specificity, phenotypic plasticity, and adaptability to various microenvironments. This powerful tool makes it possible for us to take an in-depth look into the behaviors and fate of CAR-T cells [24, 27–32]. Accumulating evidence shows that single-cell methods can help researchers work out better receptor design, guide gene-based T cell modification and optimize manufacturing conditions, which are essential for long-term immunosurveillance and more favorable therapeutic outcomes [33-39]. Furthermore, single-cell sequencing contributes to clinical monitoring by enabling the prediction of therapeutic efficacy and toxicities, which facilitates individualized treatment and greatly raises the prospects of CAR-T therapy [40, 41]. In this review, we discuss why CAR-T cell immunotherapy fails in clinical practice and what this field has learned since the milestone of single-cell sequencing technologies. We further outline recent advances in the application of single-cell sequencing to CAR-T immunotherapy. Specifically, we present an overview of the single-cell studies focusing on target antigens, CAR-transgene integration, and following preclinical research and clinical applications, and then discuss how they will affect the future of CAR-T cell therapy.

## What impedes CAR-T function? Cancer resistance and relapse

Therapeutic failure due to cancer resistance and relapse remains one of the major challenges hindering the use of CAR-T cell therapy [20, 42-46]. The common mechanism associated with relapse after CAR-T cell therapy is loss of the target antigen, which makes the molecule unrecognizable by CAR-T cells, and the loss of targets may be caused by negative antigens or antigen deficiency [9, 47-55]. Genetic mutations in CD19 developed in the majority of resistant tumor cells and irreversible loss of heterozygosity at the time of CD19negative relapse [47], indicating that mutation of target antigen under selective pressure is one of the crucial mechanisms underlying antigen loss. CAR-T cells also induce reversible antigen loss through trogocytosis, an active process in which the target antigen is transferred to T cells, thereby reducing target density on cancer cells and decreasing T cell activity by promoting fratricidal T cell killing and inducing T cell exhaustion [55]. In addition, the unintentional introduction of the CAR gene into a single leukemic B cell during T cell manufacturing resulted in CAR-T cell escape. Its product was bound in cis to the CD19 epitope on the surface of leukemic cells, masking it from recognition by CAR-T cells and thereby conferring resistance [46].

However, not all patients suffered an antigen-negative relapse, suggesting that additional factors may contribute to therapeutic failure [43, 56-71]. CAR-T cells are typically produced from lymphocytes that are derived from autologously collected apheresis samples. Therefore, the composition of CAR-T cells is unique to individual patient, and is tremendously heterogeneous. CAR-T cells from responders were enriched in memory-related genes, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signatures, whereas CAR-T cells from non-responders up-regulated programs involved in effector differentiation, glycolysis, exhaustion, and apoptosis [43]. NR4A transcription factors play an essential role in the cell-intrinsic program of T cell hyporesponsiveness, thereby limiting CAR-T cell function [60]. Programmed death-1 (PD-1)-mediated T cell exhaustion also affected CAR-T cells, suggesting that PD-1/PD-L1 blockade may be an effective strategy to improve the efficacy of CAR-T cell therapies [72–74].

Tumor endogenous factors and the tumor microenvironment (TME) are also thought to play a pivotal role in the therapeutic response to CAR-T therapy. Several researchers have conducted integrated drug profiling and CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) screening to identify essential pathways responsible for CAR-T cell cytotoxicity [56, 57]. Impaired death receptor signaling in tumor cells causes failed cytotoxicity and drives CD19-targeted CAR-T cell dysfunction, identifying a novel mechanism culpable for the antigen-independent resistance. More importantly, highly-immunosuppressive solid malignancies, like glioblastoma or pancreatic cancer, may also generate resistance to CAR-T therapy. Immunosuppressive cells and cytokines, such as regulatory T cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , can inhibit the proliferation and effector functions of CAR-T cells [75–78]. The lack of chemokines leads to an impaired chemotactic ability of CAR-T cells. In addition, the abnormal vasculature and cancer-associated fibroblasts make it difficult for CAR-T cells to migrate to the tumor [79, 80].

## Toxicity

Toxicities appear to be severe effects of CAR-T therapy, such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) [81-88]. The cytotoxicity of some CAR-T cells is not highly tumor-specific and can cause damage to normal tissues. Therefore, it is imperative to comprehensively characterize CAR-T cells and elucidate the mechanisms responsible for successful CAR-T cell immune responses and reduced toxicities. This remains a critical task for further refinement of CAR-T cell-based immunotherapy. CRS is the most frequently observed life-threatening adverse effect of CAR-T cell therapy. CAR-T cell-mediated cancer clearance triggers the systemic inflammatory response with elevated IL-6 levels, which is the hallmark of CRS [81]. Therefore, clinically, the primary management to overcome CRS is to break the cytokine feedback loop by treating it with an IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody [89]. Corticosteroids combined with tocilizumab are also used to reduce inflammation and vasogenic edema in the brain and reduce the potentially fatal severity of the disease [90, 91]. Notably, in the pathophysiological process of CRS, endogenous immune cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells are also involved in the synthesis and release of various cytokines and are responsible for the symptoms of CRS [83, 92]. Neurotoxicity is associated with CRS and manifests as transient loss of working memory, delirium, seizures, and, in rare cases, acute cerebral edema [87]. Clinically, ICANS can present as early as the day after or as late as the fourth week after CAR-T infusion [88]. The development of neurotoxicity is associated with a higher pretreatment disease burden, higher peak CAR-T cell expansion, and earlier and higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines in blood and cerebrospinal fluid [88]. Gust et al. also reported that endothelial dysfunction and increased blood-brain barrier permeability may allow inflammatory cytokines and immune cells to enter the central nervous system and contribute to neurological inflammation [93, 94].

## The limitations of traditional experimental techniques

During the growth and development of organisms, their transcriptome information varies with different cell types, external environments and internal regulatory factors. Cellular heterogeneity is also a universal feature of biological tissues and systems. Conventional next-generation sequencing can be used to detect the genomic and transcriptomic information of a cell population, such as a large number of cells, animal and plant tissues, or even an organism as a whole. This approach can yield a myriad of genomic or transcriptome data, but obscures the gene expression patterns of individual cells and is not capable of revealing cellular heterogeneity. To distinguish cells in a bulk cell population, the cells must be categorized on the basis of the expression levels of established surface markers, which might potentially introduce bias. Since human tumors are intricate multicellular ecosystems composed of diverse cells, including subsets of cancer, immune, and stromal cells, their comprehensive characterization cannot be achieved by bulk-omics methods. Single-cell sequencing offers high resolution of many aspects of immune cells, including diverse developmental lineages, antigen specificity, phenotypic plasticity, and adaptability to various microenvironments [95]. Despite the large amount of data generated by traditional experimental techniques, the single-cell omics profiles related to therapeutic responses and adverse events remain unknown. Comprehensive characterization of CAR-T cell behaviors and host immune states at the single-cell level will be more helpful for overcoming therapeutic failures.

## Current advances in single-cell sequencing technologies

With remarkable technological advances and exponentially growing cellular throughput, single-cell sequencing has become feasible and covered multiple aspects of omics, including genomic, transcriptomic, epigenomic, and proteomic characteristics of individual cells, as well as any combination thereof [96–117]. Moreover, in combination with the improvements in spatial techniques, single-cell sequencing is also helpful for the dissection of immune cell communication networks at the systemic level [118–121]. A wide variety of screening platforms have been established for interrogating molecular assays at the single-cell level to explore the heterogeneity of tumors and the clonal evolution of highly complex tissues. The 10×Genomics and BD Rhapsody<sup>TM</sup> Single-Cell Analysis System have been commercially available and

extensively employed for single-cell analysis since 2017 [122]. In addition to these commercial platforms, intensive research has led to the development of numerous methods for more in-depth characterization of single cells. In recent years, scientists and research institutions have established many single-cell databases to help solve various medical problems. These databases collect large data sets and provide researchers with more user-friendly platforms. They cover a wide range of species, organs, tissues, cell lines, diseases and data types of single-cell sequencing. The integrative gene expression data can help us to identify new cell types or sub-regions in organs [123], analyze the intercellular interactions in TME [124], and understand the mechanisms of side effects of the therapies [125]. They can even successfully handle complex analyses of single-cell sequencing data. As a result, more researchers are beginning to use these portals to extract more information and increase the richness and credibility of their studies. Herein, we focus on the technological advances and applications of single-cell sequencing (Table 1).

#### Genome

Single-cell genome sequencing allows us to get better understanding with genetic heterogeneity and identify the driving mutations that are responsible for cancer progression and metastasis [105, 163, 164]. An in-depth insight into the clonal dynamics of cancer cells is vital to dissecting the subpopulations of the cancer cells that could then be profiled to identify novel tumor-specific antigens (TSAs) [24]. A great many methods are available for the analysis of single-cell gene expression profiles, such as those conducted by single nucleus sequencing (SNS), single-cell combinatorial indexed sequencing (SCI-seq), and SCANPY [105, 107, 165, 166]. Moreover, single-molecule real-time sequencing of long fragments amplified through transposon insertion (SMOOTH-seq), a novel single-cell whole genome sequencing (scWGS) technique based on the third-generation platform, has also been developed [108]. These approaches can acquire genomic data, detect copy number variations (CNVs), structural variations (SVs), and single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in human cancer cells in particular, and facilitate information mining for these DNA mutationrelated diseases. In the meantime, the scWGS has helped researchers gain unprecedented insights into the clonal dynamics that occur during tumorigenesis and during normal hematopoiesis [163, 167, 168]. The scWGS can be used to characterize mutational profiles of highly heterogeneous tumors at the single-cell resolution scale, which is particularly useful in determining which clones are highly vulnerable or resistant to CAR-T cell-mediated cytotoxicity [24]. Moreover, single-cell genomics technologies afford the possibility to fully annotate the antigen expression on all human tissues and cancer cells, which may be helpful in the de-risking of potential targets and the identification of optimal antigens for CAR-T therapy [169].

## Epigenome

Epigenetic regulation involves chromosome conformation, chromosome accessibility, DNA and RNA methvlation, histone modification, and the regulation of non-coding RNA [95]. The three-dimensional structure models of the whole genome exhibit a radially-organized architecture of chromosome-based compartments with distinctive epigenomic features [170]. The single-cell Hi-C platforms have been established to provide chromatin architecture mapping in individual cells, which allows the measurement of the spatial proximity between transcription regulatory elements in a cell type-dependent manner [171]. The single-cell assay for transposase accessible chromatin sequencing (scATAC-seq) enables the cutting of open chromatins through Tn5 transposase, and these fragments will be further amplified, thus providing epigenetic information through sequencing [109]. It could be used for the measurement of open chromatin regions of DNA and to determine which genes are accessible for transcription. However, this technology is limited to detecting large SVs (including insertions, deletions, duplications, inversions, and translocations) and haplotype phasing [111]. To address the challenge, Tang et al. developed single-cell assay for transposaseaccessible chromatin on Nanopore sequencing platform (scNanoATAC-seq) for single-molecule long-read sequencing. Currently, scATAC-seq is being extensively and intensively used and holds great promise to explain the heterogeneity in the shift of immune cell status [172– 176]. Besides, single-cell chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (scChIP-seq) can be used for detecting histone modification and binding of transcription factor [112–114]. The spatial Cleavage Under Targets and Tagmentation (CUT&Tag) has been established for the spatial-resolution genome-wide profiling of histone modifications, opening new avenues for the study of epigenetic regulation, cell function, and fate decision in tumor pathogenesis and therapeutic intervention [115]. The global methylation status of CAR-T cells may be related to the efficacy of the treatment [24, 62, 177, 178]. Several methods have been developed for the single-cell profiles of DNA and RNA methylation, such as single-cell bisulfite sequencing (scBS-seq), single nucleus methylcytosine sequencing (snmC-seq), single-cell combinatorial indexing for methylation analysis (sci-MET) and single-cell reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (scRRBS), et al. [128, 130, 179, 180]. Therefore, single-cell

Table 1 Sur	mmary of techniques of single cell sequei	cing	
Omics	Technique	Application	Limitation
Genome	SNS [105] SCI-seq [106] SCANPY [107] SMOOTH-seq [108]	Detect DNA mutations including CNVs, SVs, SNVs in cancer cells, contributing to knowing dynamics of tumorigenesis, heterogeneous of tumors and identifying specific cancer clones	Low throughput Currently limited to CNV detection Python-based rather than R-based frameworks Low throughput
Epigenome	scATAC-seq [109]	Detect the open status of chromatins; Link regulatory elements to their target genes and discover in vivo correlates of heterogeneity in accessibility within cell types; Explain heterogeneity in the shift of cell states and epigenetic	Remain challenges in detection of large-scale SVs and haplotype phasing Speculate TF binding from motif or chromatin profiling data indirectly
	sciATAC-seq [110]	mechanisms for CAR-I exhaustion, differentiation and resistance	Speculate TF binding from motif or chromatin profiling data indi- rectly
	scNanoATAC-seq [111]		Expensive under current sequencing depth (less than 2.5 dollars per cell) Speculate TF binding from motif or chromatin profiling data indi- rectly
	scTHS-seq [126]	Improve coverage of highly cell specific distal enhancers compared with scATAC-seq	High cost
	scDrop-CHIP [112] scCUT&Tag [113, 114] Spatial-CUT&Tag [115]	Learn heterogeneity of tumors from aspects of histone modifica- tion and transfer factor binding directly, offer the opportunity to predict sensitivity to therapeutic agents [127]	Non-duplicated reads are low (less than 1000) High cost High cost
	scB5-seq [116] scCGI-seq [117]	Detect DNA methylation to study cell development and connec- tions between methylation and diseases	Very low cell throughput
	snmC-seq [128] snmC-seq2 [129]		High cost
	sci-MET [130] scRRBS [131]		The coverage of methylation is not enough Very low cell throughput Not full-length genome
	scHi-C-seq [83]	Specific for chromosome conformation	Very low cell throughput

Table 1 (con	ntinued)		
Omics	Technique	Application	Limitation
Transcriptome	SMART-seq SMART-seq2 [132] SMART-seq3 [133]	Suitable for samples with small numbers of cells; Find rare frag- ments in mRNA by its whole mRNA sequencing	Low throughput Long experimental period
	CEL-seq [134] CEL-seq2 [135]	Identify cell types, discover tumor cells and TME, explain treatment outcomes and mechanism of diseases from clonal dynamics	Low throughput 3'-end counting, not full-length
	MARS-seq [136]/MARS-seq2.0 [137]	and other transcriptional profiles; MARS-seq2.0 enables intuitive approaches for depletion or enrich-	3'-end counting, not full-length
	Drop-seq [102]	ment of cell populations	
	InDrop [138]		
	Seq-Well [139]		
	MULTI-seq [140]		
	Microwell-seq [141] /Microwell-seq2.0 [142]	It's cost-efficient, allowing for the mapping of cell atlas [141, 142]	Not full-length
	10 × Genomics [143, 144]	Beside applications above, they can acquire sequencing of TCR &	High cost
	BD Rhapsody [145]	BLK beside gene transcripts as well, which are helpful for immune cell phenotype and associated cancers	
	SPLiT-seq [146]	It allows super high-throughput sequencing, and support to draw	3'-end counting, not full-length
	sci-RNA-seq [147] sci-RNA-seq3 [148]	comprehensive atlas of cells or find rare cell clusters	Limited to detect exon High cost
	sci-Plex [149]	sci-Plex scales to thousands of samples, enables high-throughput sequencing and multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single-cell level. It's a cost-effective and scalable method, allowing large-scale drug screening	Only affix barcodes to nuclei of cells, which means detecting mRNA in nuclei alone
	sclSOr-seq [150]	Using the third-generation sequencing platform to get full-length	High cost
	ScNaUmi-seq [151]	transcripts	Low throughput High error rate
	R2C2 [152]		

Table 1 (cor	ntinued)		
Omics	Technique	Application	Limitation
Proteome	High-resolution microscopy [153]	Quantify proteins in single cells;	The number of screened proteins is small
	Flow cytometry [154]	Biomarker detection	High talse positive rate
	Immunohistochemistry [155]		
	CyTOF [156]	Quantify multiple proteins in single cells; Immune profiling; Biomarker discovery	Limited in the number of parameters cells per sample they can simultaneously assess High cost
	BD Abseq [157] BioLegend TotalSeq [158]		High cost
	CITE-seq, REAP-seq, SCITO-seq <sup>[</sup> 159 <sup>-162]</sup>	Single-cell sequencing is performed simultaneously at the tran- scriptome and proteome levels	
SNS single nucle assay for transp variations, SNVs hypersensitive s cBS-seg single- nethylation and sequencing, CER RNA sequencing cclSOr-seq single cellular indexing	aar sequencing, SCI-seq single-cell combinatorial ii osase-accessible chromatin using sequencing, sci, single nucleotide variants, TF transcription factors citre sequencing, scChiP-seq single-cell chromatin ir alysis, scRRS single-cell reduced representation bi alysis, scRRS single-cell reduced representation bi -seq cell repression by linear amplification and se , SCUT-seq split-pool ligation-based transcriptom -cell isoform RNA sequencing, ScNaUmi-seq singl, of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing, of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing,	dexed sequencing, <i>SMODTH-seq</i> single-molecule real-time sequencing of lo <i>ITAC-seq</i> single-cell combinatorial indexing assay for transposase-accessible to <i>scNanoATAC-seq</i> single-cell assay for transposase-accessible thromatin on N munoprecipitation followed by sequencing, <i>TCH</i> rell receptor, <i>BCR</i> B cell re <i>cpG</i> siland methylation sequencing for single cells, <i>snnC-seq</i> single nucleus suffite sequencing, <i>scH-C-seq</i> single-cell Hi-C method for chromosome confi- centing, <i>MATS-seq</i> massively parallel RNA single-cell sequencing, <i>MULTI-serf</i> sequencing, <i>MATS-seq</i> single-cell combinatorial indexing RNA sequencing, <i>scHAS-seq</i> massively parallel RNA single-cell sequencing, <i>MULTI-serf</i> sequencing, <i>scHAS-seq</i> single-cell combinatorial indexing RNA sequencing. <i>ScHP-seq</i> RNA expression and protein sequencing, <i>SCTO-seq</i> single-cell comb	ng fragments amplified through transposon insertion, <i>scATAC-seq</i> single-cell chromatin using sequencing, <i>CNVs</i> copy number variations, <i>SVs</i> structure alanopore sequencing platform, <i>scTHS-seq</i> single-cell transposome <i>eceptor</i> , <i>scCUT8</i> /rg single-cell Cleavage Under Targets and Tagmentation, methylcytosine sequencing, <i>sci-MET</i> single-cell combinatorial indexing for methols, <i>SMART-seq</i> switching mechanism at 5' end of the RNA transcript armation, <i>SMART-seq</i> suitching mechanism at 5' end of the RNA transcript armation, <i>SMART-seq</i> suitching mechanism at 5' end of the RNA transcript armatiphexing using lipid-tragged indices for single-cell and single-nucleus <i>3. sci-Plex</i> single-cell combinatorial indexing for multiplex transcriptomics, intatorial indexed cytometry sequencing

resolution information about the epigenetic programming of the CAR-T cells may provide a method to further improve the performance of CAR-T cells [172].

### Transcriptome

scRNA-seq is a powerful technique used in molecular biology and genomics to study gene expression at the single-cell level and is the most popular method among single-cell technologies. scRNA-seq can help to identify differentially-expressed genes, perform clustering analysis to identify cell subpopulations and construct cell lineage trees to understand the developmental trajectories of different cell types [97, 132, 134]. Smart-seq was developed in 2013 and was one of the first scRNAseq methods to enable full-length transcript sequencing from single cells [181]. Smart-seq2 was developed in 2014 as an improved version of smart-seq [182]. The sensitivity of smart-seq3 has been greatly increased compared to smart-seq2, typically detecting thousands of more transcripts per cell [133]. Cell expression by linear amplification and sequencing (CEL-seq) and CEL-seq2 involve barcoding individual cells with unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) and capturing mRNA transcripts using oligo-dT primers [134, 135]. Massively parallel RNA single-cell sequencing (MARS-seq) uses a microfluidic device to separate cells into nanoliter-sized droplets, enabling high-throughput processing of thousands of cells at the same time [137]. Despite high sequencing depth per single cell, these methods are limited by low throughput. Droplet-based microfluidics is one of the most challenging candidates for the capture and processing of thousands of individual cells in parallel for wholetranscriptome analysis. It enables the assessment of large numbers of cells at a relatively low cost [138, 183]. Like nanowell-based implementations, the Seq-Well places single cells and barcoded poly (dT) mRNA capture beads in a polydimethylsiloxane array of ~ 86,000 subnanoliter wells. It enables high-throughput scRNA-seq of lowinput samples [139, 144]. In addition to two well-known commercial platforms, 10×Genomics (droplet-based) and BD Rhapsody (nanowell-based), other high-throughput scRNA-seq methods have also been developed, such as Multiplexing using lipid-tagged indices for single-cell and single-nucleus RNA sequencing (MULTI-seq) [140] and Split-pool ligation-based transcriptome sequencing (SPLiT-seq) [146]. With the development of technologies that integrate whole-transcriptome sequencing and targeted gene enrichment to capture T cell receptor (TCR) and B cell receptor (BCR) repertoires, scRNA-seq has also been used to gain knowledge about the specific immune responses that occur during disease progression [184, 185]. Cell subsets originating from a single cell can be traced by sequencing TCR or BCR RNA

transcripts, facilitating the study of clonal dynamics. Likewise, the direct relationship between clonotypes and phenotypes can be addressed. The 5'-ends of mRNA are labeled with barcoded template-switch-oligos and PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) is performed with TCRor BCR-specific primers in the technique developed by 10×Genomics. This method is highly efficient in capturing the fragment containing the V-D-J segments because these segments are located at the 5' end of the mRNA. In this way, it's possible to study the clonal dynamics of CAR-T cells [186-192]. Several studies have been conducted to make clinic-relevant statements about the transcriptional profiles of CAR-T cells that are related to treatment outcomes, whether favorable or poor [41, 193]. More importantly, scRNA-seq can be an effective tool to study the interactions that occur within the TME between a wide variety of endogenous immune cell types and CAR-T cells [194].

#### Proteome

It's also informative to explore heterogeneity at the protein level because of its direct regulation of cellular function and transcriptome can't reflect cell functions completely indeed. Proteomic sequencing as a whole is hindered by a lack of direct amplification of proteins due to their complex secondary and tertiary structures [195]. Conventional approaches like high-resolution microscopy, flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry allow for the quantification of proteins at the resolution of a single cell [24]. These methods can be used to track the location and expansion of CAR-T cells after cell infusion. However, these methods are limited by the number of proteins that can be screened at the same time. The mass cytometry by time-of-flight (CyTOF) has been widely applied in immune characterization, the discovery of biomarkers, and studies of therapeutic effects [196-205]. The main advantage of CyTOF over traditional flow cytometry is the capability to combine multiple antibody specificities in a single sample with negligible spillover between the channels [206, 207]. CyTOF has been used to assess the expression levels of surface or intracellular proteins that are associated with T cell function, helping to determine the specific CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR-T subpopulations that have been linked to clinical outcomes [208]. Currently, fluorescent tags and heavy metal isotopes are conjugated to antibodies to evaluate protein levels, and this approach is constrained by the number of parameters per sample that can be assessed simultaneously [195, 196, 202]. In addition, the Abseq utilizes the conjugation of antibodies to the unique DNA barcodes that can be read with the microfluidic barcoding and DNA sequencing, and it has extended the number of proteins that can be measured up to the hundreds [159, 209,

210]. Several single-cell proteomics methods have been developed for use alongside scRNA-seq, such as cellular indexing of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing (CITE-seq), RNA expression and protein sequencing (REAP-seq) assay, and single-cell combinatorial indexed cytometry sequencing (SCITO-seq) [159–162]. Although these methods can theoretically be used for quantitative detection of intracellular proteins, they are restricted to the assessment of cell surface markers. Taken together, single-cell proteomic profiling combined with scRNA-seq allows for high-throughput monitoring of single-cell phenotypes [35, 211–214]. Therefore, it could provide a much higher resolution in defining specific CAR-T cell subsets associated with clinical outcomes [40, 215, 216].

## Overview of single-cell sequencing applied in CAR-T cell studies

The study of CAR-T cell therapy is dependent on various pre-clinical models that can reconstruct the interactions between cancer cells and immune cells and evaluate the therapeutic efficacy. Many fundamental questions need to be addressed at each stage of CAR-T cell therapy. How does the heterogeneity of T cells affect CAR-T cell therapy? To what extent is this heterogeneity modulated by CAR transduction and cell manufacturing? Are there predominant CAR-T cell subpopulations that are correlated with successful CAR-T cell therapies? Is it possible to predict clinical responses and adverse events of CAR-T cell therapy based on single-cell signatures? Accounting for cell heterogeneity and lineage tracing, single-cell sequencing has provided new insights at each and all stages of CAR-T cell therapy (Table 2) [16, 35, 216–227].

## Single-cell analysis of CAR-T cell therapy at the preclinical stage

The discovery of CAR-T cells in the preclinical stage was based on in vitro co-culture assays and in vivo xenograft mouse models. Although these conventional assays could be used to evaluate the expression of cell surface markers, cytokine secretion, and cancer cell killing, the spectrum of individual CAR-T cell behaviors cannot be fully covered. Single-cell signatures from tumor biopsies, T cell apheresis products, and infusion products can be used to determine the predictive features of clinical responses before therapy and guide personalized therapies. Can single-cell sequencing be established as a tool to explore ideal target antigens, evaluate new CAR designs, and guide personalized treatment? In the next section, we will discuss the application of single-cell sequencing to explore the biology of CAR-T cells through in vitro and in vivo preclinical studies (Fig. 1).

### Target antigens of CAR-T cells

CAR-T immunotherapy has the advantage of higher targeting specificity than conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy. An increasing number of CAR-T cell products are being developed for the treatment of cancer. Despite their universal utility, the target antigens are also expressed in normal cells, such as CD19 in the normal B-cell lineage. The "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity of CAR-T therapy has been widely reported, although a majority of others have not been identified or are overlapped with other symptoms [216, 228]. An understanding of the consequences of "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity is also essential for the development of a safe and effective therapy [86, 229]. Some "on-target, off-tumor" toxicities were not anticipated in preclinical animal studies because of the variability in cross-species reactivity to nonhuman target antigens. This usually leads to a false report of safety. In summary, it is essential to design CAR-T cell products that target cancer cells with negligible off-tumor toxicity.

Single-cell sequencing is a potent tool for studying various cell subsets, investigating rare cell types, and dissecting complex regulatory networks and developmental trajectories. Comprehensive single-cell multiomics studies with both tumor and normal tissues are helping us to gain new insights for predicting toxicities and guiding the medical practice of CAR-T therapy [169, 221, 230]. Jing et al. [217] designed a user-friendly data portal, CAR-target gene toxicity at the single-cell level (CARTSC), to browse and search for single target and examine the expression patterns of selected target genes in immune cells and tissues. This study was based on single-cell analysis and presented a potential "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity landscape for CAR targets in a wide range of tissues (Fig. 1a). It was recommended that these targets should be considered in advance of CAR design to avoid "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity and that symptoms should be closely monitored to identify early signs of toxicity. Zhang et al. [216] analyzed data from 18 normal tissues and organs and CAR-targeted antigen expression patterns using publicly available scRNA-seq datasets to determine which normal cells might be inappropriately targeted by CAR-T cells. This comprehensive single-cell atlas characterizes target antigens expressed on some normal cell types, helps us capture antigen-expressing rare cell types, and facilitates elucidation of the underlying cause of "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity in specific tissues and organs. In another study, the researchers leveraged an atlas of publicly available RNA sequencing data of over 500,000 single cells from 15 individuals with AML and tissue from 9 healthy individuals and identified CSF1R (colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor) and CD86 (cluster of differentiation 86) as targets for CAR-T

Data and platfo	Method	Sample	Disease
R-T Cell Studies	single-cell sequencing in research of CA	verview of representative studies of	Table 2 🔿

Disease	Sample	Method	Data and platform	Key points	Reference
Pan-cancer	18 tissues and organs from healthy human	scRNA-seq	Public data from GEO	Develop a comprehensive single- cell atlas for target antigens of CAR therapy in normal tissues and organs, which helps to capture antigen- expressing rare cell types missed in the assessment of bulk tissues	[216]
Pan-cancer	Adult cell from HCL and AHCA	scRNA-seq	Two independent cohorts based on Microwell-seq and 10×Genomics	Report a potential on-target, off- turmor toxicity landscape for CAR targets across a wide range of tissues Develop a user-friendly data portal, CAR target gene toxicity at single-cell level (CARTSC)	[217]
AML	Single cells from 15 individuals with AML and tissue from 9 healthy individuals	scRNA-seq	Publicly available scRNA-seq data	Identify CSF1R and CD86 as targets for CAR-T cell therapy in AML Extensive in vitro and in vivo validation revealed broad expression on AML blasts, strong and durable treatment responses of newly devel- oped CAR-T cells in vitro and in vivo and minimal toxicities toward rel- evant healthy cells and tissue	[122]
B-NHL	Differently prepared CAR-T cells, infusion products, PBMCs from patients	scRNA-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina	The electroporation method resulted in a high percentage of memory T cells in infusion products, and PD-1 interference enhanced antitumor immune functions, further validating the advantages of non-viral, PD- 1-integrated CAR-T cell	[218]
1	CAR-T cells	scATAC-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NextSeq 550	Develop a method called EpiVIA for the joint profiling of the chromatin accessibility and lentiviral integra- tion site analysis at the population and single-cell levels especially for CAR-T	[222]
LBCL	CAR-T cells	scRNA-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina HiSeq	Transcriptional signatures are related to costimulatory domains and sign- aling domains included in CARs uniquely shape the transcriptional programs of T cells	[219]
R/R B-ALL	Infusion products	scRNA-seq, CITE-seq	scFTD-seq Illumina HiSeq4000	Unveil heterogeneities of donor and patient CAR-T cells and provide mechanistic basis for ameliorating clinical outcomes and developing next-generation "off-shelf" allogeneic	[35]

Table 2 (continued)					
Disease	Sample	Method	Data and platform	Key points	Reference
	CAR-T cells	scRNA-seq, scCAR-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NovaSeq	Generate a library of 180 unique CAR variants genomically integrated into primary human T cells by CRISPR- Cas9 Identify several variants with tumor killing properties and T cell pheno- types markedly different from stand- ard CARs	[022]
T	CAR-T cells	Single-cell, 16-plex cytokine profiling	Single-cell barcode chip	Reveal a diverse landscape of immune effector response of CD19 CAR-T cells to antigen-specific chal- lenge Significant subsets of stimulated CAR-T cells exhibit high polyfunc- tionality with a dominant antitumor effector cytokine profile	[223]
B cell malignancies	Pre-manufacture T cells from patients	scATAC-seq CITE-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina Nova-Seq 6000	Chronic interferon signaling regulated by IRF7 was associated with poor CAR-T cell persistence across T cell subsets, and the TCF7 regulon not only associates with the favorable naive T cell state, but is maintained in effector T cells among patients with long-term CAR-T cell persistence	[214]
B cell lymphoma	BM cells from one tibia and one femur per mouse	scRNA-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina HiSeq × 10	Antitumor activity mediated by CAR-T cells largely relies on cellular cross-talk within the TME. Mechanistically, IFN-y produced by CAR-T cells and sensed by the host was essential to boost the cytotoxic potential of CAR-T cells and of host NK and T cells. CAR4 and CAR8 T cells exhibited complementary functions, being more efficient at immune activation and tumor killing, respectively	[194]

Tang et al. Military Medical Research(2023) 10:52

Table 2 (continued)					
Disease	Sample	Method	Data and platform	Key points	Reference
B-ALL	Pre-infusion products and post- infusion CD19-CAR-T cells from blood and bone marrow samples	scTCR-seq scTCR-seq	10 x Genomics Illumina NovaSeq	Pre- and post-infusion CAR-T cells have distinct gene-expression profiles. Pseudotime identifies two distinct trajectories for post-infusion CAR-T cell differentiation: the first trajectory involves effector differen- tiation characterized by the expres- sion of conventional cytotoxic genes; the other trajectory indicates the rapid development of these same exhaustion and cell death signatures soon after infusion	[187]
B cell lymphoma	Pre-treatment and post-treatment PBMCs, infusion products	scTCR-seq scTCR-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NovaSeq 54	Cellular dynamics of response differs between the two products: tisa-cel responses were associated with striking expansion of rare CD8 <sup>+</sup> central-memory-like populations from the IPs, whereas axi-cel treat- ment revealed less shifting of T cell lineages between IPs and post-treat- ment. CAR-Treg cells can suppress conventional CAR-T activity and thus facilitate relapse	[224]
CLL	PBMCs before manufacturing, pre- infusion products and post-infusion CAR-T cells	scRNA-seq scTCR-seq CyTOF CITE-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NextSeg 550 Fluidigm Helios mass cytometer	Long-persisting CD4 <sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells exhibited cytotoxic characteristics along with ongoing functional activa- tion and proliferation	[161]
B cell malignancies	CD8 <sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells from infusion prod- ucts and PB	scRNA-seq scTCR-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina Hiseq 2500	Clonal kinetics and transcriptional programs regulate the fate of CAR-T cell after infusion	[186]
B-ALL	BM	scRNA-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NextSeq 500	A Darwinian-like selection of preexist- ing CD19 <sup>neg</sup> B-ALL cells is a mecha- nism for CD19neg B-ALL relapse after CAR-T cell therapy	[189]
LBCL	Pre-treatment and post-treatment PB samples	scTCR-seq scTCR-seq CITE-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NovaSeq 6000 or HiSeq 4000	Helios-expressing population of cir- culating CD4 <sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells on day 7 is associated with clinical progression and reduced neurotoxicity, and this population is non-clonal and mani- fests hallmark features of T regulatory cells. CAR-Treg cells and tumor bur- den surrogate can identify patients with clinical progression	[40]

Table 2 (continued)					
Disease	Sample	Method	Data and platform	Key points	Reference
B-ALL	Infusion products	scRNA-seq CITE-seq	DropSeq Illumina HiSeq 4000	A deficiency of T helper 2 function was associated with CD19-positive relapse compared with durable responders The frequency of early memory T cells, rather than activation or co- inhibitory signatures, could distin- guish the relapse These findings were corroborated by independent functional profiling of 49 patients, and an integrative model was developed to predict the response	[225]
NHL	CAR-T cells pre- and postinfusion	scRNA-seq combined with TotalSeq <sup>™</sup> -B antibod- ies	10 × Genomics	The evolution of CAR-T cells was toward a non-proliferative, highly differentiated, and exhausted state. An enriched exhaustion profile in CAR-T cells of patients with poor response was marked by TIGIT expres- sion	[158]
WW	FACS sorted CAR-T cells from PB samples post treatment	scATAC-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NovaSeq 6000	BATF and IRF4 are pivotal regulators in CAR-T cell exhaustion and reduc- ing the expression of BATF or IRF4 had benefits to improve antitumor potency of CAR-T cells	[33]
LBCL	Infusion products (axi-cel)	scTLR-seq scTLR-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina HiSeq4000	The heterogeneity in the cellular and molecular features of CAR-T cell infusion products contributes to vari- ation in efficacy and toxicity after axi- cel therapy in LBCL, and that day 7 molecular response might serve as an early predictor of CAR-T cell efficacy A rare cell population with monocyte- like transcriptional features was asso- ciated with high-grade ICANS	[[4]]
B cell malignancies	Human brain, lung and PBMC, mouse whole dissociated brain	scRNA-seq	Public data from GEO 10 × Genomics Illumina HiSeq 2500	CD19, primarily considered as a B cell-specific surface antigen, is expressed in human brain mural cells that are critical for blood-brain-barrier integrity, suggesting that this cell population may contribute to the neurotoxicity of CD19-directed immunotherapy including CAR-T	[125]

Disease	Sample	Method	Data and platform	Key points	Reference
Richter-transformed DLBCL	Pre-treatment and post-treatment PB samples	scRNA-seq scTCR-seq	10 × Genomics Illumina NovaSeq	Highlight the complex nature of CAR- T-related hematological toxicity and introduce oligoclonal CAR-T cell expansion as a potential contributing pathophysiologic mechanism	[226]
B cell lymphoma	Infusion products	scRNA-seq	Public data from GSE150992	Neurotoxicity is associated with decreasing cycling activity, amount of CAR + cells, and expression of cell cycle genes and exhaustion related genes	[227]
GEO Gene Expression Omnibus blood mononuclear cell, CITE-st Accessible Chromatin using sec	<i>, HCL</i> Human Cell Landscape, <i>AHCA</i> adult hu <i>2q</i> cellular indexing of transcriptomes and el suencino. <i>TME</i> tumor microenvironment. <i>CV</i>	iman cell atlas, <i>AML</i> acute myeloid leukemi pitopes by sequencing, <i>scFTD-seq</i> single-ce <i>TOF</i> mass cvtometry by time-of-filicht. <i>P</i> B o	a, <i>CSF1R</i> colony-stimulating factor 1 recepto ill freeze-thaw lysis directly toward 3' mRNA eritheral blood. <i>BM</i> blood marrow. <i>B-ALI</i> B-c	r, <i>CD86</i> cluster of differentiation 86, <i>PBMC</i> per . sequencing, <i>scATAC-seq</i> single-cell Assay for .ell acute lymoboblastic leukemia, <i>NHI</i> non-H	ripheral Transposase- Hodokin

Table 2 (continued)

GEO Gene Expression Omnibus, HCL Human Cell Landscape, AHCA adult human cell atlas, AML acute myeloid leukemia, CSF1R colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor, CD86 cluster of differentiation 86, PBMC peripheral blood mononuclear cell. CITE-seq cellular indexing of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing, scFTD-seq single-cell freeze—thaw lysis directly toward 3' mRNA sequencing, scATAC-seq single-cell Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin using sequencing, TME tumor microenvironment, CyTOF mass cytometry by time-of-flight, PB peripheral blood, BM blood marrow, B-ALL B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, NHL non-Hodgkin lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cioleucel, LBCL large B-cell lymphoma, FACS fluorescence activating cell sorter, *axi-cel* axicabtagen cio



to interrogate CAR-T cell biology with in vitro and in vivo preclinical studies. **a** To discover promising TSAs; **b** To chart where the CAR-T vector integrates into the genome; **c** To unveil heterogeneities in the transcriptional, phenotypic, functional, and metabolic profiles of CAR-T cells; **d** Single-cell profiling of the behavior and phenotype of pre-infusion CAR-T cells; **e** Studying CAR-T cells in vivo and the cross-talk between CAR-T cells and TME. CAR chimeric antigen receptor, TSA tumor-specific antigens, TME tumor microenvironment

cell therapy in AML [221]. Extensive in vitro and in vivo validation assays demonstrated their widespread expression on AML blasts, the powerful and long-lasting treatment responses of newly developed CAR-T cells in vitro and in vivo, and their minimal toxicity to relevant healthy cells and tissues [221]. As a result, predictions can be made about the "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity of CAR-T therapies, providing guidance on preventive measures to be taken during CAR-T treatment.

#### Integration of CAR-encoding vectors

The therapeutic failures reported in most clinical trials were due to limited expansion of CAR-T cells. The site of lentiviral vector integration has been reported to affect clonal expansion, and the site of vector integration within the *TET2* gene has been shown to be the key contributor to unique clonal expansion in an individual patient [62]. Therefore, the precise localization of the CAR vector in the genome of the T cell is a critical determinant of the outcome of the treatment [63, 231]. Two methods are widely used for lentiviral integration site analysis: ligation-mediated and linear amplification-mediated PCR, which involves ligation of a linker DNA cassette to fragmented genomic DNA and enables PCR amplification

between known sequences in a long terminal repeat and linker DNA in viruses [232, 233]. However, these techniques, somewhat disappointingly, cannot simultaneously characterize the identity of an individual cell and its lentiviral integration site [231–235]. To investigate whether CAR integration enhances the proliferation of individual T cells, it is critical to define the identity of an individual CAR-T cell while also determining where the CAR-T vector is being integrated into the genome (Fig. 1b).

Wang et al. developed a method, called EpiVIA, for joint profiling of chromatin accessibility and lentiviral integration site identification at the cell population and single-cell levels, which enabled the discovery of cellular fates associated with durable CAR-T responses (Fig. 1b). This technique was also validated in clonal cells with predefined integration sites. In addition, the technique was used to determine the number of lentiviral integration sites and host chromatin accessibility at single-cell resolution in CAR-T cells [222]. The scRNA-seq is also helping to optimize the integration of CAR-encoding vectors. A "two-in-one" approach has been developed to generate nonviral, gene-specific, targeted CAR-T cells using CRISPR/Cas9 technology [218]. The scRNA-seq was performed in CAR-T cells to characterize nonviral, PD-1-integrated CAR-T cells and revealed that the electroporation method resulted in a high percentage of memory T cells among the infused products and that PD-1 interference enhanced antitumor immune functions [218]. Charitidis's team developed a scRNA-seq approach to monitor the transfer of a CD19 CAR gene by lentiviral vectors, i.e., the conventional vesicular stomatitis virus lentiviral vector and the CD8-targeted lentiviral vector [34]. The data indicated trimodal expression for the CAR and CD8A and the upregulation of restriction factors in CAR-negative cells, thereby accounting for their protection from CAR gene transfer. These findings shown that scRNA-seq provides a workflow and subset-identifying approach to reliably distinguish transduced from untransduced cells during CAR-T cell manufacturing.

### CAR-T cell manufacturing

It remains to be determined how the intrinsic heterogeneities of the engineered cells regulate the therapeutic efficacy and whether allogeneic products can match the efficacy of autologous therapies. Bai's team used scRNAseq in combination with CITE-seq to reveal heterogeneities in the transcriptional, phenotypic, functional and metabolic profiles of donor and patient CAR-T cells at baseline or after antigen-specific activation (Fig. 1c) [35]. After CD19 stimulation, donor CAR-T cells showed a more pronounced activation level in correlation with the upregulation of major histocompatibility complex class II genes compared with patient CAR-T cells [35]. This integrated multiomics profiling provides a mechanistic basis for the development of next-generation "off-the-shelf" allogeneic products.

Furthermore, the differentiation state and costimulatory domain during manufacturing may also affect the CAR-T cell function. Xhangolli et al. [236] employed high-throughput single-cell transcriptome sequencing, multiplexed single-cell cytokine secretion assays, and live cell imaging of cytolytic activity, to study CAR-T cells upon antigen-specific stimulation. Both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells were equally capable of exerting cellmediated cytotoxicity regardless of the differentiation state, and the activation of CAR-engineered T cells was found to be a canonical process that led to a highly mixed response involving both type 1 and type 2 cytokines together with granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor. CAR tonic signaling, from CD28z and 4-1BBz CARs, could skew the predominance of particular T cell subsets within resting CAR-T cells, and 4-1BBz CARs were enriched in CD8<sup>+</sup> central memory cells in contrast to CD8<sup>+</sup> effector and CD4<sup>+</sup> central memory cells in the CD28z CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell population [219]. These results indicate that a costimulatory domain may influence the heterogeneity of unique T cell transcriptional profiles. Castellanos-Rueda's team generated a library of 180 unique CAR variants that were genomically integrated into primary human T cells using CRISPR-Cas9 (Fig. 1c) [220]. The speedingCARs library provides an integrated approach to CAR-T cell engineering via signaling domain shuffling and pooled functional screening [220]. scRNA-seq and single-cell CAR sequencing (scCAR-seq) provide high-throughput screening to discover multiple variants with tumor-killing properties and T cell phenotypes that are significantly different from standard CARs, helping to expand the combination space of CAR signaling domains and supporting the speedingCARs as a tool for the engineering of CARs for potential therapeutic development [220].

### Behaviors and phenotypes of individual CAR-T cells

Cellular heterogeneity in infusion products plays a critical role in the varying efficacy of CAR-T cell therapy. Understanding the killing behavior and phenotype of individual CAR-T cells has been a major challenge. LaBelle et al. [237] have developed a platform to measure time-dependent CAR-T cell-mediated cytotoxicity and then isolate single cells for downstream assays, which would be extremely valuable in the characterization of CAR-T cells (Fig. 1d). Given that single-cell resolution accurately captures the degree of similarity between samples, it will be of great importance to study cellular heterogeneity and adequately characterize the interplay among T cell subsets in CAR-T cell therapy. Xue et al. [223] used a single-cell barcode chip microdevice to demonstrate the diverse landscape of the immune response of CAR-T cells, providing a new platform for capturing CAR-T product data for correlative analysis. A comprehensive evaluation of pre-infusion products paves the way for understanding the relationship between in vitro functional profiles and therapeutic outcomes.

More recently, Wang's team performed scRNA-seq to explore the T cell phenotypes associated with different stages of the production of dual BCMA (B cell maturation antigen)- and TACI-targeting CAR-T cells [238]. This study demonstrated that tonic signaling occurs in a small proportion of unactivated CAR-T cells and identified persistent and distinctive CAR-induced molecular signatures of T cell activation characterized by high MYC transcription factor-induced gene expression, limited exhaustion, and a combination with CD4<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> effector response. Qin et al. [239] designed a kind of CAR-T cells co-expressing chimeric switch receptors specific for PD-L1 and revealed that these CAR-T cells could promote differentiation into central memory-like T cells, upregulate genes related to T helper 1 (Th1) cells and downregulate Th2-associated

cytokines through the CD70-CD27 axis. More importantly, integrative bulk and single-cell profiling of premanufactured T cell populations revealed that some factors mediated the long-term persistence of CAR-T cells (Fig. 1d). The researchers performed RNAsequencing analysis on sorted T cell subsets from all 71 patients, followed by paired CITE-seq and scATACseq on T cells from six of these patients. The chronic interferon signaling regulated by interferon regulator factor 7 (IRF7) was related to poor CAR-T cell persistence across T cell subsets, and the transcription factor 7 (TCF7) regulon was not only associated with the favorable naïve T cell state but also with a high number of effector T cells maintained in patients with long-term CAR-T cell persistence [239]. Altogether, single-cell profiling of the behaviors and phenotypes of pre-infusion CAR-T cells may provide critical insights into the underlying molecular determinants and predictors of treatment outcomes.

#### Cross-talk between CAR-T cells and TME

The TME is a contributor to cancer progression and relapse and is also strongly associated with the failure of cancer immunotherapy [240-244]. CAR-T cells require interactions with the TME but do not act autonomously. To promote endogenous immune responses, cellular cross-talk between CAR-T cells and the host may be essential (Fig. 1e) [194, 245, 246]. Traditional CAR-T cell studies use in vitro and in vivo experiments to assess cell surface marker expression, cytokine secretion, and tumor cell killing. However, these assays do not fully reflect the effect of T cell immune surveillance and its interactions with the TME [243, 247]. Single-cell sequencing technologies have served as a powerful tool for studying CAR-T cells in vivo due to their high resolution and unbiased detection. Boulch et al. [194] used intravital microscopy to visualize in situ interactions between tumors and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells. In an immunocompetent mouse model of B-cell lymphoma, single-cell RNA sequencing was used to examine subsequent changes in the TME, revealing significant changes in the TME during CAR-T cell therapy [194]. While CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells were more effective in stimulating the host immune response, CD8<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells excelled in direct tumor cell killing, both of which required CAR-T cell-intrinsic expression of IFN-y (interferon- $\gamma$ ). Host sensing of IFN- $\gamma$  and production of IL-12 were also required for CAR-T cell function, providing further support that cross-talk between CAR-T cells and the TME is necessary for optimal CAR-T cell efficacy against tumors [194]. Therefore, an attractive strategy to prevent relapse after therapy is to enhance the interaction of CAR-T cells with the host.

## CAR-T cell behaviors throughout clinical treatment correlate with therapeutic response

With promising effects achieved in preclinical studies, a great many ongoing clinical trials have demonstrated their efficacy and safety profiles. CAR-T cells are exposed to a dynamic TME and are consistently triggered by antigen-expressing cancer cells after infusion. The in vivo persistence and dynamics of transferred CAR-T cells is predictive of long-lasting antitumor immunity and therapeutic outcomes. However, clonal kinetics and molecular profiles of infused T cells that regulate the fate of CAR-T cells after infusion remain poorly understood. Moreover, how the host and the changing tumor burden affect the state of CAR-T cells over time is also not fully elucidated. High-resolution views of gene expression, cell heterogeneity, development trajectory, and cell lineage tracing may help to address these issues. The loss of T cell stemness, poor expansion capacity, and cell exhaustion during prolonged exposure to tumor antigens are major causes of therapeutic resistance. Understanding the predictive molecular profiles associated with a therapeutic response will help us gain insights for optimizing CAR design and manufacturing and identify patients who will benefit more from the CAR-T therapy (Fig. 2).

### Dynamic tracking of CAR-T cells in vivo

How CAR-T cell phenotypes vary from the pre-infusion product throughout the course of treatment requires further investigation. Single-cell sequencing has the potential to offer unique insights into the in vivo behavior and dynamics of CAR-T cells after adoptive cell transfusion [248]. Using scRNA-seq in combination with TCR repertoire sequencing, the researchers were able to track the fate of individual CAR-T cell clonotypes between infusion products and blood over time after infusion. Using the endogenous TCR as a lineage barcode for CAR-T cells, the researchers discovered that infused CAR-T cells were distinct from CAR-T cells in the blood [186, 187]. Clones that expand and persist in the blood beyond 3 months after infusion are mainly derived from infused clusters with a higher expression of cytotoxicity and proliferation-related genes [186, 187]. A pseudotime analysis revealed two distinct trajectories of CAR-T cell differentiation after infusion: one trajectory involves effector differentiation characterized by the expression of conventional cytotoxic genes; the other trajectory indicates the rapid development of the same exhaustion and cell death signatures soon after infusion (Fig. 2a) [186, 187]. Both anti-BCMA CAR-T and anti-CD19 CAR-T had similar transcriptional characteristics [249]. A model for the dynamic in vivo changes of CAR-T cells after infusion was proposed (Fig. 2b) [192]. Initially, the infusion products were highly metabolically active, with

-20 -30 4 30-20-10 0 10 20 30 t-SNE1

Axi-cel

response

а

30

20

10 t-SNE2

0

-10

-20

-30

с

d



In vivo

CAR-T cell

CD2 promotes CAR-T

g

Fig. 2 CAR-T cell behaviors throughout clinical treatment correlate with therapeutic response. Single-cell sequencing has been applied to interrogate in vivo clonal kinetics and molecular profiles that regulate the fate of CAR-T cells after infusion. a Different CAR-T cell subsets lead to divergent differentiation trajectories after infusion [186, 187]; b A model of in vivo dynamic changes of CAR-T cells post infusion was proposed [192]; c The phenotypes of CAR-T cells were associated with CD19-positive relapse [225] and CD19-negative relapse [189]; d Transcriptional mechanisms of response vary by CAR-T products [224]; e The long-persisting CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells exhibited cytotoxic characteristics along with proliferation, cytokine expression, metabolic activity, and in vitro response to CAR stimulation [191]; f CAR-T cell exhaustion during exposure to prolonged tumor antigen was considered as one of the major causes resulting in tumor progression and immunotherapy failures [41]; g CD2 on T cells was associated with directional migration, and the interaction between CD2 on T cells and CD58 on lymphoma cells accelerated serial killing. LAG3 lymphocyte activation gene-3, TOX thymocyte selection-associated high mobility group box, CAS8 caspase 8, NKG7 natural killer cell protein 7, GNYL Granulysin, GZMB Granzyme B, GZMK Granzyme K, CAR-T chimeric antigen receptor T, Th2 T helper 2, T<sub>SCM</sub> T memory stem cell, T<sub>CM</sub> central memory T cell, B-ALL B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, tisa-cel tisagenlecleucel, axi-cel axicabtagene ciloleucel, ICANS immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome, T<sub>rea</sub> regulatory T cell, CR complete response, scATAC-seq single-cell assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing, NR no response, BLIMP1 B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1, NR4A3 nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3, BATF basic leucine zipper ATF-like transcription factor, IRF4 interferon regulatory factor 4

Progression disease

after commercial

axi-cel therapy

Infusional CAR-T<sub>reg</sub> cells suppresses conventional CAR-T cell expansion and drives late relapses

high glycolysis and biosynthetic gene expression. CAR-T cells in the peak phase gradually transitioned from a highly proliferative state to a highly cytotoxic state along a developmental trajectory. In the late remission phase, CAR-T cells were not proliferative but maintained their cytotoxicity. Eventually, the proliferation and cytotoxicity

Dynamic tumor - CAR-T interaction CD58

functionality and migration susceptibility to T cell killing

CD2

BATF and IRF4

CD58 promotes

signatures in the CAR-T cells declined in the remission phase [192]. Notably, most studies have focused on a limited number of phenotypic markers in blood and infusion products, which provide an incomplete view of the complexity of CAR-T cells. Goldberg et al. adapted the mass cytometry to simultaneously analyze trafficking and functional protein expression in CD19 CAR-T cells in a wide range of patient tissues, including leukapheresis T cells, CAR product, CAR-T cells in peripheral blood, bone marrow, and cerebrospinal fluid after infusion, and they correlated them with immune phenotypes. With leukapheresis T cells as a baseline, patients' infusion products showed an upregulation in many trafficking and activation molecules. Furthermore, cerebrospinal fluid samples were significantly enriched in CD4 and CD8 trafficking and memory phenotype proteins when compared to peripheral blood samples. These findings revealed the spatiotemporal plasticity of CAR-T cells and provided a potential framework for CAR-T cell remodeling and enhanced immunotherapy efficacy [203].

### **Response-associated CAR-T cell behaviors**

Within one year after CAR-T cell therapy, a notable number of ALL (acute lymphoblastic leukemia) patients develop CD19-positive relapse. Bai et al. described single cell transcriptomes and surface protein landscapes of infusion products from 12 ALL patients and observed substantial heterogeneity in antigen-specific activation states, among which deficient Th2 cell function and excessive differentiation into effector cell phenotypes were associated with CD19-positive relapse (Fig. 2c). These results may provide some clues for the approach to prolong the response duration of CAR-T therapy. The proteomic data also revealed that a lower frequency of early memory T cells could predict relapse [225]. Approximately half of relapsed patients develop CD19negative B-ALL, allowing leukemic cells to evade CD19targeted CAR-T therapy. Rabilloud's team reported the scRNA-seq data obtained from an analysis of leukemic samples from a B-ALL patient before and after CAR-T therapy (Fig. 2c). The presence of pre-existing CD19-negative B-ALL subclones prior to CAR-T treatment, which may provide new ways to assess the risk of failure of targeted therapy [189].

Haradhvala's team performed scRNA-seq and scTCRseq of 105 samples from 32 LBCL (large B-cell lymphoma) patients treated with axi-cel (axiabtagene ciloleucel) or tisa-cel (tisagenlecleucel), and these samples were collected throughout the treatment (Fig. 2d) [224]. The two products had different designs and manufacturing processes (4-1BB vs. CD28 co-stimulation, CD8 vs. CD28 transmembrane for tisa-cel vs. axi-cel) and were produced by different vectors and manufacturing processes (fresh vs. frozen apheresis products, activation by antibody-coated beads vs. soluble antibodies and cytokines). This study revealed that the cellular dynamics of the responses differed significantly between the two products: expansion of proliferative memory-like CD8 clones was a hallmark of the tisa-cel response, whereas axi-cel responders exhibited more heterogeneous populations [224]. Increased frequencies of CAR-T regulatory (CAR-Treg) cell populations were observed in axi-cel non-responders, and these subpopulations were also able to suppress conventional CAR-T cell expansion and drive late relapses in an in vivo model [40, 224]. In addition, by performing single-cell proteomic profiling of circulating CAR-T cells from 32 LBCL patients receiving commercial axi-cel, it was found that higher levels of CD4<sup>+</sup> Helios<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells at day 7 after infusion correlated with clinical progression and milder neurotoxicity [40]. Taken together, these findings elucidate the single-cell resolution, and response-associated characteristics of each CAR-T cell product, allowing us to optimize CAR-T design and match patients to the treatments most likely to induce better clinical outcomes.

#### CAR-T cell exhaustion and persistence

T cells can be categorized in terms of the stage of cell differentiation, which is correlated with therapeutic efficacy and their in vivo persistence [250]. Long-lasting CD19redirected CAR-T cells were detectable more than ten years after infusion in two CLL patients who achieved sustained remission (Fig. 2e) [191]. Single-cell profiling indicated that these long-lasting CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR-T cells exhibited cytotoxic characteristics along with proliferation, cytokine expression, metabolic activity, and in vitro response to CAR stimulation, which suggested that these long-lasting CAR-T cells remained functionally active rather than exhausted [191]. The apparent cloning selection could be due to the silencing of neighboring genes, integration in regions of the genome linked to more robust expression of the CAR construct and genetic drift.

T cell exhaustion during prolonged exposure to tumor antigens is considered one of the major causes of tumor progression and immunotherapy failures. Exhaustionrelated transcription factors act as central regulators that affect the expression of immune checkpoint genes and drive T cell exhaustion [251–256]. A growing number of transcription factors have been found to be implicated in the process of chronic viral infection or tumor burden-induced T cell exhaustion [252–257]. The scATAC-seq analysis from serial tumor biopsies before and after PD-1 blockade identified chromatin regulators of therapy-responsive T cells and revealed a shared regulatory program that governed intra-tumoral CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell exhaustion and CD4<sup>+</sup> T follicular helper cell development [172]. However, the process of T cell exhaustion differs considerably between CAR-T cells and non-transformed T cells. Therefore, the transcription factors that play an important role in the exhaustion of non-transformed T cells should be further verified in CAR-T cells.

The scRNA-seq data on infusion products from LBCL patients treated with axi-cel demonstrated that complete clinical response was correlated with higher frequencies of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing memory signatures, whereas poor clinical response was associated with a CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell dysfunction signature enriched for exhaustion and activation markers and genes encoding MHC class II proteins (Fig. 2f) [41]. Markers on tumor biopsy samples can also be used to predict the dysfunction of CAR-T cells in response to the TME. Singh et al. demonstrated that treatment resistance is associated with the upregulation of exhaustion markers [57]. Furthermore, the scRNA-seq of CAR-T cells from a first-in-human trial in metastatic prostate cancer identified two independently validated cell states associated with antitumor potency (Fig. 2f) [188]. The evolution of CAR-T cells was towards a nonproliferative, highly differentiated and exhausted state. An enriched exhausted profile in CAR-T cells from patients with unsatisfactory response was characterized by TIGIT expression [158]. Two transcription factors, BLIMP1 (B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1) and NR4A3 (nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3), may regulate CAR-T cell dysfunction. Dual knockout of the two transcription factors, which outperformed single knockout alone, shifted CAR-T cell phenotypes away from TIM-3<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> and toward TCF1<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> to counteract exhaustion of tumor-infiltrating CAR-T cells, and enhanced their antitumor activity in multiple mouse models [188]. With initially observed CAR-T cell differentiation and increased exhaustion after co-culture with tumor cells in vitro, Jiang et al. then performed scATACseq to comprehensively and dynamically depict the landscape of chromatin accessibility of CAR-T cells during tumor cell stimulation. BATF (basic leucine zipper ATFlike transcription factor) and IRF4 (interferon regulatory factor 4) were significantly enriched in terminally exhausted CAR-T cells both in vitro and in vivo, suggesting that disruption of BATF or IRF4 expression may inhibit CAR-T cell differentiation to the extent of terminal exhaustion (Fig. 2f) [33]. Therefore, to achieve better therapeutic outcomes, exhaustion-related transcription factors in CAR-T cells may be epigenetically modified to mitigate exhaustion and prolong in vivo persistence.

### Interactions between CAR-T cells and tumor cells

Romain et al. [258] investigated the importance of dynamic interactions between T cells and tumor cells in facilitating clinically relevant discoveries with high-throughput single-cell technologies based on timelapse imaging microscopy in nanowell grids (TIMING), integrating the killing, cytokine secretion and transcriptional profiling assays. Directional migration of CD19specific CAR-T cells was found to be correlated with multifunctionality (Fig. 2g) [258]. Their results demonstrated that CD2 on T cells was related to the directional migration of T cells. The interaction between CD2 on T cells and CD58 on lymphoma cells accelerated serial killing, highlighting the necessity of studying dynamic CAR-T-tumor cell interactions [258].

## Underlying mechanisms of toxicities based on single-cell analyses

With the increasing clinical efficacy of the therapies, the immune responses induced by the immunotherapies are also causing a series of adverse effects, in particular the CRS and ICANS that are often associated with CAR-T cell therapies [89, 91, 93, 94, 259–261]. As noted above, multi-organ failure associated with CRS can be fatal in some cases. Although it is reversible in the majority of cases, neurotoxicity prolongs the hospital stay, requires intensive care in a subset of patients, delays the recovery, and increases the cost of care [41]. Combined with clinical parameterization, single-cell sequencing allows a more comprehensive characterization of cellular subpopulations and transcriptomic states and thus greater understanding of their relationship to adverse effects (Fig. 3).

Deng et al. [41] identified characteristics of the CAR-T cell infusion products that were substantially correlated with toxicities. Although the proportion of their patients with high-grade CRS was small, they observed a negative association with exhausted CD8 T cells and a positive association with exhausted CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Fig. 3a) [41]. Patients with high-grade ICANS presented with a significantly reduced frequency of CAR<sup>+</sup> cells within their infusion products. They also identified a rare monocytelike cell population within axi-cel infusion products that were significantly correlated with the development of high-grade ICANS [41]. These cells herein are referred to as ICANS-associated cells (Fig. 3b), and this novel mechanism by which discrete populations of cells give rise to high-grade ICANS may pave the way for therapeutic intervention to reduce toxicity following CAR-T cell infusion.

One study from the Stanford University School of Medicine used single-cell analysis to identify brain mural cells expressing CD19, which surround the endothelium and are critical for blood-brain barrier integrity (Fig. 3c) [125]. CD19 expression in the brain begins early in development with the emergence of mural cell lineages. It persists throughout adulthood in all brain regions. However,



**Fig. 3** Underlying mechanisms of toxicities based on single-cell analyses. **a** High-grade CRS is associated with reduced frequencies of exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NKT cells and increased frequencies of exhausted and memory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [41]; **b** ICANS-associated cells were significantly over-represented in the infusion products of patients who developed high-grade ICANS [125]; **c** CD19<sup>+</sup> human brain mural cells may contribute to the neurotoxicity of CD19-directed CAR-T immunotherapy; **d** Hematological toxicity is associated with the dominant oligoclonal T cell expansion [226]. CRS cytokine release syndrome, NKT natural killer T, ICANS immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome, CAR-T chimeric antigen receptor T, PBMCs peripheral blood mononuclear cells

a limitation of preclinical animal models of neurotoxicity is based on mouse mural cells expressing lower levels of CD19. These findings highlight the utility of human single-cell atlases for the design of immunotherapies and suggest an "on-target" mechanism underlying neurotoxicity in CD19-targeted therapies. Re-analysis of scRNAseq data from 24 patients focused on cellular states and their association with immune cell-related neurotoxicity [227]. Neurotoxicity was associated with a decreasing cell cycling activity, amount of CAR + cells, and expression of cell cycle and exhaustion-related genes *LAG3* and *TIM3*, providing molecular details of the transcriptomic landscape that may be targets for overcoming neurotoxicity [227].

Likewise, the real-world evidence has also highlighted the incidence of hematological toxicity induced by CAR-T therapy [226, 248]. Cytopenia usually occurs long after lymphodepleting chemotherapy and the resolution of CRS and is often prolonged and biphasic. Worse, severe bone marrow failure can predispose to serious infections and high non-relapse mortality. A 57-year-old patient with Richter's transformation DLBCL (diffuse large B-cell lymphoma) received tisa-cel as standard of care. Using combined scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq, their report highlighted the complex nature of CAR-T-related hematologic toxicity and proposed oligoclonal CAR-T cell expansion as a potentially contributing pathophysiologic mechanism [226]. Hematologic toxicity is associated with dominant oligoclonal T cell expansion in both CAR- and non-CAR-bearing T cell populations, as demonstrated by elevated expression of cell cycle-related genes and decreased expression of genes involved in cell apoptosis, immune response, and inflammation (Fig. 3d) [226]. In addition, monocyte loss was also found in two patients who died from severe infections, indicating that monocyte loss may be a primary cause of infections after CAR-T infusion [248].

## How will single-cell sequencing affect the future of CAR-T therapy?

Although great strides have been made in CAR-T cell therapy, much more information is needed before it can be applied to a broader range of cancers. An increasing number of patients with various cancers are expected to receive cell therapy. Using patient samples, single-cell technologies will undoubtedly answer essential questions about the relationships among cancer cells, CAR-T cells and other endogenous immune populations. At each stage of CAR-T cell therapy, many fundamental questions need to be addressed in depth. Single-cell approaches may be well positioned to provide this information and shape the future of CAR-T therapy (Fig. 4).

#### Prior to CAR-T therapy

Despite therapeutics targeting multiple antigens, CAR-T cell therapy has led to relatively limited success beyond



Fig. 4 Single-cell sequencing will affect the future studies of CAR-T therapy. For every stage of CAR-T cell therapy, many essential issues require deeper interrogation. Single-cell approaches may be well-positioned to provide this information and affect the future of CAR-T therapy. **a** Prior to CAR-T therapy, **b** Manipulation of CAR-T cells, **c** Follow-up of CAR-T therapy, CAR-T chimeric antigen receptor T, TSAs tumor-specific antigens, CRS cytokine release syndrome

hematological malignancies. The heterogeneity of various cancers, especially solid cancers, plays a crucial role in the development and progression of the disease [262, 263]. The clonal diversity of complex cancers is essential for the selection of appropriate TSA candidates, which is especially important when dealing with heterogeneous tumors [264]. The emerging single-cell technologies have the potential to provide new insights into tumor heterogeneity and to elucidate the mechanisms of immune response and immunotherapy. More importantly, the expression of CAR-target antigens in normal organs and tissues leads to the risk of "on-target, off-tumor" targeting. To better understand the effect of CAR-directed immunotherapy, a systematic single-cell-level dissection of the expression of divergent CAR-targets in various cell types across different normal tissues is also urgently required. Single-cell genomic technologies may help scholars explore potential TSAs and reflect on the rationale for antigen targets currently used in CAR-T immunotherapies and their adverse effects to minimize "off-target" immune cell activation in clinical practice. Moreover, the characterization of TME before CAR-T cell therapy can also help to predict the sensitivity and long-term response.

#### Manipulation of CAR-T cells

It remains a challenge to understand what contributes to the variability of CAR-T cell products and how to make a successful CAR-T cell product. Single-cell sequencing provides a powerful and reliable tool to comprehensively describe CAR-T cells and environmental immune cell subsets. It provides a new approach to identifying immune signatures and supports the personalization of therapeutic strategies to refine CAR-T cell therapy. In this way, CAR-T cells could be appropriately manipulated for better therapeutic outcomes based on single-cell analysis. For example, engineered T cells from different individuals may be intrinsically different, resulting in different clinical outcomes. How the intrinsic T cell heterogeneity mediates therapeutic efficacy and whether allogeneic CAR-T cells are as effective as autologous cell products have been discussed in the previous sections [35]. Thus, single-cell approaches allow further exploration of the heterogeneity of donor and patient CAR-T cells, providing a novel mechanistic basis for improving clinical efficacy. The co-stimulatory domain also demonstrated the heterogeneity of unique T cell profiles and their impact on clinical outcomes [219].

T cells express a broad spectrum of immune programs, but it is unclear which programs are explicitly expressed by CAR-T cells and how the polyfunctional CAR-T cells operate. Previous studies have proven that CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells comprise functionally and transcriptionally distinct subsets that differ in their capacities to proliferate, anti-tumor effects as well as persistence in vivo [265, 266]. In addition, clonally derived CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from central memory T cells are distinct from those derived from effector memory T cells and retain an intrinsic capacity that permits them to survive after adoptive transfer and revert to the memory cell pool [250, 265]. Long-lived, self-renewing, multipotent T memory stem cells (T<sub>SCM</sub>) can trigger profound and sustained tumor regression [267]. Therefore, CAR-T infusion products were heterogeneous T cell subsets at the early stage and may lead to varying treatment outcomes [250, 267, 268]. What constitutes the optimal T cell phenotype for adoptive cell therapies remains an elusive issue, and selecting T cells for expansion or engineering is an essential step. Single-cell sequencing has shown the potential to find CAR-engineered T cell activation as a canonical process [236], which could provide a promise for CAR-T optimization.

Furthermore, single-cell sequencing can enable highthroughput screening for CAR-T cell phenotypes associated with therapeutic efficacy. CRISPR knock-in targeting can improve cell therapies, but it is unclear which knockin gene constructs most potently enhance primary cell functions in vivo, and more high-throughput methods are required [269]. CRISPR-based functional studies in T cells could prioritize novel targets and improve the design of genetically reprogrammed cell-based therapies [270]. Shifrut et al. [271] used scRNA-seq coupled to CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to screen gene knockout phenotypes in primary T cells. As single-cell sequencing tools can be used to resolve complex cell populations, simultaneous screening of diverse CAR designs is also an exciting prospect. Altogether, single-cell methods lay the foundation for the customized CAR designs for specific patients and offer a path toward the next step in personalized and precision medicine.

#### Follow-up of CAR-T therapy

Although CAR-T cell effectiveness has been evaluated in both animal models and early-phase clinical trials with encouraging preliminary results, many other uncontrollable factors might interfere with the treatment effectiveness in cancer patients. For example, little is known about how the clonal composition of CAR-T cells changes in the recipient after adoptive transfer and how distinct transcriptional signatures in the CAR-T cells might influence cell fate in vivo. Moreover, the questions remain as to which CAR-T cells survive over time in the human body post-infusion and which factors may contribute to the responses and toxicities associated with CAR-T therapies. Tracing the TCR clonal composition of patient-retrieved CAR-T cells has made it possible to

assess an increase or decrease in the relative frequencies of single gene-edited T cells following treatment. Multiomics single-cell analysis revealed clonal expansion, proliferation, and activation in long-persisting CAR-T cells from one patient nine years after CAR-T infusion [191]. The single-cell transcriptomic and proteomic landscape also unveiled cellular and molecular mechanisms that were correlated with response to CAR-T therapy and may inform strategies to boost specific CAR-T cell function to maintain long-term remission [35, 224, 225, 238]. More importantly, single-cell sequencing can be used for the comprehensive characterization of cellular subpopulations and molecular details as well as their relations to adverse effects, which may help to overcome clinical toxicities in the clinic [41, 125, 227]. These studies highlight the utility of single-cell approaches in the study of molecular profiles linked to clinical outcomes through high-resolution views. More broadly, the aforementioned findings demonstrated the value of single-cell approaches in the generation of essential information that can serve as feedback to clinical practice in future studies.

#### What the challenges lie ahead?

Although single-cell techniques have been widely adopted due to the advances in robust experimental protocols and increasing consensus surrounding quality control and data analysis, several issues still impede their widespread application in CAR-T therapies, and such challenges will dictate the development of the entire field of T cell engineering in the future. Many notable challenges and limitations have been indicated on the basis of multiple perspectives. The following as some limitations and hurdles we should take into account when performing single-cell sequencing.

Due to the reliance on high sequencing costs, advanced computational tools, and technical expertise, various single-cell technologies have not been widely applied, particularly in clinical monitoring following CAR-T therapy. Among all platforms, 10×Genomics is the most commonly used platform for single-cell sequencing research and by most technology companies, which gives us a lot of experience and contributes to our research. Nevertheless, all platforms face the problem of high costs. Single-cell sequencing is still not an economical alternative method, which means that we cannot detect many samples, especially in multi-omics [272, 273]. It can only be used for novel discovery but not for large-scale validation. Therefore, single-cell sequencing is always compromised with bulk sequencing to make the studies more reasonable and convincing [274, 275]. In addition, to better optimize CAR-T cell products, the biological properties of T cells themselves, which are the basis of CAR-T therapies, should be further understood by using single-cell sequencing. Although we have gained a lot of insight using bioinformatics technology, the technology is only a tool to discover, and most of the findings require to be experimentally validated for reliability. Therefore, single-cell sequencing still needs to be combined with experimental validation to provide more credible results before it can be applied to the clinic.

Technologically, single-cell sequencing is subject to many limitations. The droplet-based 10×Genomics is more likely to produce bias from doublets, while with the microwell-based platform, doublets could be avoided to the greatest extent because the depth and size of microwells are more properly designed [142]. Single-cell sequencing also has strict requirements for samples and requires a large number of cells. Most single-cell technologies are used mainly for fresh tissue samples, not for tissues that are difficult to separate or freeze. The dissociation efficiency of various tissue samples is different, and insufficient cell dissociation may result in the failure to harvest all types of cells in the tissue. Alternatively, many methods require minimal sample processing, thereby preserving cell viability and endogenous gene expression patterns [140]. Therefore, researchers should well plan and tailor the preparation process of samples [187]. Although the information obtained from single-nucleus sequencing does not match that obtained from single-cell sequencing, single-nucleus sequencing is recommended to be performed for samples that have been frozen for too long or are in a low-activity state [276]. Nonetheless, single-nucleus sequencing is usually conducted on the tissues (including brain and cardioid) [277, 278], and seems not suitable for immune cells, especially CAR-T cells. In addition, for some large tissues (such as bone), sample preparation is difficult. Another limitation of single-cell sequencing platforms is that researchers need to distinguish sequencing between 3'-ends and 5'-ends. The 3'-ends RNA sequencing is suitable for research that only requires scRNA-seq, while 5'-ends RNA sequencing provides more information and can meet the needs of TCR/ BCR V-D-J sequencing [279]. Therefore, researchers need to choose specific single-cell sequencing technology according to their own research purposes and needs.

Furthermore, sequencing results also reveal the limitations of different platforms. On single-cell sequencing platforms, only a small fraction of cells from bulk tissues can be sequenced, making it difficult to discover unique and rare cell clusters, and the distribution of cells throughout the entire tissue is not yet clear [280]. To more precisely understand the information of the microenvironment and target cells, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) is often used [258, 281, 282]. Another problem is that the cell capture ability differs among platforms. For instance, neutrophils were not detected in

most previous single-cell studies, with only one exception on human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma using 10×Genomics Chromium platform [283]. On the other hand, BD Rhapsody can quantitatively determine the expression profiles of neutrophils [275, 284]. Similar problems also exist with the data of captured genes. Compared with 10×Genomics, Smart-seq2 produces lower noise for mRNAs with low expressions and can be used to detect more genes in each individual cell, especially low-abundance transcript variants and alternative splicing transcripts, but capture a higher proportion of mitochondrial genes [285]. However, spatial information of individual cells in the tissue is often lost during the isolation. Therefore, spatial transcriptomics should be taken into account in research [286, 287]. Lastly, although the most commonly used scRNA-seq technology can discover novel cell types and their states in heterogeneous tissues, it is challenging to distinguish immune cells with similar transcriptomes but different functions. Since some primary sources of cellular heterogeneity may not be closely related to the transcriptome, sequencing information acquired from the transcriptome alone cannot accurately define the cellular state. There are many popular combinations for developing multimodal methods, such as spatial omics and mRNA transcriptomic e.g. cycling single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization (osmFISH) [288], multiplexed error robust fluorescence in situ hybridization (MERFISH) [289], sequential fluorescence in situ hybridization (seqFISH) [290], spatially resolved transcript amplicon readout mapping (STARmap) [291] and DNA methylation and chromatin accessibility (e.g. single-cell Nucleosome Occupancy and Methylome-sequencing, scNOMe-seq). Altogether, integrating different types of data is essential, and the demand for single-cell multi-omics technology is also increasing.

## Conclusions

The past decade has witnessed dramatic advances in CAR-T cell immunotherapy in the field of cancer treatment. Future development of CAR-T therapy will hinge on our growing understanding of the behavior of engineered T cells. Illustrating the phenotype of individual CAR-T cells and dynamics throughout clinical treatment is pivotal to the understanding of antitumor immunity. Single-cell profiling technologies have highlighted immense power, particularly through the study of molecular characteristics of individual cells that are undetectable by conventional bulk sequencing approaches. It is increasingly evident that single-cell methods can help reveal the optimum receptor design, guide gene-based T cell modification, and optimize CAR-T manufacturing conditions. Moreover, the detailed information generated by single-cell sequencing can not only provide insights into the numerous complex determinants of therapeutic efficacy and toxicities but also help identify predictive biomarkers and work out novel therapeutic strategies. Given that future studies will help address these challenges, single-cell sequencing technologies may eventually become a standard and essential tool for the study of CAR-T therapy. The refinements and innovations of single-cell-omics approaches, on the strength of their high resolution, will reveal the relationships between critical drivers of cancer phenotypes and therapeutic outcomes of CAR-T therapy, thereby leading to more comprehensive delivery of authentic personalized treatment for cancer patients.

#### Abbreviations

AHCA	Adult human cell atlas
AML	Acute myeloid leukemia
axi-cel	Axicabtagen ciloleucel
B-ALL	B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia
BATF	Basic leucine zipper ATF-like transcription factor
BCMA	B cell maturation antigen
BCR	B cell receptor
BLIMP1	B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1
BM	Blood marrow
CAR	Chimeric antigen recentor
CAR Trog	CAP Troquistory
CDR6	Cluster of differentiation 86
CELson	Coll expression by linear amplification and sequencing
CEL-Seq	Cellular indexing of transprintemes and epiteness by
CITE-seq	cenular indexing of transcriptomes and epitopes by
CNIV	Sequencing Communications
CINVS	Copy number variations
CRISPR	Clustered regularly interspaced short pailindromic repeats
CRS	Cytokine release syndrome
CSFIR	Colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor
Cylor	Mass cytometry by time-of-flight
FACS	Fluorescence activating cell sorter
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GEO	Gene Expression Omnibus
HCL	Human Cell Landscape
ICANS	Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome
IL-6	Interleukin-6
IFN-γ	Interferon-γ
IRF4	Interferon regulatory factor 4
IRF7	Interferon regulator factor 7
LBCL	Large B-cell lymphoma
MARS-seq	Massively parallel RNA single-cell sequencing
MERFISH	Multiplexed error robust fluorescence in situ hybridization
MULTI-seq	Multiplexing using lipid-tagged indices for single-cell and
	single-nucleus RNA sequencing
NHL	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
NR4A3	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3
osmFISH	Cycling single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization
PB	Peripheral blood
PBMC	Peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PD-1	Programmed death-1
R2C2	Rolling circle amplification to concatemeric consensus
RFAP-sea	RNA expression and protein sequencing
scATAC-seq	Single-cell assay for transposase-accessible chromatin
the mile beg	using sequencing
scBS-sea	Single-cell bisulfite sequencing
scCGl-sea	Genome-wide CpG island methylation sequencing for
seed seq	single cells
scChIP-sea	Single-cell chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by
Jeenin Jeq	single cell enformation initiatioprecipitation followed by

	sequencing
scCUT&Tag	Single-cell Cleavage Under Targets and Tagmentation
scFTD-seq	Single-cell freeze-thaw lysis directly toward 3' mRNA
	sequencing
scFv	Single chain fragment variable
scHi-C-seq	Single-cell Hi-C method for chromosome conformation
sciATAC-seq	Single-cell combinatorial indexing assay for transposase-
	accessible chromatin using sequencing
sci-MET	Single-cell combinatorial indexing for methylation
	analysis
sci-Plex	Single-cell combinatorial indexing for multiplex
	transcriptomics
sci-RNA-seq	Single-cell combinatorial indexing RNA sequencing
SCI-seq	Single-cell combinatorial indexed sequencing
scISOr-seq	Single-cell isoform RNA sequencing
SCITO-seq	Single-cell combinatorial indexed cytometry sequencing
scNanoATAC-seq	Single-cell assay for transposase-accessible chromatin on
	Nanopore sequencing platform
ScNaUmi-seq	Single-cell nanopore sequencing with UMIs
scNOMe-seq	Single-cell nucleosome occupancy and
	methylome-sequencing
scRRBS	Single-cell reduced representation bisulfite sequencing
scTHS-seq	Single-cell transposome hypersensitive site sequencing
scWGS	Single-cell whole genome sequencing
seqFISH	Sequential fluorescence in situ hybridization
SMART-seq	Switching mechanism at 5' end of the RNA transcript sequencing
SMOOTH-seq	Single-molecule real-time sequencing of long fragments
	amplified through transposon insertion
snmC-seq	Single nucleus methylcytosine sequencing
SNS	Single nuclear sequencing
SNVs	Single nucleotide variants
SPLiT-seq	Split-pool ligation-based transcriptome sequencing
STARmap	Spatially resolved transcript amplicon readout mapping
STAT3	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
SVs	Structure variations
TCF	Transcription factor
TCR	T cell receptor
TF	Transcription factors
Th1	T helper 1
TIMING	Time-lapse imaging microscopy in nanowell grids
TME	Tumor microenvironment
TSAs	Tumor-specific antigens
UMIs	Unique molecular identifiers

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all researchers for their contribution to this review. All the figures were created by the website tool BioRender (https://www.biorender.com/).

#### Author contributions

LT, YH and HM offered critical comments. LT, ZH and HM collected data. LT, ZH, and HM prepared the original draft and wrote the manuscript. LT and ZH edited table and figure. LT, YH, and HM revised and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFC2502700), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81873434; 82100190).

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### **Competing interests**

No potential competing interests were disclosed.

#### Received: 5 May 2023 Accepted: 10 October 2023 Published online: 08 November 2023

#### References

- Zhu J, Ke Y, Liu Q, Yang J, Liu F, Xu R, et al. Engineered Lactococcus lactis secreting Flt3L and OX40 ligand for in situ vaccination-based cancer immunotherapy. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):7466.
- Evans ER, Bugga P, Asthana V, Drezek R. Metallic nanoparticles for cancer immunotherapy. Mater Today. 2018;21(6):673–85.
- Francis DM, Manspeaker MP, Schudel A, Sestito LF, O'Melia MJ, Kissick HT, et al. Blockade of immune checkpoints in lymph nodes through locoregional delivery augments cancer immunotherapy. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(563):eaay3575.
- Pardoll D. Cancer and the immune system: basic concepts and targets for intervention. Semin Oncol. 2015;42(4):523–38.
- Rosenberg SA, Restifo NP. Adoptive cell transfer as personalized immunotherapy for human cancer. Science. 2015;348(6230):62–8.
- Salter Al, Pont MJ, Riddell SR. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells: CD19 and the road beyond. Blood. 2018;131(24):2621–9.
- Maude SL, Laetsch TW, Buechner J, Rives S, Boyer M, Bittencourt H, et al. Tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(5):439–48.
- June CH, Sadelain M. Chimeric antigen receptor therapy. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(1):64–73.
- Sadelain M, Rivière I, Riddell S. Therapeutic T cell engineering. Nature. 2017;545(7655):423–31.
- Laetsch TW, Maude SL, Rives S, Hiramatsu H, Bittencourt H, Bader P, et al. Three-year update of tisagenlecleucel in pediatric and young adult patients with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia in the ELIANA trial. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41(9):1664–9.
- Jallouk AP, Gouni S, Westin J, Feng L, Mistry H, Steiner RE, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel in relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma patients in complete metabolic response. Haematologica. 2022;108(4):1163–7.
- Neelapu SS, Dickinson M, Munoz J, Ulrickson ML, Thieblemont C, Oluwole OO, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel as first-line therapy in high-risk large B-cell lymphoma: the phase 2 ZUMA-12 trial. Nat Med. 2022;28(4):735–42.
- Locke FL, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, Perales MA, Kersten MJ, Oluwole OO, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel as second-line therapy for large B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(7):640–54.
- Shah BD, Ghobadi A, Oluwole OO, Logan AC, Boissel N, Cassaday RD, et al. KTE-X19 for relapsed or refractory adult B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: phase 2 results of the single-arm, open-label, multicentre ZUMA-3 study. Lancet. 2021;398(10299):491–502.
- 15. Kamdar M, Solomon SR, Arnason J, Johnston PB, Glass B, Bachanova V, et al. Lisocabtagene maraleucel versus standard of care with salvage chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation as second-line treatment in patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma (TRANSFORM): results from an interim analysis of an openlabel, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2022;399(10343):2294–308.
- Abramson JS, Palomba ML, Gordon LI, Lunning MA, Wang M, Arnason J, et al. Lisocabtagene maraleucel for patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphomas (TRANSCEND NHL 001): a multicentre seamless design study. Lancet. 2020;396(10254):839–52.
- Sehgal A, Hoda D, Riedell PA, Ghosh N, Hamadani M, Hildebrandt GC, et al. Lisocabtagene maraleucel as second-line therapy in adults with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma who were not intended for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (PILOT): an open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23(8):1066–77.
- Siddiqi T, Soumerai JD, Dorritie KA, Stephens DM, Riedell PA, Arnason J, et al. Phase 1 TRANSCEND CLL 004 study of lisocabtagene maraleucel in patients with relapsed/refractory CLL or SLL. Blood. 2022;139(12):1794–806.
- 19. Brown CE, Mackall CL. CART cell therapy: inroads to response and resistance. Nat Rev Immunol. 2019;19(2):73–4.

- Park JH, Rivière I, Gonen M, Wang X, Sénéchal B, Curran KJ, et al. Longterm follow-up of CD19 CAR therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(5):449–59.
- Tang F, Barbacioru C, Wang Y, Nordman E, Lee C, Xu N, et al. mRNAseq whole-transcriptome analysis of a single cell. Nat Methods. 2009;6(5):377–82.
- Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17(6):333–51.
- Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10(1):57–63.
- 24. Bode D, Cull AH, Rubio-Lara JA, Kent DG. Exploiting single-cell tools in gene and cell therapy. Front Immunol. 2021;12:702636.
- Ramsköld D, Luo S, Wang YC, Li R, Deng Q, Faridani OR, et al. Full-length mRNA-seq from single-cell levels of RNA and individual circulating tumor cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30(8):777–82.
- Sade-Feldman M, Yizhak K, Bjorgaard SL, Ray JP, de Boer CG, Jenkins RW, et al. Defining T cell states associated with response to checkpoint immunotherapy in melanoma. Cell. 2019;176(1–2):404.
- 27. Castellanos-Rueda R, Di Roberto RB, Schlatter FS, Reddy ST. Leveraging single-cell sequencing for chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapies. Trends Biotechnol. 2021;39(12):1308–20.
- Svensson V, Vento-Tormo R, Teichmann SA. Exponential scaling of single-cell RNA-seq in the past decade. Nat Protoc. 2018;13(4):599–604.
- Stubbington MJT, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Regev A, Teichmann SA. Singlecell transcriptomics to explore the immune system in health and disease. Science. 2017;358(6359):58–63.
- Zhang JY, Wang XM, Xing X, Xu Z, Zhang C, Song JW, et al. Single-cell landscape of immunological responses in patients with COVID-19. Nat Immunol. 2020;21(9):1107–18.
- Horns F, Dekker CL, Quake SR. Memory B cell activation, broad antiinfluenza antibodies, and bystander activation revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. Cell Rep. 2020;30(3):905-13.e6.
- Tracy SI, Venkatesh H, Hekim C, Heltemes-Harris LM, Knutson TP, Bachanova V, et al. Combining nilotinib and PD-L1 blockade reverses CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell dysfunction and prevents relapse in acute B-cell leukemia. Blood. 2022;140(4):335–48.
- 33. Jiang P, Zhang Z, Hu Y, Liang Z, Han Y, Li X, et al. Single-cell ATAC-seq maps the comprehensive and dynamic chromatin accessibility land-scape of CAR-T cell dysfunction. Leukemia. 2022;36(11):2656–68.
- Charitidis FT, Adabi E, Thalheimer FB, Clarke C, Buchholz CJ. Monitoring CAR T cell generation with a CD8-targeted lentiviral vector by singlecell transcriptomics. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2022;24:207–9.
- Bai Z, Lundh S, Kim D, Woodhouse S, Barrett DM, Myers RM, et al. Single-cell multiomics dissection of basal and antigen-specific activation states of CD19-targeted CART cells. J Immunother Cancer. 2021;9(5):e002328.
- Yan C, Yang Q, Zhang S, Millar DG, Alpert EJ, Do D, et al. Single-cell imaging of T cell immunotherapy responses in vivo. J Exp Med. 2021;218(10):e20210314.
- Cazaux M, Grandjean CL, Lemaître F, Garcia Z, Beck RJ, Milo I, et al. Single-cell imaging of CART cell activity in vivo reveals extensive functional and anatomical heterogeneity. J Exp Med. 2019;216(5):1038–49.
- Tian M, Cheuk AT, Wei JS, Abdelmaksoud A, Chou HC, Milewski D, et al. An optimized bicistronic chimeric antigen receptor against GPC2 or CD276 overcomes heterogeneous expression in neuroblastoma. J Clin Invest. 2022;132(16):e155621.
- Pfeifer R, Henze J, Wittich K, Gosselink A, Kinkhabwala A, Gremse F, et al. A multimodal imaging workflow for monitoring CART cell therapy against solid tumor from whole-body to single-cell level. Theranostics. 2022;12(11):4834–50.
- 40. Good Z, Spiegel JY, Sahaf B, Malipatlolla MB, Ehlinger ZJ, Kurra S, et al. Post-infusion CAR T(Reg) cells identify patients resistant to CD19-CAR therapy. Nat Med. 2022;28(9):1860–71.
- Deng Q, Han G, Puebla-Osorio N, Ma MCJ, Strati P, Chasen B, et al. Characteristics of anti-CD19 CART cell infusion products associated with efficacy and toxicity in patients with large B cell lymphomas. Nat Med. 2020;26(12):1878–87.
- 42. Larson RC, Maus MV. Recent advances and discoveries in the mechanisms and functions of CART cells. Nat Rev Cancer. 2021;21(3):145–61.

- Fraietta JA, Lacey SF, Orlando EJ, Pruteanu-Malinici I, Gohil M, Lundh S, et al. Determinants of response and resistance to CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Med. 2018;24(5):563–71.
- 44. Shah NN, Fry TJ. Mechanisms of resistance to CART cell therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2019;16(6):372–85.
- Zhao Z, Chen Y, Francisco NM, Zhang Y, Wu M. The application of CAR-T cell therapy in hematological malignancies: advantages and challenges. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2018;8(4):539–51.
- Ruella M, Xu J, Barrett DM, Fraietta JA, Reich TJ, Ambrose DE, et al. Induction of resistance to chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy by transduction of a single leukemic B cell. Nat Med. 2018;24(10):1499–503.
- Orlando EJ, Han X, Tribouley C, Wood PA, Leary RJ, Riester M, et al. Genetic mechanisms of target antigen loss in CAR19 therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Med. 2018;24(10):1504–6.
- Rafiq S, Brentjens RJ. Tumors evading CARs-the chase is on. Nat Med. 2018;24(10):1492–3.
- 49. Harjes U. CAR antigens beyond recognition. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18(12):723.
- Gardner R, Wu D, Cherian S, Fang M, Hanafi LA, Finney O, et al. Acquisition of a CD19-negative myeloid phenotype allows immune escape of MLL-rearranged B-ALL from CD19 CAR-T-cell therapy. Blood. 2016;127(20):2406–10.
- Fry TJ, Shah NN, Orentas RJ, Stetler-Stevenson M, Yuan CM, Ramakrishna S, et al. CD22-targeted CAR T cells induce remission in B-ALL that is naive or resistant to CD19-targeted CAR immunotherapy. Nat Med. 2018;24(1):20–8.
- Sotillo E, Barrett DM, Black KL, Bagashev A, Oldridge D, Wu G, et al. Convergence of acquired mutations and alternative splicing of CD19 enables resistance to CART-19 immunotherapy. Cancer Discov. 2015;5(12):1282–95.
- 53. Majzner RG, Mackall CL. Tumor antigen escape from CAR T-cell therapy. Cancer Discov. 2018;8(10):1219–26.
- Brudno JN, Maric I, Hartman SD, Rose JJ, Wang M, Lam N, et al. T cells genetically modified to express an anti-B-cell maturation antigen chimeric antigen receptor cause remissions of poor-prognosis relapsed multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(22):2267–80.
- Hamieh M, Dobrin A, Cabriolu A, van der Stegen SJC, Giavridis T, Mansilla-Soto J, et al. CAR T cell trogocytosis and cooperative killing regulate tumour antigen escape. Nature. 2019;568(7750):112–6.
- Dufva O, Koski J, Maliniemi P, Janevski A, Klievink J, Leitner J, et al. Integrated drug profiling and CRISPR screening identify essential pathways for CAR T-cell cytotoxicity. Blood. 2020;135(9):597–609.
- Singh N, Lee YG, Shestova O, Ravikumar P, Hayer KE, Hong SJ, et al. Impaired death receptor signaling in leukemia causes antigen-independent resistance by inducing CART-cell dysfunction. Cancer Discov. 2020;10(4):552–67.
- Kong W, Dimitri A, Wang W, Jung IY, Ott CJ, Fasolino M, et al. BET bromodomain protein inhibition reverses chimeric antigen receptor extinction and reinvigorates exhausted T cells in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Invest. 2021;131(16):e145459.
- Moon EK, Wang LC, Dolfi DV, Wilson CB, Ranganathan R, Sun J, et al. Multifactorial T-cell hypofunction that is reversible can limit the efficacy of chimeric antigen receptor-transduced human T cells in solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20(16):4262–73.
- Chen J, López-Moyado IF, Seo H, Lio CJ, Hempleman LJ, Sekiya T, et al. NR4A transcription factors limit CART cell function in solid tumours. Nature. 2019;567(7749):530–4.
- Maude SL, Frey N, Shaw PA, Aplenc R, Barrett DM, Bunin NJ, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(16):1507–17.
- 62. Fraietta JA, Nobles CL, Sammons MA, Lundh S, Carty SA, Reich TJ, et al. Disruption of TET2 promotes the therapeutic efficacy of CD19-targeted T cells. Nature. 2018;558(7709):307–12.
- Nobles CL, Sherrill-Mix S, Everett JK, Reddy S, Fraietta JA, Porter DL, et al. CD19-targeting CAR T cell immunotherapy outcomes correlate with genomic modification by vector integration. J Clin Invest. 2020;130(2):673–85.
- 64. van Bruggen JAC, Martens AWJ, Fraietta JA, Hofland T, Tonino SH, Eldering E, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells impair mitochondrial

fitness in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and impede CAR T-cell efficacy. Blood. 2019;134(1):44–58.

- Garfall AL, Dancy EK, Cohen AD, Hwang WT, Fraietta JA, Davis MM, et al. T-cell phenotypes associated with effective CAR T-cell therapy in postinduction vs relapsed multiple myeloma. Blood Adv. 2019;3(19):2812–5.
- Kawalekar OU, et al. Distinct signaling of coreceptors regulates specific metabolism pathways and impacts memory development in CART cells. Immunity. 2016;44(3):712.
- Zhang H, Snyder KM, Suhoski MM, Maus MV, Kapoor V, June CH, et al. 4–1BB is superior to CD28 costimulation for generating CD8<sup>+</sup> cytotoxic lymphocytes for adoptive immunotherapy. J Immunol. 2007;179(7):4910–8.
- Tang L, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Mei H. T cell exhaustion and CAR-T immunotherapy in hematological malignancies. Biomed Res Int. 2021;2021:6616391.
- 69. Kumar J, Kumar R, Kumar Singh A, Tsakem EL, Kathania M, Riese MJ, et al. Deletion of Cbl-b inhibits CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell exhaustion and promotes CAR T-cell function. J Immunother Cancer. 2021;9(1): e001688.
- Jiang VC, Hao D, Jain P, Li Y, Cai Q, Yao Y, et al. TIGIT is the central player in T-cell suppression associated with CART-cell relapse in mantle cell lymphoma. Mol Cancer. 2022;21(1):185.
- Seo H, González-Avalos E, Zhang W, Ramchandani P, Yang C, Lio CJ, et al. BATF and IRF4 cooperate to counter exhaustion in tumor-infiltrating CAR T cells. Nat Immunol. 2021;22(8):983–95.
- Cherkassky L, Morello A, Villena-Vargas J, Feng Y, Dimitrov DS, Jones DR, et al. Human CART cells with cell-intrinsic PD-1 checkpoint blockade resist tumor-mediated inhibition. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(8):3130–44.
- Gulati P, Rühl J, Kannan A, Pircher M, Schuberth P, Nytko KJ, et al. Aberrant Ick signal via CD28 costimulation augments antigen-specific functionality and tumor control by redirected T cells with PD-1 blockade in humanized mice. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(16):3981–93.
- Rafiq S, Yeku OO, Jackson HJ, Purdon TJ, van Leeuwen DG, Drakes DJ, et al. Targeted delivery of a PD-1-blocking scFv by CAR-T cells enhances anti-tumor efficacy in vivo. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(9):847–56.
- Kloss CC, Lee J, Zhang A, Chen F, Melenhorst JJ, Lacey SF, et al. Dominant-negative TGF-β receptor enhances PSMA-targeted human CAR T cell proliferation and augments prostate cancer eradication. Mol Ther. 2018;26(7):1855–66.
- Dubinski D, Wölfer J, Hasselblatt M, Schneider-Hohendorf T, Bogdahn U, Stummer W, et al. CD4<sup>+</sup> T effector memory cell dysfunction is associated with the accumulation of granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells in glioblastoma patients. Neuro Oncol. 2016;18(6):807–18.
- Jacobs JF, Idema AJ, Bol KF, Nierkens S, Grauer OM, Wesseling P, et al. Regulatory T cells and the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway mediate immune suppression in malignant human brain tumors. Neuro Oncol. 2009;11(4):394–402.
- Hao C, Parney IF, Roa WH, Turner J, Petruk KC, Ramsay DA. Cytokine and cytokine receptor mRNA expression in human glioblastomas: evidence of Th1, Th2 and Th3 cytokine dysregulation. Acta Neuropathol. 2002;103(2):171–8.
- Dong X, Ren J, Amoozgar Z, Lee S, Datta M, Roberge S, et al. Anti-VEGF therapy improves EGFR-vIII-CAR-T cell delivery and efficacy in syngeneic glioblastoma models in mice. J Immunother Cancer. 2023;11(3):e005583.
- Kakarla S, Chow KK, Mata M, Shaffer DR, Song XT, Wu MF, et al. Antitumor effects of chimeric receptor engineered human T cells directed to tumor stroma. Mol Ther. 2013;21(8):1611–20.
- Norelli M, Camisa B, Barbiera G, Falcone L, Purevdorj A, Genua M, et al. Monocyte-derived IL-1 and IL-6 are differentially required for cytokinerelease syndrome and neurotoxicity due to CAR T cells. Nat Med. 2018;24(6):739–48.
- 82. Sandler RD, Tattersall RS, Schoemans H, Greco R, Badoglio M, Labopin M, et al. Diagnosis and management of secondary HLH/MAS following HSCT and CAR-T cell therapy in adults; a review of the literature and a survey of practice within EBMT centres on behalf of the autoimmune diseases working party (ADWP) and transplant complications working party (TCWP). Front Immunol. 2020;11:524.
- Lichtenstein DA, Schischlik F, Shao L, Steinberg SM, Yates B, Wang HW, et al. Characterization of HLH-like manifestations as a CRS variant in patients receiving CD22 CART cells. Blood. 2021;138(24):2469–84.

- Schubert ML, Schmitt M, Wang L, Ramos CA, Jordan K, Müller-Tidow C, et al. Side-effect management of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(1):34–48.
- Si X, Gu T, Liu L, Huang Y, Han Y, Qian P, et al. Hematologic cytopenia post CAR T cell therapy: etiology, potential mechanisms and perspective. Cancer Lett. 2022;550:215920.
- Morgan RA, Yang JC, Kitano M, Dudley ME, Laurencot CM, Rosenberg SA. Case report of a serious adverse event following the administration of T cells transduced with a chimeric antigen receptor recognizing ERBB2. Mol Ther. 2010;18(4):843–51.
- Gust J, Taraseviciute A, Turtle CJ. Neurotoxicity associated with CD19targeted CAR-T cell therapies. CNS Drugs. 2018;32(12):1091–101.
- Santomasso BD, Park JH, Salloum D, Riviere I, Flynn J, Mead E, et al. Clinical and biological correlates of neurotoxicity associated with CART-cell therapy in patients with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Discov. 2018;8(8):958–71.
- Staedtke V, Bai RY, Kim K, Darvas M, Davila ML, Riggins GJ, et al. Disruption of a self-amplifying catecholamine loop reduces cytokine release syndrome. Nature. 2018;564(7735):273–7.
- 90. Brudno JN, Kochenderfer JN. Toxicities of chimeric antigen receptor T cells: recognition and management. Blood. 2016;127(26):3321–30.
- Neelapu S, Tummala S, Kebriaei P, Wierda W, Gutierrez C, Locke FL, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy - assessment and management of toxicities. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15(1):47–62.
- Giavridis T, van der Stegen SJC, Eyquem J, Hamieh M, Piersigilli A, Sadelain M. CART cell-induced cytokine release syndrome is mediated by macrophages and abated by IL-1 blockade. Nat Med. 2018;24(6):731–8.
- Gust J, Hay KA, Hanafi LA, Li D, Myerson D, Gonzalez-Cuyar LF, et al. Endothelial activation and blood-brain barrier disruption in neurotoxicity after adoptive immunotherapy with CD19 CAR-T cells. Cancer Discov. 2017;7(12):1404–19.
- Taraseviciute A, Tkachev V, Ponce R, Turtle CJ, Snyder JM, Liggitt HD, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cell-mediated neurotoxicity in nonhuman primates. Cancer Discov. 2018;8(6):750–63.
- 95. Stuart T, Satija R. Integrative single-cell analysis. Nat Rev Genet. 2019;20(5):257–72.
- Ren X, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Li Z, Siemers N, Zhang Z. Insights gained from single-cell analysis of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. Annu Rev Immunol. 2021;39:583–609.
- Efremova M, Vento-Tormo R, Park JE, Teichmann SA, James KR. Immunology in the era of single-cell technologies. Annu Rev Immunol. 2020;38:727–57.
- Liu Y, Wang S, Lyu M, Xie R, Guo W, He Y, et al. Droplet microfluidics enables tracing of target cells at the single-cell transcriptome resolution. Bioengineering. 2022;9(11):674.
- Eberwine J, Sul JY, Bartfai T, Kim J. The promise of single-cell sequencing. Nat Methods. 2014;11(1):25–7.
- 100. Papalexi E, Satija R. Single-cell RNA sequencing to explore immune cell heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2018;18(1):35–45.
- Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, Zheng C, Song J, Zhang Q, et al. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. Nat Med. 2018;24(7):978–85.
- Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, Nemesh J, Shekhar K, Goldman M, et al. Highly parallel genome-wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. Cell. 2015;161(5):1202–14.
- Gantner P, Pagliuzza A, Pardons M, Ramgopal M, Routy JP, Fromentin R, et al. Single-cell TCR sequencing reveals phenotypically diverse clonally expanded cells harboring inducible HIV proviruses during ART. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):4089.
- 104. Zhong G, Wang Q, Wang Y, Guo Y, Xu M, Guan Y, et al. scRNA-seq reveals ATPIF1 activity in control of T cell antitumor activity. Oncoimmunology. 2022;11(1):2114740.
- Navin N, Kendall J, Troge J, Andrews P, Rodgers L, McIndoo J, et al. Tumour evolution inferred by single-cell sequencing. Nature. 2011;472(7341):90–4.
- Vitak SA, Torkenczy KA, Rosenkrantz JL, Fields AJ, Christiansen L, Wong MH, et al. Sequencing thousands of single-cell genomes with combinatorial indexing. Nat Methods. 2017;14(3):302–8.
- Wolf FA, Angerer P, Theis FJ. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. Genome Biol. 2018;19(1):15.

- Fan X, Yang C, Li W, Bai X, Zhou X, Xie H, et al. SMOOTH-seq: single-cell genome sequencing of human cells on a third-generation sequencing platform. Genome Biol. 2021;22(1):195.
- Ranzoni AM, Tangherloni A, Berest I, Riva SG, Myers B, Strzelecka PM, et al. Integrative single-cell RNA-seq and ATAC-seq analysis of human developmental hematopoiesis. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(3):472-87.e7.
- Zhang K, Hocker JD, Miller M, Hou X, Chiou J, Poirion OB, et al. A single-cell atlas of chromatin accessibility in the human genome. Cell. 2021;184(24):5985-6001.e19.
- 111. Hu Y, Jiang Z, Chen K, Zhou Z, Zhou X, Wang Y, et al. scNanoATAC-seq: a long-read single-cell ATAC sequencing method to detect chromatin accessibility and genetic variants simultaneously within an individual cell. Cell Res. 2023;33(1):83–6.
- Rotem A, Ram O, Shoresh N, Sperling RA, Goren A, Weitz DA, et al. Single-cell ChIP-seq reveals cell subpopulations defined by chromatin state. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33(11):1165–72.
- Bartosovic M, Kabbe M, Castelo-Branco G. Single-cell CUT&Tag profiles histone modifications and transcription factors in complex tissues. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39(7):825–35.
- Wu SJ, Furlan SN, Mihalas AB, Kaya-Okur HS, Feroze AH, Emerson SN, et al. Single-cell CUT&Tag analysis of chromatin modifications in differentiation and tumor progression. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39(7):819–24.
- Deng Y, Bartosovic M, Kukanja P, Zhang D, Liu Y, Su G, et al. Spatial-CUT&Tag: spatially resolved chromatin modification profiling at the cellular level. Science. 2022;375(6581):681–6.
- Smallwood SA, Lee HJ, Angermueller C, Krueger F, Saadeh H, Peat J, et al. Single-cell genome-wide bisulfite sequencing for assessing epigenetic heterogeneity. Nat Methods. 2014;11(8):817–20.
- Han L, Wu HJ, Zhu H, Kim KY, Marjani SL, Riester M, et al. Bisulfiteindependent analysis of CpG island methylation enables genome-scale stratification of single cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(10): e77.
- Cao K, Gong Q, Hong Y, Wan L. A unified computational framework for single-cell data integration with optimal transport. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):7419.
- Hsieh WC, Budiarto BR, Wang YF, Lin CY, Gwo MC, So DK, et al. Spatial multi-omics analyses of the tumor immune microenvironment. J Biomed Sci. 2022;29(1):96.
- Kuswanto W, Nolan G, Lu G. Highly multiplexed spatial profiling with CODEX: bioinformatic analysis and application in human disease. Semin Immunopathol. 2022;45(1):145–57.
- 121. Mund A, Brunner AD, Mann M. Unbiased spatial proteomics with single-cell resolution in tissues. Mol Cell. 2022;82(12):2335–49.
- 122. Gao C, Zhang M, Chen L. The comparison of two single-cell sequencing platforms: BD rhapsody and 10x genomics chromium. Curr Genomics. 2020;21(8):602–9.
- 123. Ortiz C, Navarro JF, Jurek A, Märtin A, Lundeberg J, Meletis K. Molecular atlas of the adult mouse brain. Sci Adv. 2020;6(26):aabb34460.
- 124. Hong F, Meng Q, Zhang W, Zheng R, Li X, Cheng T, et al. Single-cell analysis of the pan-cancer immune microenvironment and scTIME portal. Cancer Immunol Res. 2021;9(8):939–51.
- 125. Parker KR, Migliorini D, Perkey E, Yost KE, Bhaduri A, Bagga P, et al. Single-cell analyses identify brain mural cells expressing CD19 as potential off-tumor targets for CAR-T immunotherapies. Cell. 2020;183(1):126-42. e17.
- Lake BB, Chen S, Sos BC, Fan J, Kaeser GE, Yung YC, et al. Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptional and epigenetic states in the human adult brain. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(1):70–80.
- Janssens DH, Meers MP, Wu SJ, Babaeva E, Meshinchi S, Sarthy JF, et al. Automated CUT&Tag profiling of chromatin heterogeneity in mixedlineage leukemia. Nat Genet. 2021;53(11):1586–96.
- 128. Luo C, Keown CL, Kurihara L, Zhou J, He Y, Li J, et al. Single-cell methylomes identify neuronal subtypes and regulatory elements in mammalian cortex. Science. 2017;357(6351):600–4.
- Luo C, Rivkin A, Zhou J, Sandoval JP, Kurihara L, Lucero J, et al. Robust single-cell DNA methylome profiling with snmC-seq2. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):3824.
- Mulqueen RM, Pokholok D, Norberg SJ, Torkenczy KA, Fields AJ, Sun D, et al. Highly scalable generation of DNA methylation profiles in single cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(5):428–31.

- Guo H, Zhu P, Guo F, Li X, Wu X, Fan X, et al. Profiling DNA methylome landscapes of mammalian cells with single-cell reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing. Nat Protoc. 2015;10(5):645–59.
- Picelli S, Faridani OR, Björklund AK, Winberg G, Sagasser S, Sandberg R. Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. Nat Protoc. 2014;9(1):171–81.
- Hagemann-Jensen M, Ziegenhain C, Chen P, Ramsköld D, Hendriks GJ, Larsson AJM, et al. Single-cell RNA counting at allele and isoform resolution using Smart-seq3. Nat Biotechnol. 2020;38(6):708–14.
- 134. Hashimshony T, Wagner F, Sher N, Yanai I. CEL-seq: single-cell RNA-seq by multiplexed linear amplification. Cell Rep. 2012;2(3):666–73.
- Hashimshony T, Senderovich N, Avital G, Klochendler A, de Leeuw Y, Anavy L, et al. CEL-Seq2: sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq. Genome Biol. 2016;17:77.
- Guillaumet-Adkins A, Rodríguez-Esteban G, Mereu E, Mendez-Lago M, Jaitin DA, Villanueva A, et al. Single-cell transcriptome conservation in cryopreserved cells and tissues. Genome Biol. 2017;18(1):45.
- Keren-Shaul H, Kenigsberg E, Jaitin DA, David E, Paul F, Tanay A, et al. MARS-seq2.0: an experimental and analytical pipeline for indexed sorting combined with single-cell RNA sequencing. Nat Protoc. 2019;14(6):1841–62.
- Zilionis R, Nainys J, Veres A, Savova V, Zemmour D, Klein AM, et al. Single-cell barcoding and sequencing using droplet microfluidics. Nat Protoc. 2017;12(1):44–73.
- Gierahn TM, Wadsworth MH 2nd, Hughes TK, Bryson BD, Butler A, Satija R, et al. Seq-Well: portable, low-cost RNA sequencing of single cells at high throughput. Nat Methods. 2017;14(4):395–8.
- McGinnis CS, Patterson DM, Winkler J, Conrad DN, Hein MY, Srivastava V, et al. MULTI-seq: sample multiplexing for single-cell RNA sequencing using lipid-tagged indices. Nat Methods. 2019;16(7):619–26.
- 141. Han X, Wang R, Zhou Y, Fei L, Sun H, Lai S, et al. Mapping the mouse cell atlas by Microwell-seq. Cell. 2018;172(5):1091-107.e17.
- Chen H, Liao Y, Zhang G, Sun Z, Yang L, Fang X, et al. High-throughput Microwell-seq 2.0 profiles massively multiplexed chemical perturbation. Cell Discov. 2021;7(1):107.
- 143. Wu SZ, Al-Eryani G, Roden DL, Junankar S, Harvey K, Andersson A, et al. A single-cell and spatially resolved atlas of human breast cancers. Nat Genet. 2021;53(9):1334–47.
- Zheng GX, Terry JM, Belgrader P, Ryvkin P, Bent ZW, Wilson P, et al. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14049.
- Flippo KH, Trammell SAJ, Gillum MP, Aklan I, Perez MB, Yavuz Y, et al. FGF21 suppresses alcohol consumption through an amygdalo-striatal circuit. Cell Metab. 2022;34(2):317-28.e6.
- 146. Rosenberg AB, Roco CM, Muscat RA, Kuchina A, Sample P, Yao Z, et al. Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. Science. 2018;360(6385):176–82.
- Cao J, Packer JS, Ramani V, Cusanovich DA, Huynh C, Daza R, et al. Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. Science. 2017;357(6352):661–7.
- Cao J, Spielmann M, Qiu X, Huang X, Ibrahim DM, Hill AJ, et al. The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. Nature. 2019;566(7745):496–502.
- 149. Srivatsan SR, McFaline-Figueroa JL, Ramani V, Saunders L, Cao J, Packer J, et al. Massively multiplex chemical transcriptomics at single-cell resolution. Science. 2020;367(6473):45–51.
- Gupta I, Collier PG, Haase B, Mahfouz A, Joglekar A, Floyd T, et al. Singlecell isoform RNA sequencing characterizes isoforms in thousands of cerebellar cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(12):1197–202.
- Lebrigand K, Magnone V, Barbry P, Waldmann R. High throughput error corrected Nanopore single cell transcriptome sequencing. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):4025.
- 152. Volden R, Vollmers C. Single-cell isoform analysis in human immune cells. Genome Biol. 2022;23(1):47.
- 153. Folkerts J, Gaudenzio N, Maurer M, Hendriks RW, Stadhouders R, Tam SY, et al. Rapid identification of human mast cell degranulation regulators using functional genomics coupled to high-resolution confocal microscopy. Nat Protoc. 2020;15(3):1285–310.

- Krutzik PO, Nolan GP. Intracellular phospho-protein staining techniques for flow cytometry: monitoring single cell signaling events. Cytometry A. 2003;55(2):61–70.
- Hockley JRF, Taylor TS, Callejo G, Wilbrey AL, Gutteridge A, Bach K, et al. Single-cell RNAseq reveals seven classes of colonic sensory neuron. Gut. 2019;68(4):633–44.
- Qian L, Guo T. Immunometabolism the CyTOF way. Immunity. 2021;54(4):610–3.
- Heinrich B, Gertz EM, Schäffer AA, Craig A, Ruf B, Subramanyam V, et al. The tumour microenvironment shapes innate lymphoid cells in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut. 2022;71(6):1161–75.
- 158. Jackson Z, Hong C, Schauner R, Dropulic B, Caimi PF, de Lima M, et al. Sequential single-cell transcriptional and protein marker profiling reveals TIGIT as a marker of CD19 CAR-T cell dysfunction in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(8):1886–903.
- Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Chattopadhyay PK, Swerdlow H, et al. Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. Nat Methods. 2017;14(9):865–8.
- Hwang B, Lee DS, Tamaki W, Sun Y, Ogorodnikov A, Hartoularos GC, et al. SCITO-seq: single-cell combinatorial indexed cytometry sequencing. Nat Methods. 2021;18(8):903–11.
- Peterson VM, Zhang KX, Kumar N, Wong J, Li L, Wilson DC, et al. Multiplexed quantification of proteins and transcripts in single cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2017;35(10):936–9.
- 162. Nettersheim FS, Armstrong SS, Durant C, Blanco-Dominguez R, Roy P, Orecchioni M, et al. Titration of 124 antibodies using CITE-Seq on human PBMCs. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):20817.
- Lawson DA, Kessenbrock K, Davis RT, Pervolarakis N, Werb Z. Tumour heterogeneity and metastasis at single-cell resolution. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;20(12):1349–60.
- 164. Gawad C, Koh W, Quake SR. Single-cell genome sequencing: current state of the science. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17(3):175–88.
- 165. Lee J, Hyeon DY, Hwang D. Single-cell multiomics: technologies and data analysis methods. Exp Mol Med. 2020;52(9):1428–42.
- Amini S, Pushkarev D, Christiansen L, Kostem E, Royce T, Turk C, et al. Haplotype-resolved whole-genome sequencing by contiguitypreserving transposition and combinatorial indexing. Nat Genet. 2014;46(12):1343–9.
- Lee-Six H, Kent DG. Tracking hematopoietic stem cells and their progeny using whole-genome sequencing. Exp Hematol. 2020;83:12–24.
- Zhang L, Dong X, Lee M, Maslov AY, Wang T, Vijg J. Single-cell wholegenome sequencing reveals the functional landscape of somatic mutations in B lymphocytes across the human lifespan. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(18):9014–9.
- 169. Lareau CA, Parker KR, Satpathy AT. Charting the tumor antigen maps drawn by single-cell genomics. Cancer Cell. 2021;39(12):1553–7.
- 170. Nagano T, Lubling Y, Várnai C, Dudley C, Leung W, Baran Y, et al. Cellcycle dynamics of chromosomal organization at single-cell resolution. Nature. 2017;547(7661):61–7.
- Yu M, Abnousi A, Zhang Y, Li G, Lee L, Chen Z, et al. SnapHiC: a computational pipeline to identify chromatin loops from single-cell Hi-C data. Nat Methods. 2021;18(9):1056–9.
- Satpathy AT, Granja JM, Yost KE, Qi Y, Meschi F, McDermott GP, et al. Massively parallel single-cell chromatin landscapes of human immune cell development and intratumoral T cell exhaustion. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(8):925–36.
- Mimitou EP, Lareau CA, Chen KY, Zorzetto-Fernandes AL, Hao Y, Takeshima Y, et al. Scalable, multimodal profiling of chromatin accessibility, gene expression and protein levels in single cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2021;39(10):1246–58.
- Lareau CA, Duarte FM, Chew JG, Kartha VK, Burkett ZD, Kohlway AS, et al. Droplet-based combinatorial indexing for massive-scale singlecell chromatin accessibility. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(8):916–24.
- De Rop FV, Ismail JN, Bravo González-Blas C, Hulselmans GJ, Flerin CC, Janssens J, et al. Hydrop enables droplet-based single-cell ATACseq and single-cell RNA-seq using dissolvable hydrogel beads. Elife. 2022;11:e73971.
- Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Litzenburger UM, Ruff D, Gonzales ML, Snyder MP, et al. Single-cell chromatin accessibility reveals principles of regulatory variation. Nature. 2015;523(7561):486–90.

- Jain N, Zhao Z, Feucht J, Koche R, Iyer A, Dobrin A, et al. TET2 guards against unchecked BATF3-induced CART cell expansion. Nature. 2023;615(7951):315–22.
- 178. Wang Y, Tong C, Dai H, Wu Z, Han X, Guo Y, et al. Low-dose decitabine priming endows CAR T cells with enhanced and persistent antitumour potential via epigenetic reprogramming. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):409.
- Guo H, Zhu P, Wu X, Li X, Wen L, Tang F. Single-cell methylome landscapes of mouse embryonic stem cells and early embryos analyzed using reduced representation bisulfite sequencing. Genome Res. 2013;23(12):2126–35.
- Liu H, Zhou J, Tian W, Luo C, Bartlett A, Aldridge A, et al. DNA methylation atlas of the mouse brain at single-cell resolution. Nature. 2021;598(7879):120–8.
- Goetz JJ, Trimarchi JM. Transcriptome sequencing of single cells with Smart-Seq. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30(8):763–5.
- Picelli S, Björklund ÅK, Faridani OR, Sagasser S, Winberg G, Sandberg R. Smart-seq2 for sensitive full-length transcriptome profiling in single cells. Nat Methods. 2013;10(11):1096–8.
- Klein AM, Mazutis L, Akartuna I, Tallapragada N, Veres A, Li V, et al. Droplet barcoding for single-cell transcriptomics applied to embryonic stem cells. Cell. 2015;161(5):1187–201.
- Villani AC, Satija R, Reynolds G, Sarkizova S, Shekhar K, Fletcher J, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals new types of human blood dendritic cells, monocytes, and progenitors. Science. 2017;356(6335):eaah4573.
- Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(5):411–20.
- 186. Sheih A, Voillet V, Hanafi LA, DeBerg HA, Yajima M, Hawkins R, et al. Clonal kinetics and single-cell transcriptional profiling of CAR-T cells in patients undergoing CD19 CAR-T immunotherapy. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):219.
- Wilson TL, Kim H, Chou CH, Langfitt D, Mettelman RC, Minervina AA, et al. Common trajectories of highly effective CD19-specific CART cells identified by endogenous T-cell receptor lineages. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(9):2098–119.
- Jung IY, Narayan V, McDonald S, Rech AJ, Bartoszek R, Hong G, et al. BLIMP1 and NR4A3 transcription factors reciprocally regulate antitumor CART cell stemness and exhaustion. Sci Transl Med. 2022;14(670):eabn7336.
- Rabilloud T, Potier D, Pankaew S, Nozais M, Loosveld M, Payet-Bornet D. Single-cell profiling identifies pre-existing CD19-negative subclones in a B-ALL patient with CD19-negative relapse after CAR-T therapy. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):865.
- Szabo PA, Levitin HM, Miron M, Snyder ME, Senda T, Yuan J, et al. Singlecell transcriptomics of human T cells reveals tissue and activation signatures in health and disease. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4706.
- Melenhorst JJ, Chen GM, Wang M, Porter DL, Chen C, Collins MA, et al. Decade-long leukaemia remissions with persistence of CD4<sup>+</sup> CAR T cells. Nature. 2022;602(7897):503–9.
- 192. Li X, Guo X, Zhu Y, Wei G, Zhang Y, Li X, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals BCMA CAR-T cell dynamics in a patient with refractory primary plasma cell leukemia. Mol Ther. 2021;29(2):645–57.
- Zhou W, Yui MA, Williams BA, Yun J, Wold BJ, Cai L, et al. Single-cell analysis reveals regulatory gene expression dynamics leading to lineage commitment in early T cell development. Cell Syst. 2019;9(4):321-37.e9.
- 194. Boulch M, Cazaux M, Loe-Mie Y, Thibaut R, Corre B, Lemaître F, et al. A cross-talk between CART cell subsets and the tumor microenvironment is essential for sustained cytotoxic activity. Sci Immunol. 2021;6(57):eabd4344.
- 195. Labib M, Kelley SO. Single-cell analysis targeting the proteome. Nat Rev Chem. 2020;4(3):143–58.
- Hartmann FJ, Bendall SC. Immune monitoring using mass cytometry and related high-dimensional imaging approaches. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2020;16(2):87–99.
- 197. Rubin SJS, Bai L, Haileselassie Y, Garay G, Yun C, Becker L, et al. Mass cytometry reveals systemic and local immune signatures that distinguish inflammatory bowel diseases. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2686.

- Rao DA, Gurish MF, Marshall JL, Slowikowski K, Fonseka CY, Liu Y, et al. Pathologically expanded peripheral T helper cell subset drives B cells in rheumatoid arthritis. Nature. 2017;542(7639):110–4.
- Kuranda K, Jean-Alphonse P, Leborgne C, Hardet R, Collaud F, Marmier S, et al. Exposure to wild-type AAV drives distinct capsid immunity profiles in humans. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(12):5267–79.
- Levine JH, Simonds EF, Bendall SC, Davis KL, el Amir AD, Tadmor MD, et al. Data-driven phenotypic dissection of AML reveals progenitor-like cells that correlate with prognosis. Cell. 2015;162(1):184–97.
- Grandi FC, Baskar R, Smeriglio P, Murkherjee S, Indelli PF, Amanatullah DF, et al. Single-cell mass cytometry reveals cross-talk between inflammation-dampening and inflammation-amplifying cells in osteoarthritic cartilage. Sci Adv. 2020;6(11):eaay5352.
- Lou X, Zhang G, Herrera I, Kinach R, Ornatsky O, Baranov V, et al. Polymer-based elemental tags for sensitive bioassays. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2007;46(32):6111–4.
- 203. Goldberg L, Haas ER, Vyas V, Urak R, Forman SJ, Wang X. Single-cell analysis by mass cytometry reveals CD19 CAR T cell spatiotemporal plasticity in patients. Oncoimmunology. 2022;11(1):2040772.
- 204. Bandura DR, Baranov VI, Ornatsky OI, Antonov A, Kinach R, Lou X, et al. Mass cytometry: technique for real time single cell multitarget immunoassay based on inductively coupled plasma time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2009;81(16):6813–22.
- Bendall SC, Simonds EF, Qiu P, el Amir AD, Krutzik PO, Finck R, et al. Single-cell mass cytometry of differential immune and drug responses across a human hematopoietic continuum. Science. 2011;332(6030):687–96.
- Paczesny S, Metzger J. Clinical proteomics for post-hematopoeitic stem cell transplantation outcomes. Proteomics Clin Appl. 2019;13(2):e1800145.
- Corneau A, Parizot C, Cherai M, Todesco E, Blanc C, Litvinova E, et al. Mass cytometry: a robust platform for the comprehensive immunomonitoring of CAR-T-cell therapies. Br J Haematol. 2021;194(4):788–92.
- Good Z, Spiegel JY, Sahaf B, Malipatlolla MB, Mackall CL. Identification of two CART-cell populations associated with complete response or progressive disease in adult lymphoma patients treated with axi-cel. Blood. 2019;134:779.
- 209. Shahi P, Kim SC, Haliburton JR, Gartner ZJ, Abate AR. Abseq: Ultrahighthroughput single cell protein profiling with droplet microfluidic barcoding. Sci Rep. 2017;7:44447.
- 210. Shum EY, Walczak EM, Chang C, Christina FH. Quantitation of mRNA transcripts and proteins using the BD Rhapsody<sup>™</sup> single-cell analysis system. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2019;1129:63–79.
- 211. Lopes N, Galluso J, Escalière B, Carpentier S, Kerdiles YM, Vivier E. Tissuespecific transcriptional profiles and heterogeneity of natural killer cells and group 1 innate lymphoid cells. Cell Rep Med. 2022;3(11):100812.
- 212. Scharf L, Axelsson H, Emmanouilidi A, Mathew NR, Sheward DJ, Leach S, et al. Longitudinal single-cell analysis of SARS-CoV-2-reactive B cells uncovers persistence of early-formed, antigen specific clones. JCl Insight. 2022;8(1):e165299.
- Hill CA, Fernandez DM, Giannarelli C. Single cell analyses to understand the immune continuum in atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis. 2021;330:85–94.
- 214. Chen GM, Chen C, Das RK, Gao P, Chen CH, Bandyopadhyay S, et al. Integrative bulk and single-cell profiling of premanufacture T-cell populations reveals factors mediating long-term persistence of CART-cell therapy. Cancer Discov. 2021;11(9):2186–99.
- Zurko JC, Xu H, Chaney K, Schneider D, Szabo A, Hari P, et al. Bispecific targeting of CD20 and CD19 increases polyfunctionality of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell products in B-cell malignancies. Cytotherapy. 2022;24(8):767–73.
- Zhang Y, Li Y, Cao W, Wang F, Xie X, Li Y, et al. Single-cell analysis of target antigens of CAR-T reveals a potential landscape of "on-target, off-tumor toxicity". Front Immunol. 2021;12:799206.
- Jing Y, Liu Y, Li Q, Ye Y, Diao L, Huang Y, et al. Expression of chimeric antigen receptor therapy targets detected by single-cell sequencing of normal cells may contribute to off-tumor toxicity. Cancer Cell. 2021;39(12):1558–9.
- 218. Zhang J, Hu Y, Yang J, Li W, Zhang M, Wang Q, et al. Non-viral, specifically targeted CAR-T cells achieve high safety and efficacy in B-NHL. Nature. 2022;609(7926):369–74.

- 219. Boroughs AC, Larson RC, Marjanovic ND, Gosik K, Castano AP, Porter CBM, et al. A distinct transcriptional program in human CAR T cells Bearing the 4–1BB signaling domain revealed by scRNA-seq. Mol Ther. 2020;28(12):2577–92.
- 220. Castellanos-Rueda R, Di Roberto RB, Bieberich F, Schlatter FS, Palianina D, Nguyen OTP, et al. speedingCARs: accelerating the engineering of CART cells by signaling domain shuffling and single-cell sequencing. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):6555.
- 221. Gottschlich A, Thomas M, Grünmeier R, Lesch S, Rohrbacher L, Igl V, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic atlas-guided development of CAR-T cells for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Biotechnol. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01684-0.
- 222. Wang W, Fasolino M, Cattau B, Goldman N, Kong W, Frederick MA et al. Joint profiling of chromatin accessibility and CAR-T integration site analysis at population and single-cell levels. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117(10):5442–52.
- 223. Xue Q, Bettini E, Paczkowski P, Ng C, Kaiser A, McConnell T, et al. Singlecell multiplexed cytokine profiling of CD19 CAR-T cells reveals a diverse landscape of polyfunctional antigen-specific response. J Immunother Cancer. 2017;5(1):85.
- Haradhvala NJ, Leick MB, Maurer K, Gohil SH, Larson RC, Yao N, et al. Distinct cellular dynamics associated with response to CAR-T therapy for refractory B cell lymphoma. Nat Med. 2022;28(9):1848–59.
- 225. Bai Z, Woodhouse S, Zhao Z, Arya R, Govek K, Kim D, et al. Singlecell antigen-specific landscape of CAR T infusion product identifies determinants of CD19-positive relapse in patients with ALL. Sci Adv. 2022;8(23):eabj2820.
- Rejeski K, Wu Z, Blumenberg V, Kunz WG, Müller S, Kajigaya S, et al. Oligoclonal T-cell expansion in a patient with bone marrow failure after CD19 CAR-T therapy for Richter-transformed DLBCL. Blood. 2022;140(20):2175–9.
- Loeffler-Wirth H, Rade M, Arakelyan A, Kreuz M, Loeffler M, Koehl U, et al. Transcriptional states of CAR-T infusion relate to neurotoxicity - lessons from high-resolution single-cell SOM expression portraying. Front Immunol. 2022;13:994885.
- Liao Q, Liu Z, Zhu C, He H, Feng M, Jiang L, et al. Rapid generation of a mouse model for evaluating on-target normal tissue toxicity of human CAR-T cells using replication-defective recombinant adenovirus. J Adv Res. 2022;47:163–71.
- 229. Goff SL, Morgan RA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Robbins PF, Restifo NP, et al. Pilot trial of adoptive transfer of chimeric antigen receptor-transduced T cells targeting EGFRvIII in patients with glioblastoma. J Immunother. 2019;42(4):126–35.
- 230. Wen L, Huang Y, Peng L, Zhao K, Sun Y, Lin Z, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals the potential risk of anti-mesothelin CART Cell therapy toxicity to different organs in humans. Front Immunol. 2022;13:807915.
- Shao L, Shi R, Zhao Y, Liu H, Lu A, Ma J, et al. Genome-wide profiling of retroviral DNA integration and its effect on clinical pre-infusion CAR T-cell products. J Transl Med. 2022;20(1):514.
- 232. Niederer HA, Bangham CR. Integration site and clonal expansion in human chronic retroviral infection and gene therapy. Viruses. 2014;6(11):4140–64.
- Schmidt M, Schwarzwaelder K, Bartholomae C, Zaoui K, Ball C, Pilz I, et al. High-resolution insertion-site analysis by linear amplificationmediated PCR (LAM-PCR). Nat Methods. 2007;4(12):1051–7.
- 234. Bhat AA, Nisar S, Mukherjee S, Saha N, Yarravarapu N, Lone SN, et al. Integration of CRISPR/Cas9 with artificial intelligence for improved cancer therapeutics. J Transl Med. 2022;20(1):534.
- Cohn LB, Silva IT, Oliveira TY, Rosales RA, Parrish EH, Learn GH, et al. HIV-1 integration landscape during latent and active infection. Cell. 2015;160(3):420–32.
- Xhangolli I, Dura B, Lee G, Kim D, Xiao Y, Fan R. Single-cell analysis of CAR-T cell activation reveals A mixed T(H)1/T(H)2 response independent of differentiation. Genom Proteom Bioinf. 2019;17(2):129–39.
- LaBelle CA, Zhang RJ, Hunsucker SA, Armistead PM, Allbritton NL. Microraft arrays for serial-killer CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cells and single cell isolation. Cytometry A. 2022;103(3):208–20.
- Wang X, Peticone C, Kotsopoulou E, Göttgens B, Calero-Nieto FJ. Singlecell transcriptome analysis of CAR T-cell products reveals subpopulations, stimulation, and exhaustion signatures. Oncoimmunology. 2021;10(1):1866287.

- Qin L, Cui Y, Yuan T, Chen D, Zhao R, Li S et al. Co-expression of a PD-L1-specific chimeric switch receptor augments the efficacy and persistence of CART cells via the CD70-CD27 axis. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):6051.
- Kurahara H, Takao S, Kuwahata T, Nagai T, Ding Q, Maeda K, et al. Clinical significance of folate receptor β-expressing tumor-associated macrophages in pancreatic cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012;19(7):2264–71.
- Lei X, Lei Y, Li JK, Du WX, Li RG, Yang J, et al. Immune cells within the tumor microenvironment: biological functions and roles in cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Lett. 2020;470:126–33.
- 242. Biswas SK, Allavena P, Mantovani A. Tumor-associated macrophages: functional diversity, clinical significance, and open questions. Semin Immunopathol. 2013;35(5):585–600.
- 243. Qian J, Olbrecht S, Boeckx B, Vos H, Laoui D, Etlioglu E, et al. A pancancer blueprint of the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment revealed by single-cell profiling. Cell Res. 2020;30(9):745–62.
- Escobar G, Barbarossa L, Barbiera G, Norelli M, Genua M, Ranghetti A, et al. Interferon gene therapy reprograms the leukemia microenvironment inducing protective immunity to multiple tumor antigens. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2896.
- Barber A, Rynda A, Sentman CL. Chimeric NKG2D expressing T cells eliminate immunosuppression and activate immunity within the ovarian tumor microenvironment. J Immunol. 2009;183(11):6939–47.
- 246. Yeku OO, Purdon TJ, Koneru M, Spriggs D, Brentjens RJ. Armored CART cells enhance antitumor efficacy and overcome the tumor microenvironment. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):10541.
- 247. Kosti P, Maher J, Arnold JN. Perspectives on chimeric antigen receptor T-cell immunotherapy for solid tumors. Front Immunol. 2018;9:1104.
- Chen W, Shi H, Liu Z, Yang F, Liu J, Zhang L, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals immune reconstitution in R/RT-ALL/LBL patients with donor-derived CD7 CAR-T therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2023;29(8):1484–95.
- 249. Fang Y, Hou J. Immunotherapeutic strategies targeting B cell maturation antigen in multiple myeloma. Mil Med Res. 2021;8:9.
- Xu Y, Zhang M, Ramos CA, Durett A, Liu E, Dakhova O, et al. Closely related T-memory stem cells correlate with in vivo expansion of CAR.CD19-T cells and are preserved by IL-7 and IL-15. Blood. 2014;123(24):3750–9.
- 251. Gennert DG, Lynn RC, Granja JM, Weber EW, Mumbach MR, Zhao Y, et al. Dynamic chromatin regulatory landscape of human CART cell exhaustion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(30):e2104758118.
- Quigley M, Pereyra F, Nilsson B, Porichis F, Fonseca C, Eichbaum Q, et al. Transcriptional analysis of HIV-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells shows that PD-1 inhibits T cell function by upregulating BATF. Nat Med. 2010;16(10):1147–51.
- 253. Shin H, Blackburn SD, Intlekofer AM, Kao C, Angelosanto JM, Reiner SL, et al. A role for the transcriptional repressor Blimp-1 in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell exhaustion during chronic viral infection. Immunity. 2009;31(2):309–20.
- Agnellini P, Wolint P, Rehr M, Cahenzli J, Karrer U, Oxenius A. Impaired NFAT nuclear translocation results in split exhaustion of virus-specific CD8<sup>+</sup>T cell functions during chronic viral infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(11):4565–70.
- Khan O, Giles JR, McDonald S, Manne S, Ngiow SF, Patel KP, et al. TOX transcriptionally and epigenetically programs CD8(+) T cell exhaustion. Nature. 2019;571(7764):211–8.
- Liu X, Wang Y, Lu H, Li J, Yan X, Xiao M, et al. Genome-wide analysis identifies NR4A1 as a key mediator of T cell dysfunction. Nature. 2019;567(7749):525–9.
- 257. Man K, Gabriel SS, Liao Y, Gloury R, Preston S, Henstridge DC, et al. Transcription factor IRF4 promotes CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell exhaustion and limits the development of memory-like T cells during chronic infection. Immunity. 2017;47(6):1129-41.e5.
- Romain G, Strati P, Rezvan A, Fathi M, Bandey IN, Adolacion JRT, et al. Multidimensional single-cell analysis identifies a role for CD2-CD58 interactions in clinical antitumor T cell responses. J Clin Invest. 2022;132(17):e159402.
- Rossi J, Paczkowski P, Shen YW, Morse K, Flynn B, Kaiser A, et al. Preinfusion polyfunctional anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cells are associated with clinical outcomes in NHL. Blood. 2018;132(8):804–14.
- 260. Lee DW, Santomasso BD, Locke FL, Ghobadi A, Turtle CJ, Brudno JN, et al. ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and

neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25(4):625–38.

- 261. Mueller KT, Waldron E, Grupp SA, Levine JE, Laetsch TW, Pulsipher MA, et al. Clinical pharmacology of tisagenlecleucel in B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(24):6175–84.
- 262. Golkaram M, Kuo F, Gupta S, Carlo MI, Salmans ML, Vijayaraghavan R, et al. Spatiotemporal evolution of the clear cell renal cell carcinoma microenvironment links intra-tumoral heterogeneity to immune escape. Genome Med. 2022;14(1):143.
- Rooney MS, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, Getz G, Hacohen N. Molecular and genetic properties of tumors associated with local immune cytolytic activity. Cell. 2015;160(1–2):48–61.
- 264. Zamora AE, Crawford JC, Thomas PG. Hitting the target: how T cells detect and eliminate tumors. J Immunol. 2018;200(2):392–9.
- 265. Berger C, Jensen MC, Lansdorp PM, Gough M, Elliott C, Riddell SR. Adoptive transfer of effector CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells derived from central memory cells establishes persistent T cell memory in primates. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(1):294–305.
- Gattinoni L, Lugli E, Ji Y, Pos Z, Paulos CM, Quigley MF, et al. A human memory T cell subset with stem cell-like properties. Nat Med. 2011;17(10):1290–7.
- 267. Sabatino M, Hu J, Sommariva M, Gautam S, Fellowes V, Hocker JD, et al. Generation of clinical-grade CD19-specific CAR-modified CD8<sup>+</sup> memory stem cells for the treatment of human B-cell malignancies. Blood. 2016;128(4):519–28.
- Turtle CJ, Hanafi LA, Berger C, Gooley TA, Cherian S, Hudecek M, et al. CD19 CAR-T cells of defined CD4<sup>+</sup>: CD8<sup>+</sup> composition in adult B cell ALL patients. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(6):2123–38.
- Roth TL, Li PJ, Blaeschke F, Nies JF, Apathy R, Mowery C, et al. Pooled knockin targeting for genome engineering of cellular immunotherapies. Cell. 2020;181(3):728-44.e21.
- Wang D, Prager BC, Gimple RC, Aguilar B, Alizadeh D, Tang H, et al. CRISPR screening of CAR T cells and cancer stem cells reveals critical dependencies for cell-based therapies. Cancer Discov. 2021;11(5):1192–211.
- 271. Shifrut E, Carnevale J, Tobin V, Roth TL, Woo JM, Bui CT, et al. Genomewide CRISPR screens in primary human T cells reveal key regulators of immune function. Cell. 2018;175(7):1958-71.e15.
- 272. Bao X, Li Q, Chen J, Chen D, Ye C, Dai X, et al. Molecular subgroups of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma discovered by single-cell RNA sequencing-assisted multiomics analysis. Cancer Immunol Res. 2022;10(7):811–28.
- Qi J, Sun H, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Xun Z, Li Z, et al. Single-cell and spatial analysis reveal interaction of FAP<sup>+</sup> fibroblasts and SPP1<sup>+</sup> macrophages in colorectal cancer. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):1742.
- Kobayashi S, Nagafuchi Y, Okubo M, Sugimori Y, Shirai H, Hatano H, et al. Integrated bulk and single-cell RNA-sequencing identified diseaserelevant monocytes and a gene network module underlying systemic sclerosis. J Autoimmun. 2021;116:102547.
- Wang L, Liu Y, Dai Y, Tang X, Yin T, Wang C, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis reveals BHLHE40-driven pro-tumour neutrophils with hyperactivated glycolysis in pancreatic tumour microenvironment. Gut. 2023;72(5):958–71.
- 276. Lake BB, Codeluppi S, Yung YC, Gao D, Chun J, Kharchenko PV, et al. A comparative strategy for single-nucleus and single-cell transcriptomes confirms accuracy in predicted cell-type expression from nuclear RNA. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):6031.
- 277. Lake <sup>B</sup>B, Ai R, Kaeser GE, Salathia NS, Yung YC, Liu R, et al. Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. Science. 2016;352(6293):1586–90.
- 278. Zhang Y, Gago-Lopez N, Li N, Zhang Z, Alver N, Liu Y, et al. Single-cell imaging and transcriptomic analyses of endogenous cardiomyocyte dedifferentiation and cycling. Cell Discov. 2019;5:30.
- Lozano AX, Chaudhuri AA, Nene A, Bacchiocchi A, Earland N, Vesely MD, et al. T cell characteristics associated with toxicity to immune checkpoint blockade in patients with melanoma. Nat Med. 2022;28(2):353–62.
- 280. Ren X, Kang B, Zhang Z. Understanding tumor ecosystems by singlecell sequencing: promises and limitations. Genome Biol. 2018;19(1):211.

- Li PH, Kong XY, He YZ, Liu Y, Peng X, Li ZH, et al. Recent developments in application of single-cell RNA sequencing in the tumour immune microenvironment and cancer therapy. Mil Med Res. 2022;9:52.
- Zhang C, Li J, Cheng Y, Meng F, Song JW, Fan X, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals intrahepatic and peripheral immune characteristics related to disease phases in HBV-infected patients. Gut. 2023;72(1):153–67.
- Steele NG, Carpenter ES, Kemp SB, Sirihorachai VR, The S, Delrosario L, et al. Multimodal mapping of the tumor and peripheral blood immune landscape in human pancreatic cancer. Nat Cancer. 2020;1(11):1097–112.
- Salcher S, Sturm G, Horvath L, Untergasser G, Kuempers C, Fotakis G, et al. High-resolution single-cell atlas reveals diversity and plasticity of tissue-resident neutrophils in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Cell. 2022;40(12):1503-20.e8.
- Wang X, He Y, Zhang Q, Ren X, Zhang Z. Direct comparative analyses of 10 x genomics chromium and smart-seq2. Genom Proteom Bioinf. 2021;19(2):253–66.
- Moncada R, Barkley D, Wagner F, Chiodin M, Devlin JC, Baron M, et al. Integrating microarray-based spatial transcriptomics and single-cell RNA-seq reveals tissue architecture in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas. Nat Biotechnol. 2020;38(3):333–42.
- Peng L, Jin X, Li BY, Zeng X, Liao BH, Jin T, et al. Integrating single-cell RNA sequencing with spatial transcriptomics reveals immune landscape for interstitial cystitis. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7(1):161.
- Codeluppi S, Borm LE, Zeisel A, La Manno G, van Lunteren JA, Svensson CI, et al. Spatial organization of the somatosensory cortex revealed by osmFISH. Nat Methods. 2018;15(11):932–5.
- Fang R, Xia C, Close JL, Zhang M, He J, Huang Z, et al. Conservation and divergence of cortical cell organization in human and mouse revealed by MERFISH. Science. 2022;377(6601):56–62.
- 290. Lohoff T, Ghazanfar S, Missarova A, Koulena N, Pierson N, Griffiths JA, et al. Integration of spatial and single-cell transcriptomic data elucidates mouse organogenesis. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(1):74–85.
- Wang X, Allen WE, Wright MA, Sylwestrak EL, Samusik N, Vesuna S, et al. Three-dimensional intact-tissue sequencing of single-cell transcriptional states. Science. 2018;361(6400):eaat5691.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

